# EFFECTS OF CHIRONOMID DENSITY AND DISSOLVED OXYGEN ON MERCURY EFFLUX FROM PROFUNDAL LAKE SEDIMENT

# FROM DEER LAKE, WASHINGTON

By

# SUZANNE ELAINE COX

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTERS OF SCIENCE IN ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERING

WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering

DECEMBER 2011

To the Faculty of Washington State University:

The members of the Committee appointed to examine the

thesis of SUZANNE COX find it satisfactory and recommend that it be accepted.

Marc W. Beutel, Ph.D., Chair

Dave Yonge, Ph.D.

Cara Poor, Ph.D.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to take this opportunity to thank everyone that participated, helped and supported this thesis. My advisor, Dr. Marc Beutel, was fundamental in the design and implementation of this project. His expertise and experience guided this project through many challenging hurdles and surprising results. I appreciate all the wisdom and hands-on guidance from my experienced colleagues: Dr. Seyoum Gebremariam and Stephen Dent. As professors and committee members, Dr. David Yonge and Dr. Cara Poor have given me a passion for engineering and the tools and direction to tackle my current and future projects. This thesis would not have been possible without the generous involvement of the Deer Lake Resort employees that provided boat rentals, cabins, and refreshing treats at the end of a long day of sampling. Thank you, Cindy, Tom and

Ed. I am indebted to my fellow colleagues in the Civil and Environmental Engineering Department: Piper Marshall, Lanka DeSilva, Ricardi Duvil and Brandon Reed, as well as our undergraduate assistants, who were good sports with our chaotic organization and were essential to the lab: Caitlin Reed, Griffin Nielsen, Alicia Flatt and Jerin Tilson. I am truly honored to work with such amazing people that gave their time and sanity to participate in my various sampling trips and time-consuming lab work. Thank you, Washington State University, for providing this amazing opportunity and an incredible education. Finally, I want to thank my friends and family

for their love and support through this challenging and fulfilling adventure.

### Go Cougs!

iii

# EFFECTS OF CHIRONOMID DENSITY AND DISSOLVED OXYGEN ON MERCURY EFFLUX FROM PROFUNDAL LAKE SEDIMENT

IN DEER LAKE, WASHINGTON

Abstract

By Suzanne Elaine Cox, M.S. Washington State University December 2011

Chair: Marc W. Beutel

Macrobenthos in aquatic sediments can greatly affect the exchange of chemical compounds at the sediment-water interface due to bioturbation and bioirrigation. This study explored the effects of chironomid density and dissolved oxygen on methylmercury efflux from profundal sediments from a freshwater lake. A series of sediment-water interface chamber incubations were conducted on sediment collected from Deer Lake, WA ( $Z_{max}$  = 22.9 m, A = 445 ha), an oligo-mesotrophic lake near Spokane, WA. Experimental chambers were incubated under low and high densities of chironomid larvae native to the lake sediments. The first and second incubations varied in dissolved oxygen concentration in chamber water, which was then monitored for total mercury and methylmercury, the toxic form of mercury that accumulates in the aquatic food web. Our hypothesis was that an increase in chironomid density would cause an increase in methylmercury efflux. For the high DO incubation (~5.0 mg/L), the flux rate for dissolved methylmercury was  $0.03 \pm 0.16$  ng/m<sup>2</sup>/d for ambient density chambers and  $0.18 \pm 0.05$  ng/m<sup>2</sup>/d for high density chambers (n=4), with a

significant difference in dissolved methylmercury efflux. Similar results were found for the low DO incubation (~2.5 mg/L), with a rate of dissolved methylmercury as  $0.16 \pm 0.06$  ng/m<sup>2</sup>/d for ambient density chambers and  $0.38 \pm 0.07$  ng/m<sup>2</sup>/d for high density chambers, with a significant difference in dissolved methylmercury efflux. An analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed comparing the two incubations that showed dissolved oxygen was a significant factor. Overall, both hypotheses were supported. Additionally, total methylmercury, total mercury and nutrients were analyzed to support these hypotheses. This study provides a better understanding of the effects of macrobenthos and dissolved oxygen on mercury cycling at the sediment-water interface of freshwater lakes that will inform the development of lake management strategies to better manage aquatic biota uptake of mercury.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
1. INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Methylmercury Efflux from Sediments	5
1.3 Bioturbation of Macrobenthos	6
1.4 Project Objective and Hypothesis	8
2. METHODS	11
2.1 Study Site	11
2.2 Water Column Monitoring	14
2.3 Chamber Collection	14
2.4 Field Chironomid Collection	16
2.5 July Chamber Incubations	16
2.6 August Chamber Incubations	17
2.7 Methylmercury Analysis	18
2.8 Total Mercury Analysis	19
2.9 Nitrate and Ammonia Analysis	20
3. RESULTS	23
3.1 Water Quality in the Water Column	23
3.2 Chironomid Densities	26
3.3 July Chamber Incubations	27
3.4 August Chamber Incubations	32

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

3.5 Ammonia and Nitrate in August Experiment Chambers	
4. DISCUSSION	42
4.1 Water Quality in the Water Column	42
4.2 Mercury in Chamber Incubations	44
4.3 Ammonia and Nitrate in August Chambers	49
5. CONCLUSION	52
6. REFERENCES	55

# LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table 3.1</b> : Chironomid densities for incubations	26
<b>Table 3.2</b> : Summary of average concentrations for July incubation	29
<b>Table 3.3</b> : Summary of average concentrations for August incubation	35
<b>Table 4.1</b> : Comparison of total methylmercury flux rates from similar studies	49

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: A simplified mercury cycle.	3
Figure 1.2: Fish consumption advisories in US	4
Figure 1.3: The relationship between the two incubations	10
Figure 2.1: Location of Deer Lake, WA	12
Figure 2.2: Bathymetric map of Deer Lake, WA	13
Figure 2.3: Sediment-water interface chambers in incubation	15
Figure 3.1: Deer Lake temperature and dissolved oxygen profiles	24
Figure 3.2: Deer Lake total methylmercury and total mercury profiles	25
Figure 3.3: Methylmercury concentrations for July incubation	
Figure 3.4: Sediment mercury flux for July incubation	31
Figure 3.5: Methylmercury and total mercury concentration for August incubation	36
Figure 3.6: Sediment mercury flux for August incubation	
Figure 3.7: Comparison of sediment mercury flux	
Figure 3.8: Ammonia and nitrate concentration for August incubation	40
Figure 3.9: Ammonia, nitrate and DIN flux for August incubation	41

#### **<u>1. INTRODUCTION</u>**

#### 1.1 Background

Mercury pollution has increased over the last century as a result of industrial activities. Although main sources are located in industrial regions, the extreme residence time of mercury in the atmosphere results in mercury contamination in even the most remote areas. Within the atmosphere, approximately 95% of total mercury is elemental mercury, which can oxidize to ionic mercury in the atmosphere and deposit onto the landscape through wet and dry deposition (Morel et al., 1997). One-third of deposited mercury is from natural sources, while two-thirds are from anthropogenic sources. Although water covers 70% of the Earth's surface, with 97.3% of the world's water held in oceans and 0.009% held in freshwater lakes, the majority of mercury is deposited on land and lakes, rather than oceans (Mason et al., 1994; Dodds, 2002).

Anthropogenic sources include metal production, pulp industries and coal-burning power plants (Morel et al., 1997). One study in Dorena Lake, OR, investigated that the direct source of mercury contamination was from stream-transported sediment from the Bohemia Mining District (Bretagne et al., 2001). Many water sources can be linked directly to local anthropogenic sources. Coal-burning power plants in the U.S. produce around 100 thousand pounds of mercury into the atmosphere annually (Coequyt et al., 1999). For Deer Lake, WA, 97.1% of in-state sources of dry and wet mercury deposition were from the Centralia Coal Power Plant. In-state sources of mercury deposition made up only 53.9% of total Washington state deposition, while other sources included national background levels, neighboring states, and Canada and Mexico. Additional sources of mercury deposition likely to have influenced Deer Lake, WA, include Ash Grove cement plant located in northeastern Oregon, which made up 99.9% of in-state mercury deposition sources, and phosphate mining in southeastern Idaho, which also made up 99.9% of

in-state mercury deposition sources (USEPA, 2008). Ultimately, Deer Lake, WA, had no direct on-site sources of mercury pollution, but it was likely influenced by atmospheric deposition from dominant mercury sources in WA, ID and OR.

In aquatic systems, dissolved mercury can be methylated into its organic form, methylmercury ( $CH_3Hg^+$ ) as shown in Figure 1.1. The mercury methylation process occurs in lake ecosystems via sulfur-reducing bacteria (Gilmour et al., 1991). Once methylated, methylmercury can be taken up by aquatic organisms and be accumulated in higher trophic organisms through predation. Bioaccumulation through the pelagic food web begins as a transfer from water to low trophic levels (phytoplankton and zooplankton) and finally to high trophic levels (small and large fish, wildlife, and humans) (Watras, 1998). Biomagnification has caused high levels of methylmercury in predatory fish, leading to fish consumption advisories in many states (USGS, 2009).

High levels of methylmercury can lead to serious health risk in people who regularly eat predatory fish or depend on fish as a main food source (Mergler et al., 2007). Mercury can lead to neurological problems, such as motor and sensory skills, reproductive problems, and in severe cases, fatality. Developmental problems can also occur in young children and fetuses (USEPA, 1997, 2010). As shown in Figure 1.2, 23 states (shown in red) have various mercury advisories, while 26 states (shown in tan), including Washington, have established state-wide fish consumption advisories (USEPA, 2010). Due to a variety of natural and anthropogenic sources, mercury is a global toxin warranting serious concern.



**Figure 1.1**: A simplified mercury cycle, as well as methylation and biomagnification process, within aquatic systems (USGS, 2009).



**Figure 1.2**: Mercury Fish Consumption Advisories for Mercury in the United States (USEPA, 2010).

## 1.2 Methylmercury Efflux from Sediments

In sediments, methylation occurs within a sub-surface layer, where conditions are suitable for the sustained activity of sulfur-reducing bacteria (SRB) (Benoit et al., 2006). These conditions include the presence of ionic mercury to be methylated, the presence of organic matter, which is an electron donor, and sulfide, which is an electron acceptor. The moderate levels of sulfide available under anoxic conditions promote methylation through the formation of neutrally charged sulfide-mercury complexes that diffuse easily across the polar cell membrane and into SRB cells where it can be methylated (Morel et al., 1997). Sediments that are anaerobic can contain high concentrations of sulfide which inhibit methylation, either through toxicity to microbes or by repressing the bioavailability of ionic mercury for methylation through the formation of charged sulfide-mercury complexes. Once methylated in the sediments, methylmercury can diffuse upwards and into profundal bottom waters.

A number of mechanisms can cause an efflux of methylmercury into overlaying profundal waters, which can then accumulate into the aquatic food web (Watras, 2009). Many of these mechanisms are related to anoxic hypolimnetic waters, which tend to have high mercury levels when compared to surface water or to the bottom waters of lakes with oxic hypolimnia. Methylmercury can accumulate in the hypolimnion through the activity of SRB in anoxic sediments or bottom waters, which methylate inorganic mercury to methylmercury. As noted above, methylation is especially active at intermediate levels of sulfide which enhance the bioavailability of ionic mercury for methylation. Watras (2009) explains hypolimnetic build-up of methylmercury in sediments as four interconnected processes. First, ionic mercury attached to settling particles can sink into the hypolimnion. Second, dissolved sulfide can strip ionic mercury and methylmercury from settling particles. Third, SRB present in anaerobic water can methylate

ionic mercury into methylmercury; and fourth, with all these processes, methylmercury can accumulate in the hypolimnion. Rather than being buried in the sediments, the mercury in the aqueous phase is released where the ionic form, which can be methylated, combined with the already present methylmercury can be taken up in the aquatic food web. Another potential source of mercury to bottom waters is its release from iron and manganese oxides that dissolves from the solid form under reduced conditions at the sediment-water interface as either ionic mercury or methylmercury. This source is called indirect release (Benoit et al., 2009). Iron-reducing bacteria have also been shown to facilitate the methylation of mercury, although SRB is still the primary driving force (Kerin et al., 2006).

#### 1.3 Bioturbation of Macrobenthos

Benthos is a term for organisms that live at or below the sediment surface in lakes, an area called the "benthic zone". Macrobenthos refers to larger, more visible organisms dwelling within the benthic zone. This zone can be separated into two separate zones: littoral which is in shallow water and is close to the shore, and profundal which is deep lake water that can be seasonally anaerobic, which is where this study took place. The sediment-water interface has a steep gradient of diffusion, and sediments can act as a sink for compounds diffusing from the overlaying profundal water, such as oxygen and nitrate. In contrast, sediments can also release compounds into overlaying water during aerobic or anaerobic conditions, such as ammonia, iron and manganese (Dodds, 2002; Beutel, 2006). Common species of macrobenthos studied in lake systems include oligochaetes and chironomid larvae (Biswas et al., 2009; Svensson, 1997). Aquatic oligochaetes are long, segmented worms that burrow in sediments and consume organic particles. Their disruption of the sediment-water interface through burrowing, called bioturbation, can expose buried anoxic sediment as well as allow dissolved compounds to sink

deeper into the sediment. Aquatic oligochaetes and terrestrial earthworms are very similar in shape and size (Dodds, 2002). Chironomids are midge larvae of aquatic insects, which are freshwater invertebrates that spend their adolescent lives in sediment and eventually leave the aquatic environment as adult aquatic insects. Chironomid species make up a third of all aquatic midges (Dodds, 2002). Most chironomids are bright red, although some are green or clear. These larvae mainly bioirrigate the sediment by drawing oxygen down through their burrows for respiration. This exposes buried anoxic sediment to oxygen and can release ammonia into overlaying water (Boudreau and Jorgensen, 2001). In this study, chironomid larvae were used due to their presence in Deer Lake sediments and their ease of collection and quantification. As oxygen decreases, the processes of respiration and the exchange of dissolved compounds at the sediment-water interface accelerate (Katsev et al., 2007; Boudreau and Jorgensen, 2001). Bioturbation and bioirrigation can disrupt the diffusive layer at the sediment-water interface, allowing some dissolved compounds to rapidly be released into the overlaying water or buried into the sediment.

Macrobenthos have long been known to enhance the flux of nitrogen compounds across the sediment-water interface. In a study by Svensson (1997), eutrophic lake sediment bioturbated by chironomid larvae consumed twice as much oxygen as nonbioturbated sediment. In addition to oxygen consumption, bioturbated sediments released more ammonia to overlaying water and exhibited higher rates of denitrification, which in turn resulted in higher release rates of nitrate. Essentially, all of the components in the nitrogen cycle were highly affected by the variation in chironomid larvae density in profundal sediment.

The effect of macrobenthos on mercury cycling at the sediment-water interface is a more recently recognized phenomenon. A key paper that informed this study was Benoit et al. (2006),

which showed that, in marine sediments from Boston Harbor, macrobenthos burrow densities affected methylmercury levels in sediments. Low levels of methylmercury were found at very high and very low burrow densities. The author suggested that at low densities methylation was inhibited by high pore-water sulfide, and at high burrow densities methylation was inhibited by increased oxygen penetration into sediments as a result of bioirrigation. Based on a conceptual model presented in Benoit et al. (2006), maximum concentrations of methylation should occur at intermediate burrow densities, where the conditions that repress methylation are inhibited. Another key paper was Benoit et al. (2009) who studied methylmercury flux rates across the sediment-water interface through core incubations from Boston Harbor. Benoit et al. (2009) found that burrow densities and methylmercury flux were directly correlated, which also meant that burrow densities can be strong predictors of flux rates. Diffusive flux from the sediment was found to be only a portion of total methylmercury flux. Although these studies were conducted in marine systems instead of freshwater lakes, they were still informative to this study.

#### 1.4 Project Objective and Hypothesis

The overarching objective of this research was to improve our understanding of macrobenthos influence on mercury cycling in profundal freshwater lake sediments. This study evaluated two hypotheses regarding mechanisms that influence the production of methylmercury at the sediment-water interface and its efflux to overlaying profundal waters. The first hypothesis was that an increase in the density of chironomids would cause an increase in the efflux of methylmercury from sediments. The greater efflux would be the result of greater rates of bioirrigation and bioturbation which would enhance the transport of methylmercury from sediment pore-water and into overlaying water. Although this is not what was found in Benoit et al. (2006, 2009), the Benoit et al. studies were conducted in waters with very high benthos

densities, which is not common in freshwater lakes. The second hypothesis was that a decrease in dissolved oxygen, while remaining oxic (from 5 mg/L to 2.5 mg/L), would increase methylmercury efflux to overlaying water. A conceptual framework for my experimental plan is shown in Figure 1.3. Individually, the July incubation compared high and low chironomid density at high DO, while the August incubation compared high and low chironomid density at low DO. However, a comparison across incubations can compare high and low DO at high chironomid density and high and low DO at low chironomid density. Although some studies have been conducted on the influence of macrobenthos on methylmercury efflux from sediment (Benoit, 2006, 2009), little research has been done in freshwater lakes. This study will include similar methodology to other sediment-water interface chamber incubation studies, but the variation of macrobenthos densities and DO levels in freshwater sediments is a new approach to this field of study. Results from this study can have practical implications for lake and reservoir management strategies aimed at impeding the uptake of toxic mercury in aquatic freshwater biota.



**Figure 1.3**: The relationship between chironomid densities and dissolved oxygen comparisons for the two incubations of sediment-water interface chambers.

#### 2. METHODS

#### 2.1 Study Site

The sediment-water interface chambers were collected from Deer Lake, a dimictic oligomesotrophic lake north of Spokane in eastern Washington within Stevens County and the Colville River Watershed (Figure 2.1). Deer Lake covers 445 ha of surface area with an average depth of 15.9 m and a maximum depth of 22.9 m (Figure 2.2). The same sampling site was returned to for both July and August 2011 chambers (48°07.12'N 117°35.32'W).

During the summer, Deer Lake thermally stratifies. In the fall, bottom water below 18 m has low levels of dissolved oxygen (<2 mg/L) and accumulate compounds indicative of anaerobic conditions at the sediment-water interface, such as phosphate and ammonia (Soltero et al., 1991). According to the Washington State Department of Ecology (2002), Deer Lake has low levels of mercury in littoral sediments (mean of 55  $\mu$ g/kg dry weight; n = 3). In contrast, fairly high levels of mercury were found in largemouth bass tissue (mean of 331  $\mu$ g/kg wet weight; n = 10). All of the largemouth bass exceeded the Washington Department of Health consumption criterion of 150  $\mu$ g/kg wet weight, and six of the bass exceeded the EPA Fish Tissue Residual Criterion of 300  $\mu$ g/kg wet weight. The Ecology study concluded that because the Deer Lake bass do not exceed the EPA National Toxics Rule (825  $\mu$ g/Kg wet weight), it does not qualify for placement on the 2002 Washington State Department of Ecology 303(d) List for Impaired Waters with respect to mercury.

Deer Lake has been monitored extensively in the last hundred years. A very early study reported thermal stratification in July 1911, with a surface water temperature of 22 °C, a bottom water temperature of 8.3 °C, and the presence of blue-green algae (Kemmerer et al., 1924). Shoemaker (1976) identified faulty septic tanks as a significant source of phosphorus loading to

the lake. Consecutive sampling events began to show an increase in nutrient levels throughout the century. Finally, a comprehensive limnological study by Soltero et al. (1991) determined that eutrophication in the lake, including high algal productivity and low levels of hypolimnetic DO, was a serious problem. Deer Lake residents responded by purchasing 20 acres of land near the lake shore to prevent cattle grazing from polluting the lake (Shoemaker, 1976; DLPOA, 2005). In addition, a sewer system was designed for local residents to decrease septic tank use. All sewage was transported outside of the watershed to the Loon Lake Wastewater Treatment Facility. Today, all historical grazing areas near the lake are owned by the USDA Natural Resource Conservation Service and Fish and Wildlife Service, and no grazing is permitted on these lands (DLPOA, 2005). Deer Lake has and will continue to be a protected resource in the community.



Figure 2.1: Maps of Deer Lake within Washington State. Modified from <u>www.geology.com</u>.



**Figure 2.2**: Deer Lake bathymetric map with 10 m depth contour lines. Sample site for July 21<sup>st</sup>, 2011 and August 25<sup>th</sup>, 2011 shown with GPS coordinates of 48°07.12'N 117°35.32'W.

### 2.2 Water Column Monitoring

The water column was monitored for select parameters on two sampling dates: June 24<sup>th</sup> and August 25<sup>th</sup>, 2011, which were not the same dates as chamber collections. Water samples were collected at the sampling site (Figure 2.1) every 2 m down the water column for total mercury and total methylmercury. Water samples were collected using a Wildco<sup>©</sup> Teflon Kemmerer Water Sampler and stored in 125 mL glass bottles. Total mercury samples were stored in clear glass bottles, while methylmercury samples were stored in amber glass bottles to reduce photodegradation. Sample bottles for trace metal analyses underwent a vigorous cleaning protocol, which included a nitric acid bath, DI rinse and acid conditioning. The field sampling procedure followed the EPA "Clean Hands Dirty Hands" protocol: Method 1669 (USEPA, 1996). Once filled, the bottles were individually bagged, stored in coolers, and chilled with ice. The water column was also monitored for temperature and dissolved oxygen using the  $Hach^{\mathbb{C}}$ MS5 Sonde HydroLab in July and the Hach<sup>©</sup> HQ40d Portable Meter with Dissolved Oxygen Probe and a Van Dorn water sampler in August. Samples from the field were carefully returned to the lab. Within 24 hours of collection, samples were preserved and stored in a dark, 4 °C refrigerator. Total mercury was preserved with 0.5% bromine monochloride and methylmercury were preserved with 1% hydrochloric acid.

#### 2.3 Chamber Collection

Two separate sets of eight sediment-water interface chambers were collected from the sampling site on July 21<sup>st</sup> and August 25<sup>th</sup>, 2011. Sediment-water interface chambers were extracted using the Wildco<sup>®</sup> Standard (6" x 6" x 6") Ekman Grab, and then sub-sampled into 10-cm diameter polycarbonate chambers. Chamber collection followed methods outlined in Beutel (2006) and Beutel et al. (2008). After sample collection, each chamber was refilled with bottom

water with minimal disturbance to the sediment-water interface using a peristaltic pump through acid-washed Teflon tubing. No air head space remained in the chambers, and this decreased turbulence in the chambers during transport. Bottom water used for refilling was collected previously with the Wildco<sup>®</sup> Teflon Kemmerer Water Sampler and stored in polyethylene Nalgene bottles in coolers. Each set of chambers was sealed and stored in coolers and chilled with ice during transport to the lab. Twenty liters of remaining bottom water were also collected and transported to the lab for later use. Once in the laboratory, chambers were stored in a dark incubator at ambient water temperature (10 °C) and bubbled with a high-purity air mixture until the beginning of the experiment (Figure 2.3).



Figure 2.3: Sediment-water interface chambers in incubation with bubbling air mixture.

## 2.4 Field Chironomid Collection

Sediments at the Deer Lake sampling site were collected for chironomid larvae to add to chamber experiments to increase ambient densities and to quantify ambient densities for each of the sampling events. Sediment was dredged using the Wildco<sup>©</sup> Standard (6" x 6" x 6") Ekman Grab and dispensed into the Wildco<sup>©</sup> Sieve Bucket with a 541  $\mu$ m stainless steel mesh sieve and an area of 0.0625 m<sup>2</sup>. The biota was sieved, collected by hand, and stored in multiple 4 oz. acid-washed polyethylene bottles with previously collected bottom water. This process was repeated until the sufficient chironomid count was achieved. The samples were chilled in coolers and transported to the lab.

#### 2.5 July Chamber Incubations

The first set of sediment-water interface chambers were incubated for 15 days from July 22<sup>nd</sup> to August 5<sup>th</sup>, 2011. In the laboratory, chambers were installed in the VWR BOD Incubator Model 2020 at 10 °C and connected to high-purity air mixture at 15 psi, using Teflon tubing and glass aerators. The glass aerator was installed into each chamber approximately 3 cm above the sediment for minimal disturbance to the sediment-water interface. The high-purity air mixture included 90% nitrogen gas, 10% oxygen, and 350 ppm of carbon dioxide to maintain pH. This air mixture yielded a dissolved oxygen concentration in chamber water of around 5.0 mg/L, roughly half of the saturation.

On Day 0, July  $22^{nd}$ , chamber water was first sampled for dissolved and total mercury to quantify initial conditions in all eight chambers. Dissolved methylmercury samples were filtered through an in-line PALL<sup>®</sup> AquaPrep 600 Capsule with a 0.45 µm Supor<sup>®</sup> membrane. Mercury samples were preserved as described earlier. Temperature and dissolved oxygen were also measured and recorded for each chamber using the Hach<sup>®</sup> HQ40d Portable DO Probe. After

sampling, the chambers were refilled to a uniform water column height of 15 cm with lake bottom water. For minimal disturbance to the sediment-water interface, the peristaltic pump was used at a low speed through acid-washed Teflon tubing. All changes to water column levels were recorded for mass balance calculations.

Once the sediment-water interface chambers were refilled, 20 chironomid larvae were added gently into four of the eight chambers. Average chironomid density in "ambient chambers" before incubation was 1090 chironomids/m<sup>2</sup>. Density in "high density" chambers enhanced with chironomids before incubation was 3910 chironomids/m<sup>2</sup>. After the addition of chironomid larvae to four high density chambers, all chambers were incubated for 15 days until final sampling.

On Day 15, sampling was performed as described above for dissolved and total methylmercury, temperature and dissolved oxygen. Chambers were then disassembled, with sediments from four chambers sieved (two of each treatment) using a Wildco<sup>®</sup> Sieve Bucket for final chironomid density estimates, and sediments from four chambers were frozen (two of each treatment) for future study. Chironomid densities at the end of the incubation averaged 1550 individuals/m<sup>2</sup> in the ambient density chambers and 2540 individuals/m<sup>2</sup> in the high density chambers.

#### 2.6 August Chamber Incubations

A second set of incubations was performed for 15 days from August 26<sup>th</sup> to September 9<sup>th</sup>, 2011, similar to methods from the July incubation but with a few significant differences. The high purity air-mixture included 95% nitrogen gas, 5% oxygen and 350 ppm carbon dioxide. This air mixture yielded a dissolved oxygen concentration in chamber water of around 2.5 mg/L, which is half that of the July incubation. The Day 0 sampling method varied slightly between the

first and second set of incubations. For August, all chambers were carefully drained to the sediment-water interface once in the laboratory, gently filled up with bottom water to a depth of 15 cm, and then the chironomids were added. In addition to dissolved and total methylmercury, total mercury, ammonia and nitrate were also collected from each chamber. Total mercury was sampled in a clear glass 125 mL bottle and preserved with 0.5% bromine monochloride. Ammonia and nitrate were filtered and sampled through the same filter as for dissolved methylmercury, then collected in a clean, hydrochloric acid washed 4 oz. polyethylene bottle and chilled for later analysis. Average chironomid density in the ambient density chambers before incubation was 3680 individuals/m<sup>2</sup> and density for ambient density chambers after incubation was 1200 individuals/m<sup>2</sup> and density in the high density chambers after incubation was 3170 individuals/m<sup>2</sup>.

#### 2.7 Methylmercury Analysis

Methylmercury samples were analyzed in triplicate using the Brooks Rand MERX-M Auto Analyzer. The analyzer has a detection limit of 0.002 ng/L. Dissolved and total methylmercury were analyzed using distillation, aqueous ethylation, purge and trap, and CVAFS following EPA Method 1630 (USEPA, 2001). Before analysis, 50 mL of each sample, including method blanks, were distilled to remove acid used for preservation. Each MERX vial was filled with 40 mL of sample and buffered with 300  $\mu$ L of acetate to a pH of 4.9. Amber MERX bottles were used to reduce photodegradation of methylmercury. For ethylation, 50 mL of sodium tetraethylborate was added to each vial. This solution ethylated all main forms of mercury present in the solution including elemental, ionic and organic methylmercury into a more volatile form of mercury. Finally, all vials were filled with de-ionized water, capped without any air bubbles, and inverted for homogenous mixing.

After vials were prepared, sample trays were installed onto the MERX-M Auto Analyzer and the automated analysis was initiated. Samples were bubbled with nitrogen gas, volatizing all ethylated forms of mercury into a gold amalgamation trap. The mercury was then purged via thermal desorption and moved through the gas chromatographer which separated the forms of mercury, then put the solution through a paralysis step to convert separated mercury forms into elemental mercury. Finally, the Brooks Rand Model III cold vapor atomic fluorescence spectrophotometer (CVAFS) detected the elemental mercury measured in peaks of concentration using highly sensitive optical equipment. Final values, based on CVAFS results, calibrations, sample volume, and detection limits were saved within the Mercury Guru <sup>TM</sup> software, and results were automatically accepted or rejected based on quality control criteria. Each run was calibrated with standards, and quality control standards included matrix spikes (70-125% recovery criterion), ongoing precision and recovery (77-123% recovery criterion) and DI blanks. Under quality assurance, all samples, matrix spikes and matrix duplicates are given an 80% recovery correction, because typically 20% of methylmercury is not captured during distillation.

#### 2.8 Total Mercury Analysis

Total mercury samples were analyzed with the Brooks Rand MERX-T Auto Analyzer. The auto analyzer shares some common equipment, but uses a different purge and trap apparatus. Total mercury was analyzed using oxidation, purge and trap, and CVAFS according to EPA Method 1631 (USEPA, 2002). Each clear MERX vial was filled with 25 mL of sample and 100  $\mu$ L of hydroxylamine hydrochloride was added to remove any remaining bromine monochloride used for preservation. Vials for calibration, matrix spikes and ongoing precision and recovery

were filled with varying amounts of mercury standards. Finally, 100  $\mu$ L of stannous chloride was added to oxidize all forms of mercury to elemental mercury. All vials were then capped and inverted for homogenous mixing.

Once vials were prepared, the trays were installed into the MERX-T Auto Analyzer. Samples were purged with nitrogen gas that volatized elemental mercury onto a gold amalgamation trap. The mercury was then thermally desorbed and measured with a Model III CVAFS detector. Final results were saved in the Mercury Guru<sup>TM</sup> software, which automatically accepted or rejected results based on quality control.

## 2.9 Nitrate and Ammonia Analysis

Nitrate and ammonia samples from the August incubation were analyzed by staff in the Natural Resources Science Department under the supervision of Dr. Barry Moore. Analyses were performed on a SEAL AutoAnalyzer 3 with a high resolution Digital Colorimeter using standard colorimetric methods. Nitrate was technically measured as nitrate plus nitrite, but nitrite was presumed to be low and assumed negligible.

#### 2.10 Flux Estimates and Statistical Analysis

Flux rates for measured mercury species were calculated for each set of sediment-water interface chambers. This rate represents the change in mercury in chamber water with respect to surface area and time of incubation. The equation for mercury flux is as follows:

Where, flux is in ng/m<sup>2</sup>/d, area is in m<sup>2</sup>, time is in days,  $C_t$  is concentration at time "t" in ng/L, and  $V_t$  is volume at time "t" in m<sup>3</sup>.

However, since V divided by A is the height (m) of the water column in each chamber, the equation can be simplified even farther to:

For the July incubation, the flux equation used a weighted concentration at Day 0. As explained above, the Day 0 samples consisted of water from each chamber and the collected unpreserved bottom water. This resulted in a mixture of two slightly different concentrations for the initial concentration. Therefore, this value was calculated using the percentage of volume each solution filled. For the August incubation, the flux equation was simplified even farther, because the initial concentration was not weighted. Instead, the initial concentration was the concentration in the bottom water that was added to each drained chamber.

A comparison of mercury flux rates in chambers with ambient and high densities of chironomids was used to assess the role that chironomid larvae and dissolved oxygen played in the efflux of mercury from profundal sediments. A student t-test analysis was completed for the ambient flux rates and the treated flux rates of both the July and August incubation. This statistical analysis compares the two means (ambient density and high density) and determines whether they were significantly different. A two-tailed t-test, assuming equal variances, was performed using  $\alpha = 0.05$ . If these flux rates were significantly different, it can be assumed that chironomid density, as the primary experimental variable, was the source of this difference. An analysis of variance (ANOVA) was also performed to determine whether there was a statistical difference between the high DO and low DO flux rates and whether dissolved oxygen was a factor. Unlike a t-test, this analysis can compare more than two means, which allows determination of multiple variables as factors. However, for this study, dissolved oxygen was the

only factor analyzed. If this data was significantly different, then dissolved oxygen also played a role in methylmercury efflux from the sediment to the overlaying profundal water.

## **3. RESULTS**

#### 3.1 Water Quality in the Water Column

The temperature and DO profiles collected on June 24<sup>th</sup> and August 25<sup>th</sup>, 2011, are shown in Figure 3.1. For temperature in June, the surface water was 18 °C and the bottom water was 7.0 °C, with a thermocline at approximately 6 m. In August, the surface and deep water were 22 °C and 9 °C, respectively, and the thermocline was at 10 m. From June to August, the lake water increased in temperature and the thermocline descended. The DO in the surface water was approximately 9 mg/L in June and 8 mg/L in August. The DO in bottom waters showed a marked decline, from around 8 mg/L in June to 4 mg/L in August. The rate of hypolimnetic oxygen consumption was about 0.06 mg/L/d.

Methylmercury and total mercury profiles collected on June 17<sup>th</sup> and August 25<sup>th</sup>, 2011, are shown in Figure 3.2. In June, surface methylmercury concentration was extremely low at < 0.01 ng/L, but increased to 0.04 ng/L at 2 m and continued to decrease down the water column to 0.02 ng/L at 19 m. In August, the greatest concentrations of methylmercury were in the lower epilimnion. Surface and bottom water concentrations were 0.01 ng/L. Between the surface and bottom water of Deer Lake, the methylmercury concentration was 0.002-0.005 ng/L, but at approximately 6 m, the methylmercury concentration was 0.04 ng/L, a full magnitude greater. This profile suggests that methylmercury decreased substantially between June and August. In June, total mercury concentrations were somewhat consistent down the water column between 0.6-0.8 ng/L. In August, total mercury ranged from 0.4 ng/L in the surface water and 0.85 ng/L in the bottom water.



**Figure 3.1**: The temperature and dissolved oxygen profiles for the Deer Lake water column from two different sampling events (June 24<sup>th</sup>, 2011 and August 25<sup>th</sup>, 2011).



**Figure 3.2**: The total methylmercury and total mercury profiles for the Deer Lake water column from two different sampling events (June 17<sup>th</sup>, 2011 and August 25<sup>th</sup>, 2011).

# 3.2 Chironomid Densities

Chironomid larvae densities were measured by collection of sediment on July 21<sup>st</sup> and August 25<sup>th</sup>, 2011. Chironomids were sampled on the same dates as sediment-water interface chamber collection. The densities were used to calculate approximate ambient densities in the chambers before incubation. Densities in the high density chambers were calculated as ambient densities with the addition of 20 chironomid larvae per chamber. After incubation, two chambers of each treatment were sieved and counted for post-incubation densities. These values are listed in Table 3.1. During both experimental incubations, chironomid densities appeared to increase somewhat in ambient chambers and decrease in high density chambers. The increase in ambient density chambers is likely due to reproduction of chironomid larvae during incubation, while the decrease in high density chamber could be due to overpopulation or variation in initial densities due to location of chamber collection.

Table 3.1	: The chirono	mid densities (	individuals/m	<sup>2</sup> ) from fiel	ld (pre-incuba	tion) collection	ns and
post-incu	bation sedime	nt samples for	both ambient	density and	l high density	chambers.	

	7/21/2011	8/25/2011
Ambient density chambers as	1,085	861
measured in profundal sediments		
Ambient density chambers at end of	1,975	1,270
15 day incubation in two chambers	1,129	1,129
High density chambers after addition	3,907	3,683
of 20 chironomids per chamber		
High density chambers at end of 15	2,398	2,257
day incubation in two chambers	2,963	4,091

#### 3.3 July Chamber Incubations

For July chamber incubations, chambers were organized into two treatments: ambient density chambers and high density chambers to which additional chironomid larvae were added. The DO in the chamber water was maintained at around 5.0 mg/L using a high-purity air mixture. Chambers were monitored at Day 0 and Day 15 for dissolved and total methylmercury, with results shown in Table 3.2. These concentrations with standard deviations are graphed in Figure 3.3. For dissolved methylmercury concentration, ambient density chambers varied between an increase or decrease in flux during the incubation, while high density chambers showed a consistent increase for all four chambers. For total methylmercury concentration, ambient density chambers, again, exhibited an increase or decrease during the incubation, although the decrease was small; high density chambers show a consistent increase. Overall, high density chambers released more methylmercury than ambient density chambers during the July incubation of 15 days. Although some chambers showed a decrease in methylmercury concentration, on average the change in concentration was positive. Dissolved methylmercury made up approximately 25% of the total methylmercury in both ambient density and high density chamber water.

Using concentration data, average methylmercury flux rates were calculated and compared for ambient density and high density chambers. The average dissolved ad total methylmercury flux rates with standard deviations are shown in Figure 3.4. The dissolved methylmercury flux was  $0.03 \pm 0.16 \text{ ng/m}^2/\text{d}$  (average plus/minus standard deviation, n=4 for all values) for ambient density chambers and  $0.18 \pm 0.05 \text{ ng/m}^2/\text{d}$  for high density chambers. The total methylmercury flux for ambient chambers was  $0.07 \pm 0.32 \text{ ng/m}^2/\text{d}$  and for high density chambers was  $0.33 \pm 0.19 \text{ ng/m}^2/\text{d}$ . This comparison shows that treated chambers generate a greater amount of dissolved and total methylmercury efflux in the overlaying profundal water.

For dissolved methylmercury, the two-tailed two-sample t-test with equal variance provided a p-value of 0.08, but the one-tailed t-test provided a p-value of 0.04, suggesting that concentrations in the ambient density and high density sets of chambers are significantly different. The two-tailed t-test produced a p-value of 0.32 for total methylmercury, which means that the concentrations in the ambient density and high density sets of chambers not determined to be significantly different. The treatment of increasing chironomid density to ambient chambers was significantly different for dissolved methylmercury, but not total methylmercury, during the July incubation.

	Dissolved	Total	
	MeHg	MeHg	
	(ng/L)	(ng/L)	
	INITIAL (DAY 0)		
	Ambient Density		
Ambient 1	$0.0175 \pm 0.004*$	0.1670	
Ambient 2	$0.0218 \pm 0.007*$	$0.1587 \pm 0.012 *$	
Ambient 3	$0.0267 \pm 0.006*$	$0.1016 \pm 0.011 *$	
Ambient 4	$0.0322 \pm 0.002*$	$0.0322 \pm 0.002 *$	
	High Density		
High 1	$0.0055 \pm 0.003*$	0.0055	
High 2	$0.0098 \pm 0.005 *$	$0.0098 \pm 0.005 *$	
High 3	$0.0397 \pm 0.005*$	$0.0397 \pm 0.005 *$	
High 4	$0.0261 \pm 0.002 *$	$0.0261 \pm 0.002 *$	
	FINAL (DAY 15)		
	Ambient Density		
Ambient 1	$0.1385\pm0.006$	0.0361	
Ambient 2	$0.1027 \pm 0.005$	$0.0338 \pm 0.007$	
Ambient 3	$0.0626 \pm 0.004$	$0.0138\pm0.003$	
Ambient 4	$0.0727 \pm 0.002$	$0.0162\pm0.002$	
High Density			
High 1	$0.1450\pm0.001$	0.0354	
High 2	$0.1223\pm0.013$	$0.0293 \pm 0.007$	
High 3	$0.1011 \pm 0.006$	$0.0450\pm0.008$	
High 4	$0.1230\pm0.005$	0.0398	

**Table 3.2**: The summary of the average concentrations of ambient density and high density chambers, including standard deviations, for dissolved and total methylmercury sampled in triplicate for the July incubation.

\*Asterisk indicates that standard deviation was simplified to original concentration only. This was done to avoid complex calculations of the standard deviation for a weighted average Day 0 initial concentration. Values are average  $\pm$  standard deviation of triplicate analyses. Where no standard deviation is listed, analyses were performed in duplicate. Initial ambient density was 1,085 chironomids/m<sup>2</sup> and high density was 3,907 chironomids/m<sup>2</sup>.



**Figure 3.3**: Dissolved and total methylmercury concentration at the beginning and end of the incubation for the July chambers. Error bars are one standard deviation of triplicate analyses.



**Figure 3.4**: Sediment mercury flux under ambient density  $(1,085 \text{ chironomids/m}^2)$  and high density  $(3,907 \text{ chironomids/m}^2)$ . Dissolved oxygen in overlaying water was maintained at 5.0 mg/L during the July incubation. Levels of statistical significance (p value for two-tailed two-sample t-test with equal variances) for dissolved methylmercury and total methylmercury were 0.32 and 0.08, respectively. Error bars represent one standard deviation for four replicates.

#### 3.4 August Chamber Incubations

For the August chamber incubation, chambers were organized into two treatments: ambient density chambers and high density chambers to which additional chironomid larvae were added. The DO in the chamber water was maintained at approximately 2.5 mg/L using a high-purity air mixture. Samples were collected at Day 0 and Day 15 for dissolved and total methylmercury and total mercury. Results for Day 15 are shown in Table 3.3. Initial concentrations are not shown, because August incubation involved a homogenized sample of water collected from the hypolimnion as the initial concentration for all chambers. Due to the variation in procedure, the Day 0 concentration was uniform for all chambers; therefore, an individualized Day 0 concentration was not applicable. These concentrations with standard deviations are shown in Figure 3.5. Relative to ambient density chambers, high density chambers had greater concentrations of total and dissolved methylmercury in overlaying water. In contrast, total mercury concentration in ambient density chambers was lower than in high density chambers. Dissolved methylmercury was approximately 86% of total methylmercury, and total methylmercury was approximately 2.5% of total mercury in both ambient density and high density chambers.

Using concentration data, average methylmercury flux rates were calculated and compared for ambient density and high density chambers. The average dissolved methylmercury, total methylmercury and total mercury flux rates with standard deviations are shown in Figure 3.4. The dissolved methylmercury flux was  $0.16 \pm 0.06 \text{ ng/m}^2/\text{d}$  (average plus/minus standard deviation, n=4) for ambient density chambers and  $0.38 \pm 0.07 \text{ ng/m}^2/\text{d}$  for high density chambers. The total methylmercury flux for ambient density chambers was  $0.37 \pm 0.06 \text{ ng/m}^2/\text{d}$ 

and for high density chambers was  $0.47 \pm 0.05 \text{ ng/m}^2/\text{d}$ . The total mercury flux for ambient density chambers was  $4.5 \pm 1.42 \text{ ng/m}^2/\text{d}$  and for high density chambers is  $2.3 \pm 1.86 \text{ ng/m}^2/\text{d}$ .

Dissolved and total methylmercury flux rates showed an increase from ambient density to high density chambers, which supports the hypothesis that increased chironomid density would yield an increase in methylmercury efflux. Dissolved methylmercury flux rates increased more than total methylmercury flux rates. A two-tailed two-sample t-test with equal variance was completed for dissolved and total methylmercury. The p-value was 0.005 for dissolved methylmercury and 0.02 for total methylmercury, which means that concentrations in high density and ambient density chambers were significantly different. A decrease in the flux rates with an increase in chironomid density was found for total mercury. A two-tailed two-sample ttest with unequal variance was performed for total mercury, due to the removal of high density chamber 4 as an outlier. Even with the outlier removed, the p-value was 0.20; therefore, the treatment of increased chironomid density to the ambient density chambers was not significant for total mercury.

Finally, the two sets of July and August incubations were compared to evaluate trends with respect to dissolved oxygen. The comparison of flux rates for ambient density and high density chambers at high oxygen conditions (July; 5.0 mg/L DO) and low oxygen conditions (August; 2.5 mg/L DO) for dissolved and total methylmercury are shown in Figure 3.7. Total mercury is not shown, because flux rates were not evaluated in the July chambers. The data show that high density chambers had higher levels of efflux for total and dissolved methylmercury than ambient chambers. In addition, as dissolved oxygen concentration decreased, simulating a decreasing oxic hypolimnion, total and dissolved methylmercury efflux increased. A single factor ANOVA was performed to determine whether dissolved oxygen was a factor in

methylmercury efflux. For dissolved and total methylmercury, the p-value was < 0.001, thus dissolved oxygen was a significant factor in methylmercury efflux in ambient density and high density chambers.

**Table 3.3**: The summary of the average concentrations at the end of the incubation of ambient

 density and high density chambers, including standard deviations, for dissolved and total

 methylmercury and total mercury sampled in triplicate for the August incubation.

	Dissolved MeHg	Total MeHg	Total Hg	
Chamber	(ng/L)	(ng/L)	(ng/L)	
	Ambient D	Density		
Ambient 1	$0.0442\pm0.008$	$0.0547\pm0.013$	$2.0600 \pm 0.0436$	
Ambient 2	$0.0360\pm0.005$	$0.0437\pm0.009$	$2.3433 \pm 0.1950$	
Ambient 3	$0.0332 \pm 0.011$	$0.0531 \pm 0.016$	$2.3467 \pm 0.3092$	
Ambient 4	$0.0309 \pm 0.005$	$0.0447 \pm 0.006$	$2.3433 \pm 0.4010$	
High Density				
High 1	$0.0660\pm0.008$	$0.0602\pm0.010$	$1.8133 \pm 0.1665$	
High 2	$0.0556 \pm 0.005$	$0.0595 \pm 0.021$	$1.9867 \pm 0.1756$	
High 3	$0.0492\pm0.001$	$0.0640\pm0.010$	$2.2000 \pm 0.1652$	
High 4	$0.0603 \pm 0.011$	$0.0522\pm0.015$	$2.1967 \pm 0.1815$	

Values are average  $\pm$  standard deviation of triplicate analyses. Where no standard deviation is listed, analyses were performed in duplicate. Initial ambient density was 861 chironomids/m<sup>2</sup> and high density was 3683 chironomids/m<sup>2</sup>.



**Figure 3.5**: Total and dissolved methylmercury and total mercury concentration at the end of the incubation for the August chambers. Error bars are one standard deviation of triplicate analysis.



**Figure 3.6**: Sediment mercury flux under ambient density (861 chironomids/m<sup>2</sup>) and high density (3,683 chironomids/m<sup>2</sup>). Dissolved oxygen in overlaying water was maintained at around 2.5 mg/L for the August incubation. Levels of statistical significance (p value for two-tailed two-sample t-test with equal variances) for dissolved MeHg, total MeHg, and total Hg were 0.005, 0.02, and 0.20, respectively. Error bars represent one standard deviation for four replicates.



**Figure 3.7**: Comparison of dissolved and total methylmercury fluxes for ambient and treated sediment-water interface chamber at high oxic conditions (5.0 mg/L DO) for the July incubation and low oxic conditions (2.5 mg/l DO) for the August incubation. Chironomid larvae densities and statistical significance are the same as noted in Figures 3.4 and 3.6.

#### 3.5 Ammonia and Nitrate in August Experiment Chambers

Ammonia and nitrate were collected from chamber water in the August incubation. The final concentrations for ammonia and nitrate are shown in Figure 3.8. Using the concentrations shown in Figure 3.8, the ammonia, nitrate and dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) flux rates were calculated, and results were shown in Figure 3.9. DIN is the sum of the two nutrients. The ammonia flux rate was  $0.36 \pm 0.005 \text{ mg/m}^2/\text{d}$  (average plus/minus standard deviation, n=4) for ambient density chambers and  $0.45 \pm 0.04 \text{ mg/m}^2/\text{d}$  for high density chambers. The nitrate flux rate was  $0.97 \pm 0.3 \text{ mg/m}^2/\text{d}$  for ambient density chambers and  $0.98 \pm 0.6 \text{ mg/m}^2/\text{d}$  for high density chambers. With these two flux rates, the DIN flux rate was calculated as  $1.32 \pm 0.3$ mg/m<sup>2</sup>/d for ambient density chambers and  $1.43 \pm 0.6$  mg/m<sup>2</sup>/d for high density chambers. The high density chamber 1 was a very large outlier, and without this value, the nitrate flux for high density chambers would have been  $1.27 \pm 0.1 \text{ mg/m}^2/\text{d}$  and the DIN flux for high density chambers would have been  $1.72 \pm 0.2 \text{ mg/m}^2/\text{d}$ . While ammonia and nitrate levels tended to be lower in the ambient density chambers, there was quite a bit of variability in the data. The ambient density chamber 2 was high relative to the other ambient density chambers, while the high density chamber 1 was low relative to other high density chambers.

On average, results show a slight positive increase in ammonia and DIN efflux in high density chambers, but statistical comparison of the flux rates did not yield significant differences between concentrations in the ambient density and high density chambers. Overall, no strong conclusions can be made for the relationship between nitrogen efflux rates and chironomid density.



**Figure 3.8**: The final concentration of ammonia and nitrate at the end of the incubation for August chambers. No errors bars are shown, because samples were analyzed in duplicate.



**Figure 3.9**: Ammonia, nitrate and dissolved inorganic nitrogen (ammonia plus nitrate) flux under ambient density (861 chironomids/m<sup>2</sup>) and high density (3,683 chironomids/m<sup>2</sup>). Error bars represent one standard deviation for four replicates.

#### 4. DISCUSSION

#### 4.1 Water Quality in the Water Column

Temperature and dissolved oxygen levels revealed thermal stratification and low DO levels in bottom water. Overall, summertime temperature and dissolved oxygen decreased with depth. Surface water temperatures were 20 °C and hypolimnetic water temperatures were 9 °C. Surface water temperature increased at a rate of approximately 0.083 °C/day and hypolimnetic water temperature increased at a rate of approximately 0.05 °C/day. Thermal stratification was seen for both monitoring events, and decreased from 6 m in June to 10 m in August. Similar results were found at Deer Lake by Beutel et al. (2008) with surface water temperature at around 14 °C and hypolimnetic water temperature at 7 °C, with a thermocline at approximately 14 m. Temperatures from Beutel et al. (2008) were lower than this study, because field observations were conducted in October, when air temperatures were cooler. According to The Weather Channel<sup>©</sup> (2011), average monthly temperatures near Spokane, WA, are 16.7 °C for June, 20.8 °C for August, and 8.6 °C for October. Warmer water temperatures during summer months can lower the thermocline in lake systems, until fall turnover (Dodds, 2002). The DO levels decreased down the water column for both the June and August monitoring events. From June to August, the deepest portions of the lake decreased from 8 mg/L DO to 4 mg/L DO. In August, the DO levels decreased more severely in the hypolimnion, this is due to rapid loss of DO below the thermocline during summer stratification. Since it was not the focus of this study, we did not measure parameters typically used to estimate the trophic status of lakes, such as transparency and nutrients of algal biomass. However, the observed decline in DO can be used to evaluate trophic status using the metric areal hypolimnetic oxygen deficit (AHOD) (Cornett and Ringler, 1980). The AHOD is a model utilizing the hypolimnetic oxygen levels and the area of the

hypolimnion to determine lake trophic status. This term is in the units of mg of oxygen/m<sup>2</sup>/day and is calculated as the rate of oxygen mass consumption in the hypolimnion divided by the area of the hypolimnion and time lapsed. For Deer Lake, the hypolimnion was approximately 5500 m<sup>3</sup> and the area of the hypolimnion was 390 m<sup>2</sup>. From the model, it was found that AHOD values were directly related to trophic levels in lakes with a maximum depth of 20 to 75 m. The AHOD value for Deer Lake of 0.6 g/m<sup>2</sup>/d correlated to a mesotrophic trophic status (Borowiak et al., 2010).

Total mercury and total methylmercury ranged from 0.5-2.0 ng/L and 0.01-0.04 ng/L, respectively. These levels are comparable with other pristine lakes. In a study of freshwater lakes and reservoirs, Watras (2009) reported that remote, unpolluted lakes ranged from 0.2-7.2 ng/L for total mercury and 0.01-2.2 ng/L for total methylmercury. Total mercury and total methylmercury concentrations tended to be higher in the anoxic hypolimnia than in surface waters, because hypolimnetic enrichment does not occur in oxic hypolimnia (Watras, 2009). The lack of mercury accumulation in the hypolimnion identifies Deer Lake as an unpolluted lake with an oxic hypolimnia. Total mercury in the water column showed no obvious trends over time, but methylmercury decreased in the water can bring snowmelt and runoff into lakes and can bring a high loading of mercury. The most common source of mercury to remote lakes is atmospheric deposition, in either direct deposition or surface runoff (Watras, 2009), including Deer Lake. This would be a possible explanation for the high methylmercury levels seen in June before decreasing in August.

#### 4.2 Mercury in Chamber Incubations

Flux rates increased from ambient density to high density chambers in the July and August incubation. In July, when DO in the overlaying water was maintained at about 5.0 mg/L, dissolved and total methylmercury flux rates averaged 0.03 and 0.07  $ng/m^2/d$  for the ambient density chambers and increased roughly six-fold for dissolved methylmercury and five-fold for total methylmercury in the high density chambers. A similar pattern was seen in August, dissolved and total methylmercury flux rates averaged approximately 0.16 and 0.37  $ng/m^2/d$  for the ambient density chambers, respectively, and flux rates less than doubled in the high density chambers for both flux rates. Also, the ambient density chamber flux rates were an order of magnitude higher at the lower DO level. A student t-test revealed in July a p-value of approximately 0.05 for dissolved methylmercury and p-value > 0.05 for total methylmercury. Therefore, the chironomid density in the chambers significantly affected dissolved methylmercury efflux in July. For the August incubation, the p-values for both dissolved and total methylmercury were < 0.05; consequently, the chironomid density in the chambers significantly affects dissolved and total methylmercury efflux from the sediment. Ultimately, the single-factor ANOVA comparing both incubations revealed a p-value < 0.001, thus DO was a significant factor for both dissolved and total methylmercury in the July and August incubations. Therefore, these results supported our hypothesis that methylmercury efflux would increase as chironomid density increased in sediments and DO decreased in overlaying water.

An interesting result in the August incubation was, unlike methylmercury, total mercury efflux decreased by about half in high density chambers from ambient density chambers. Total mercury was found to have no significant difference with a p-value > 0.05. An ANOVA was not performed for total mercury, since there were no samples collected from the July chambers. This

suggests that different mechanisms affect ionic mercury efflux from sediments than methylmercury efflux. The increase in chironomid density could have inhibited the accumulation of ionic mercury in the sediment and overlaying water. A large fraction of inorganic mercury in lake waters is bound to organic particulate matter (Meili, 1997). Moreover, Morel et al. (1995) found that a large portion of ionic mercury was related to organic particles in experimental incubation studies. The increased bioirrigation in the high density chambers could have filtered out or removed these organic particles with attached ionic mercury. Additionally, Watras (2009) determined that concentrations of total mercury in aerobic sediments of pristine lakes was dramatically less than in anaerobic sediments in contaminated lakes by orders of magnitude. Because of the short residence time of mercury in freshwater and the rapid settling of ionic mercury attached to particles, mercury is usually buried and remains below the sediment surface, with minimal resuspension. In this study, bioirrigation could have resulted in a more oxidized surface layer, encouraging deeper burial of total mercury within the sediment. Oxidized surface layers can also have lower levels of sulfide, which inhibit mercury mobilization (Benoit et al., 2006).

An important operational difference between the July and August incubations was how the initial mercury concentration at Day 0 was collected. In July, a weighted initial concentration was made up of the original water in the chamber and lake water added back to the chamber after initial sampling. The original chamber water and the refilled bottom water were both measured for mercury and then weighted based on percentage of volume occupied within the chamber. Due to the complexity, the standard deviation for the weighted initial concentration on Day 0 was simplified to the original chamber water only. This was done because, ideally, the refilled bottom water would have similar values to the original column water, since they were sampled at

the same time from the same location. The average initial concentrations and standard deviations from each chamber varied significantly. This showed that the dynamic lake system can cause considerable variation within a small portion of the lake sediment. Similar results were shown in Benoit et al. (2006, 2009) when sediment-water chambers from four sampling sites in Boston Harbor had chironomid densities ranging from 1,937 to 105,526 individuals/m<sup>2</sup>. Variation in ambient chironomid densities from Deer Lake chambers could explain initial concentration differences. This complication was rectified in the August incubation, where homogenous mixing was used for uniform initial concentration in water for each chamber. In retrospect, the August method was preferable because of its ease of implementation and related calculations.

Limited studies have evaluated the effect of macrobenthos on methylmercury efflux from profundal sediments. Benoit (2006) studied the interaction between infaunal burrow densities and total methylmercury accumulation in marine sediments. Four sediment cores were extracted varying in low to high macrobenthos burrow densities, as mentioned earlier. The study had two key conclusions. First, burrow density is positively correlated with the depth of maximum methylmercury concentration. Our study could not determine the depth of maximum methylmercury burial, because we were limited to extracting only overlaying profundal water for analysis. The second conclusion was that maximum methylmercury levels in sediments were found at intermediate burrow densities (around 30,000 individuals/m<sup>2</sup>). This is because at low burrow densities (about 2,000 individuals/m<sup>2</sup>), there was an accumulation of sulfide, which inhibited the methylation of mercury, and at high burrow densities (around 100,000 individuals/m<sup>2</sup>), the sediment became an oxidized layer, which also inhibits the methylation of mercury. Similar results were found in our study. The ambient chambers in our experiment were considered to have low burrow densities at approximately 1,000 individuals/m<sup>2</sup>, while the high

density chambers, at approximately 4,000 individuals/ $m^2$ , were comparable to intermediate burrow density in the Boston Harbor context. Just as Boston Harbor sediments contained more methylmercury when burrow densities increased from low to intermediate, methylmercury efflux from Deer Lake sediments increased as chironomid density went from low to intermediate. Benoit et al. (2009) conducted a second study in Boston Harbor sediments, investigating methylmercury efflux and how it relates to burrow densities. It was found that burrow densities are a strong predictor of methylmercury efflux from sediment. Studies similar to this study are compared in Figure 4.1. Benoit et al. (2009) found that total methylmercury flux rates ranged from -0.9 to 41 ng/m<sup>2</sup>/d. Other studies reported methylmercury flux rates as low as -356 ng/m<sup>2</sup>/d in Lava Bay (Gill et al., 1999) and as high as 2544  $ng/m^2/d$  in the Gulf of Trieste, Italy (Covelli et al., 1999). An additional study by Hammerschmidt and Fitzgerald (2008) investigated methylmercury efflux from profundal sediment using shipboard benthic flux chambers. Methylmercury efflux ranged from 15 to 19 n (Kuwabara 2002)g/m<sup>2</sup>/d in the summer. Although the results from this study were similar, these studies were conducted in marine aquatic environments. In saltwater, higher amounts of ionic mercury can be reduced to elemental mercury and volatized into the atmosphere, causing very little mercury to settle to sediment (Mason et al., 1994). Lower levels of ionic mercury available for methylation could explain why some marine methylmercury fluxes were slightly lower in marine sediments compared to the freshwater sediments in our study. As observed in this study, some studies found that anaerobic sediments released more methylmercury, especially in lakes with more mercury contamination. A USGS study conducted sediment-water core incubations in Lahontan Reservoir, NV, to determine the benthic flux from the sediment into overlaying water (Kuwabara et al., 2002). Lahontan Reservoir has historical contamination from local gold and silver mining. Although

Lahontan Reservoir remained oxic down the water column during the summer, this study found that the site with the highest DO level had the lowest methylmercury efflux of  $-3.9 \text{ ng/m}^2/\text{d}$  and the site with the lowest DO level had the highest methylmercury efflux of 27.4 ng/m<sup>2</sup>/d. Methylmercury efflux was found to have a direct correlation with DO levels similar to this study.

Study Site	Total MeHg $(ng/m^2/d)$	Source
Deer Lake, WA	0.07-0.37	This Study
Boston Harbor, MA	-0.9-41	Benoit et al., 2009
Lahontan Reservoir, NV	-3.9-27.4	Kuwabara et al., 2002
San Francisco Bay, CA	-20-183	Choe et al., 2005
Lavaca Bay, TX	-356-895	Gill et al., 1999
Gulf of Trieste, Italy	-112-2544	Covelli et al., 1999
Grado Lagoon, Italy	1050-1602	Covelli et al., 2008
Thau Lagoon, France	-32-68	Point et al., 2007
New York/New Jersey Harbor	15-19	Hammerschmidt and Fitzgerald, 2008

**Table 4.1**: The comparison of total methylmercury flux rates from other similar studies inmarine and freshwater aquatic systems.

#### 4.3 Ammonia and Nitrate in August Chambers

Ammonia and nitrate were also analyzed as ancillary components for the chamber incubation collected on August 25<sup>th</sup>, 2011. Average ammonia efflux increased from 0.36 to 0.45 mg-N/m<sup>2</sup>/d between ambient density and high density chambers (Figure 3.9: top plot) Standard deviations were low, but the flux rates from the ambient density and high density chambers were not significantly different for both nutrients with a p-value > 0.05. For nitrate and dissolved inorganic nitrogen (DIN) average fluxes showed little difference and had large standard deviations. DIN is the sum of ammonium, nitrate and nitrite (Dodds, 2002), but in this study, nitrite is too small and considered negligible. For the ambient density chambers, ammonia and nitrate flux rates were 0.36 and 0.97 mg-N/m<sup>2</sup>/d, respectively. For the high density chambers, ammonia and nitrate flux rates were 0.45 and 0.98 mg-N/m<sup>2</sup>/d, respectively. While rates of ammonia and nitrate efflux were generally higher in the high density chambers, no major trends were seen.

Macrobenthos are known to enhance the efflux of reduced compounds from the sediments to overlaying profundal water as a result of bioturbation and bioirrigation processes. Chironomids can bioirrigate by drawing oxygen down into their burrows for respiration (Boudreau and Jorgensen, 2001). In addition, a byproduct of macrobenthos respiration is ammonia, thus higher densities of chironomids produce more ammonia. We expected ammonia efflux to be higher in the high chironomid density chambers. As noted above, the ammonia and nitrate results were not significantly different. However, in a similar lake sediment study, Svensson (1997) found that oxygen consumption doubled in sediment chambers bioturbated with chironomids than non-bioturbated sediment chambers. Bioturbated sediments had a net positive release of ammonia into overlaying water, which was found to accumulate at the sediment

surface. In addition, nitrate levels increased within the bioturbated sediments, as did the denitrification process, which reduces nitrate into inorganic nitrogen gas. Bioturbated sediments disrupted the diffusive barrier between nitrate and buried anoxic sediments, which fueled the denitrification process. Overall, bioturbated sediments were found to be more of a sink than a release for inorganic nitrogen. Another sediment chamber study by Biswas et al. (2009) conducted an analysis on phosphorus flux from eutrophic and mesotrophic pond sediment. This study showed that phosphorus flux increased more with the presence of chironomids than without from sediment into overlaying water.

Anoxic conditions can have an effect on ammonia release from lake sediments. Under anoxic conditions, ammonia can build up in sediments and diffuse into overlaying water. In contrast, ammonia in aerobic conditions can be removed by being nitrified to nitrate and then denitrified into nitrogen gas, where the aquatic nitrogen then returns to the atmosphere (Kadlec and Wallace, 2009). Nitrification of ammonia cannot occur in anaerobic water. In a study of a wide range of lakes and reservoirs, Beutel (2006) found that lake sediments released ammonia under anoxic conditions, and release rates were significantly lower or negligible under oxic conditions. Typical rates for oligo-mesotrophic lakes, similar to Deer Lake, were less than 5 mg-N/m<sup>2</sup>/d. Results from this study were drastically lower, even with increased chironomid density, because aerobic conditions were maintained in the chambers. This condition enhanced nitrification and subsequently denitrification, which removed nitrogen from the aquatic system.

#### 5. CONCLUSION

Macrobenthos, such as chironomid larvae used in this study, can bioirrigate the sedimentwater interface and enhance the efflux of compounds from the sediment to overlaying profundal water (Boudreau and Jorgensen, 2001). In this study, two sets of sediment-water interface chambers were incubated under two treatments: ambient chironomid density chambers and high chironomid density chambers. The two sets of chambers varied in DO exposure. This study confirmed that macrobenthos and DO played an important role in the release of methylmercury from profundal sediment into overlaying water in freshwater lakes. Methylmercury efflux rates measured in our study were similar to those reported in related studies (Benoit et al., 2009; Gagnon et al., 1997; Gill et al., 1999; Hammerschmidt and Fitzgerald, 2008). The results from this study supported our initial hypotheses that as DO decreased and chironomid density increased, methylmercury efflux from sediment increased. T-tests determined that the flux rates for the ambient density and high density chambers were significantly different, and an ANOVA concluded that DO was a significant factor in methylmercury efflux from profundal sediment to overlaying water. Both ambient density and high density chambers exhibited a negative efflux of total mercury from the August incubation, but were not significantly different between the two different densities.

Ammonia and nitrate were also measured during the August incubation. While none of the nitrogen efflux rates showed significant differences between the chambers, the flux rate of ammonia increased from the ambient density to high density chambers. Nitrate and DIN effluxes were similar between treatments. Ammonia release rates were comparable to a similar chamber study by Svensson (1997).

This study can advance lake management practices with respect to the methylmercury efflux from sediments. One approach for increasing oxygen in anoxic bottom waters of lakes is hypolimnetic oxygenation (Beutel and Horne, 1999). Oxygenation systems release soluble oxygen into the hypolimnion during summer stratification to maintain a well oxygenated condition in bottom waters while maintaining thermal stratification. This study showed that increasing DO levels from 2.5 mg/L DO to 5.0 mg/L DO at the sediment water interface decreased the sediment efflux of methylmercury by approximately 80%. However, a byproduct of creating an oxygen-rich hypolimnion is that biota can flourish in oxygenated sediments, such as macrobenthos, and increase methylmercury efflux. Thus, oxygenation can decrease or indirectly increase methylmercury efflux.

It is important to note that this increase in methylmercury efflux from the bioirrigation of macrobenthos may be insignificant when compared to the alternative: methylmercury efflux under anoxic conditions. For example, in Twin Lake, another study site for the Mercury Research Group, bottom waters accumulate approximately 0.4 ng/L over the three to four months in the summer and fall when the hypolimnion is anaerobic. With a hypolimnetic mean depth of about 4 m, the flux in the hypolimnion was approximately 10 ng/m<sup>2</sup>/d. This is an order of magnitude higher than the rates measured in our chambers. Overall, both macrobenthos and DO are related factors to the efflux of methylmercury at the sediment-water interface, and both factors are influenced by lake oxygenation. The use of nitrate to inhibit sediment methylmercury efflux while avoiding recolonization of macrobenthos in sediment has been proposed for mercury polluted lake ecosystems (Todorova et al., 2009). Nitrate can inhibit sulfur-reducing bacteria and methylmercury efflux from sediment, without providing an oxygen-rich environment for biota. Nolan (2011) recently reported that calcium nitrate is being injected via

barge into the bottom waters of Onondaga Lake, a highly polluted lake near Syracuse, NY, to repress methylmercury release from sediments. Results have shown that a nitrate concentration of approximately 1 mg-N/L repressed methylmercury efflux from sediments. However, introducing nitrate in high concentrations to freshwater lake systems can initiate other problems, such as increased algal blooms and groundwater contamination. High levels of nitrate in drinking water can cause blood diseases, such as "baby-blue" syndrome. In some bodies of water, nitrogen is often considered a limiting nutrient, thus increasing the concentration of nitrogen in the system can increase eutrophication. Lake management practices will continue to improve with a greater understanding in methylmercury efflux from sediment. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate the effects of macrobenthos density on methylmercury efflux from freshwater lake sediments. While these concepts are extensively studied in sulfur-rich marine environments (Benoit et al., 2006, 2009), the effects of macrobenthos density on methylmercury cycling in freshwater is still somewhat of a mystery. Future research could include a study which depended on variability in ambient density, perhaps as a function of depth. Oligochaetes are another common type of macrobenthos in freshwater lake sediments, whose primary disruption of the sediment-water interface is burrowing rather than bioirrigation. A study of the influence of oligochaetes on the mercury cycling at the sediment-water interface could provide more information on whether the activity of macrobenthos can release or bury dissolved compounds. This study has provided initial research on methylmercury efflux from sediment in freshwater lakes as a function of chironomid density and dissolved oxygen levels, but further research still needs to be done.

#### 6. REFERENCES

- Benoit, J.M., Shull, D.H., Harvey, R.M., Beal, S.A. "Effect of Bioirrigation on Sediment-Water Exchange of Methylmercury in Boston Harbor, Massachusetts." *Environmental Science* & Technology, 2009: 43: 3669-3674.
- Benoit, J.M., Shull, D.H., Robinson, P., Ucran, L.R. "Infaunal burrow densities and sediment monomethyl mercury distributions in Boston Harbor, Massachusetts." *Marine Chemistry*, 2006: 102: 124-133.
- Beutel, M.W., Horne, A.J. "A review of the effects of hypolimnetic oxygenation on lake and reservoir water quality." *Lake Reserv. Manage.*, 1999: 15(4): 285-297.
- Beutel, Marc W. "Inhibition of ammonia release from anoxic profundal sediments in lakes using hypolimnetic oxygenation." *Ecological Engineering*, 2006: 28: 271-279.
- Beutel, Marc W., Horne, A.J., Taylor, W.D., Losee, R.F., Whitney, R.D. "Effects of oxygen and nitrate on nutrient release from profundal sediments of a large, oligo-mesotrophic reservoir, Lake Mathews, California." 2008.
- Beutel, Marc W., Leonard, T.M., Dent, S.R., Moore, B.C. "Effects aerobic and anaerobic conditions on P, N, Fe, Mn and Hg accumulation in waters overlaying profundal sediments of an oligo-mesotrophic lake." *Water Research*, 2008: 42: 1953-1962.
- Biswas, J.K., Rana, S., Bhakta, J.N., Jana, B.B. "Bioturbation potential of chironomid larvae for the sediment-water phosphorus exchange in simulated pond systems of varied nutrient enrichment." *Ecological Engineering*, 2009: 35: 1444-1453.
- Borowiak, D., Nowinski, K., Baranczuk, J., Skowron, R., Solarczyk, A., Marszelewski, W. "Interactions between Areal Hypolimnetic Oxygen Depletion rate and trophic state of lakes in northern Poland." *BALWOIS Conference*, 2010.

- Boudreau, B.P., Jorgensen, B.B. *The Benthic Boundary Layer: Transport Processes and Biogeochemistry*. New York: Oxford University Press, Inc., 2001.
- Bretagne N.H., Ambers, R.K., Ambers, C.P. "Tracing the source of mercury contamination in the Dorena Lake watershed, Western Oregon." *Environ. Geol.*, 2001: 40: 853-859.
- Coequyt, J., Wiles, R., Stadler, F., Hawkins, D.,. *Mercury Falling: An analysis of mercury pollution from coal-burning power plants.* 1999, Washington, D.C.: Environmental Working Group/Clean Air Network/NRDC, 1999.
- Deer Lake Property Owners Association, (DLPOA). Deer Lake 2005 Water Quality Study Report. Spokane: Spokane Tribal Laboratories, 2005.
- Dodds, Walter K. Freshwater Ecology: Concepts and Environmental Applications. San Diego: Academic Press, 2002.
- Gagnon, C., Pelletier, E., Mucci, A. "Behaviour of anthropogenic mercury in coastal marine sediments." *Mar. Chem.*, 1997: 59: 159-176.
- Gill, G.A., Bloom, N.S., Cappellino, S., Driscoll, C., Dobbs, C., McShea, L., Mason, R.P., Rudd,
  J. "Sediment-water fluxes of mercury in Lavaca Bay, Texas." *Environ. Sci. Technol.*,
  1999: 33: 663-669.
- Gilmour, C.C., Henry, E.A. "Mercury methylation in aquatic systems affected by acid deposition." *Environmental Pollution*, 1991: 71:131-169.
- Hammerschimdt, C.R., Fitzgerald, W.F. "Sediment-water exchange of methylmercury determined from shipboard benthic flux chambers." *Marine Chemistry*, 2008: 109:86-97.
- Katsev, S., Chaillou, G., Sundby, B. "Effects of progressive oxygen depletion on sediment diagenesis and fluxes: A model for lower St. Lawrence River Estuary." *Limnol. Oceanogr.*, 2007: 52(6): 2555-2568.

- Kemmerer, G., Bovand, J.F., Boorman, W.R. "Northwestern Lakes of the United States:Biological and chemical studies with reference to possibilities in production of fish."*Bulletin of the U.S. Bureau of Fisheries*, 1924: 39: 51-140.
- Kerin, E.J., Gilmour, C.C., Roden, E., Suzuki, M.T., Coates, J.D., Mason, R.P. "Mercury Methylation by Dissimilatory Iron-Reducing Bacteria." *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 2006: 72: 7919-7921.
- Kuwabara, J.S., Marvin-Dipasquale, M., Praskins, W., Byron, E., Topping, B.R., Carter, J.L.,
   Fend, S.V., Parchaso, F., Krabbenhoft, D.P., Gustin, M.S. *Flux of Dissolved Forms of Mercury Across the Sediment-Water Interface in Lahontan Reservoir, NV.* 02-4138,
   United States Geological Survey Investigations Report, 2002.
- Mason, R.P., Fitzgerald, W.F., Morel, F.M.M. "The biogeochemical cycling of elemental mercury: anthropogenic influences." *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 1994: 58:3191-98.
- Mason, R.P., Morel, F.M.M., Hemond, H.F. "The role of microorganisms in elemental mercury formation in natural waters." *Water Air Soil Pollut.*, 1995: 80: 775-787.
- Meili, M. "Mercury in lakes and rivers." Metal Ions Biol. Syst., 1997: 34:21-51.
- Mergler, Donna, Anderson, H.A., Chan, L.H.M., Mahaffey, K.R., Murray, M., Sakamoto, M., Stern, A.H. "Methymercury exposure and health effects in humans: a worldwide concern." *AMBIO: A Journal of the Human Environment*, 2007: 36(1):3-11.
- Morel, F.M.M., Kraepiel, A.M.L., Amyot, M. "The Chemical Cycle and Bioaccumulation of Mercury." *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 1998: 29: 543-566.

- Nolan, J., Effler, S., Driscoll, C., Henry, B., Auer, M. "Controlling sediment release of methylmercury via nitrate addition." *Presentation at the North American Lake Management Society Annual Conference*. Spokane, November, 2011.
- Shoemaker, W.J. "Water and sewage facilities plan for Deer Lake." *Report sponsored by Public Utilities District No. 1 Stevens County*, 1976.
- Soltero, R.A., Wainwright, M.L., Sexton, L.M., Humphreys, L.M., Buchanan, J.P., Seigmund,
  B.L., Anderson, D.E., Oppenheimer, J.O., Morency, D.A. "Water Quality Assessment of
  Deer Lake, Washington." *Biology Department, Eastern Washington University, Cheney,*WA, 1991.
- Svensson, Jonas M. "Influence of Chironomus plumosus larvae on ammonia flux and denitrification (measured by the acetylene blockage- and the isotope pairing-technique) in eutrophic lake sediment." *Hydrobiologia*, 1997: 346: 157-168.
- The Weather Channel, (weather.com). *Monthly Averages for Spokane, WA*. 2011. http://www.weather.com/outlook/recreation/outdoors/wxclimatology/monthly/graph/US WA0422.
- Todorova, S.G., Driscoll, Jr., C.T., Matthews, D.A., Effler, S.W., Hines, M.E., Henry, E.A.
  "Evidence for Regulation of Monomethyl Mercury by Nitrate in a Seasonally Stratified, Eutrophic Lake." *Enviro. Sci. Technol.*, 2009: 43: 6572-6578.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency, (USEPA). "Mercury Study Report to Congress: Vol. VII: Characterization of Human Health and Wildlife Risks from Mercury Exposure in the United States." Washington, D.C., 1997.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency, (USEPA). *Mercury: Health Effects*. http://www.epa.gov/hg/effects.htm, 2010.

- United States Environmental Protection Agency, (USEPA). "Method 1630: Methylmercury in water by distillation, aqueous ethylation, purge and trap, and CVAFS." In *EPA-821 R-01-020*. Washington, D.C.: USEPA, 2001.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency, (USEPA). "Method 1631, revision E: Mercury in water by oxidation, purge and trap, and cold vapor atomic fluorescence spectrometry." In *EPA-821 R-02-019*. Washington, D.C.: USEPA, 2002.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency, (USEPA). "Method 1669: Sampling Ambient Water for Trace Metals at EPA Water Quality Criteria Levels." In *EPA-821 R-96-008*.
  Washington, D.C.: USEPA, 1996.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency, (USEPA). "Model-Based Analysis and Tracking of Airborne Mercury Emissions to Assist in Watershed Planning." Washington, D.C., 2008.
- United States Environmental Protection Agency, (USEPA). *The National Listing of Fish Advisories*. National Fish and Wildlife Contamination Program, 2010.

Wallace, Kadlec and. Treatment Wetlands. Boca Raton: CRC Press, 2009.

- Washington State Department of Ecology, (WSDOE). Mercury in Edible Fish Tissue and Sediments from Selected Lakes and Rivers of Washington State. Olympia: Department of Ecology, 2003.
- Watras, C.J. "Bioaccumulation of mercury in pelagic freshwater food webs." *Science of the Total Environment*, 1998: 219:183-208.
- Watras, C.J. "Mercury Pollution in Remote Freshwaters." In *Encyclopedia of Inland Waters*, by Gene Elden Likens, 100-109. New York: Elsevier, Inc., 2009.