EVIDENCE FOR GENETIC DIFFERENCES IN THE AFRICANIZED HONEY BEE POPULATIONS OF SOUTH AND NORTH AMERICA

By

FATIMAH S ALHAMLAN

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

MASTER OF SCIENCE

Washington State University (Department of Entomology)

May 2007

To the Faculty of Washington State University:

The members of the Committee appointed to examine the thesis of FATIMAH ALHAMLAN find it satisfactory and recommend that it be accepted

Chair

DEDICATION

I would like to dedicate this work to my husband, Abdullah Assiri, for his understanding and assistance. His support and patience during the past two years did not go unnoticed or unappreciated. Without his strength and encouragement, I know I could not have accomplished this. Abdullah managed to hold our household together as I pursued the Master degree. He took on the job of housekeeper, cook and caregiver for our daughter in addition to his own busy schedule. His kindness, generosity, responsibility, and love were an essential part of this project. He is my partner and soul mate and his love and encouragement gave me the stamina to withstand the pressures and stress of graduate school. Thank you, Abdullah.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am indebted to many people for their support of my Master program. I would like to thank, first and foremost, my advisor Dr. Walter S. Sheppard, who provided me with the research funding and academic guidance. His kind help and mentorship were instrumental throughout my program. I would like to thank my committee members Drs. Richard Zack and Carol Anelli, for their endless support and valuable feedback during my program. I am very grateful to Dr. Marina Meixner for teaching me the molecular techniques. Without her help, my research would not have been possible.

I would like to thank members of Dr. Sheppard's laboratory: Debbie Delaney, Ben Horwath, and Sam Hapke. Their valuable feedback on my research has deepened my understanding and enhanced this work. I would additionally like to thank Stephen Spear, a graduate student in the School of Biological Sciences, whose singular contributions to the analysis using the GENEPOP program have brought much of this work to fruition.

I would like to thank my parents, Saeed Alhamlan and Sara Aljurman, and my brothers and sister who have always been remarkably supportive of my interests, despite the fact that those interests have not always been understandable or interesting to them.

I am extremely grateful to my cousin, Saeed M. Alhamlan, who helped me a great deal throughout my university studies. Very special thanks go to my immediate family for their love and support. My daughter; Albatoul, my brother; Abulmohsin, and especially my husband; Abdullah, were so important to the successes of my research. Last but not least, I would like to thank the Ministry of Higher Education, the Government of Saudi Arabia for their financial support.

EVIDENCE FOR GENETIC DIFFERENCES IN THE AFRICANIZED HONEY BEE POPULATIONS OF SOUTH AND NORTH AMERICA

Abstract

By Fatimah S. Alhamlan, M.S. Washington State University May 2007

Chair: Walter S. Sheppard

The arrival of Africanized honey bee *Apis mellifera* to the United States less than fifty years after its original release in Brazil is an interesting phenomenon. To better understand the population genetic aspects of this phenomenon, genetic variability and differentiation among Africanized honey bee populations were investigated. Mitochondrial and microsatellite DNA markers were used to compare the genetic composition of several populations of Africanized honey bees from Brazil, Central America, and Texas. Using mitochondrial DNA markers, three haplotypes were identified. One haplotype was European (C1) and the others were African (A1 and A4). A major shift in the frequencies of African mitochondrial DNA haplotypes A1 and A4 was detected across the range of Africanized honey bees. The A1 haplotype increased northward to Texas and largely replaced the A4 haplotype. The direction of the clinal distribution of A1-A4 in South America and perhaps even Africa appears opposite to the findings of the present study. It was reported that A1 was predominant in the more equatorial regions of Africa and South America, while the frequency of A4 increases in

V

the more temperate (southern region). Selection along a temperature/climate gradient has been suggested as the reason for an observed A1-A4 cline in South America. However, in our study, the best explanation for the A1 northward incline would be selection for some geneotypes. The microsatellite DNA analysis revealed that the three populations displayed high genetic diversity with an average of expected heterozygosity per locus 0.82. Furthermore, private alleles found in the Texas population suggest ongoing hybridization with non-Africanized honey bees.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|------|
| DEDICATION | iii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | iv |
| ABSTRACT | . v |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | .vii |
| INTRODUCTION | .1 |
| MATERIALS AND METHODS | .4 |
| Samples and DNA extraction | .4 |
| Mitochondrial DNA Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (RFLP) | 5 |
| Microsatellite DNA analysis | .6 |
| RESULTS AND DISCUSSION | 7 |
| CONCLUSION | 11 |
| REFERENCES1 | 2 |
| FIGURES | 16 |
| TABLES1 | 8 |

Introduction

The Old World honey bee, Apis mellifera, has been classified into 26 subspecies, and four evolutionary lineages based on morphological characters (Ruttner 1988; Sheppard 1997; Engel 1999; Sheppard & Meixner 2003). The evolutionary lineages are supported by data from molecular studies conducted with mitochondrial DNA (Smith 1991b; Cornuet et al. 1991a; Cornuet & Garnery 1991b; Garnery et al. 1992; Garnery et al. 1993; Arias & Sheppard. 1996; Garnery et al. 1998a), allozymes (Del Lama et al. 1988; Lobo et al. 1989; Sheppard et al. 1991b) and microsatellite markers (Estoup et al. 1995; Garnery et al. 1998b; Franck et al. 2001; De la Rua et al. 2001; Solignac et al. 2003). The lineages include: M, corresponding to western European subspecies; C, that includes subspecies from southeastern Europe; A, corresponding to African subspecies and O, corresponding to subspecies from western and central Asia (Ruttner 1988; Meixner et al. 1994; Sheppard & Meixner 2003). Historically, a number of honey bee subspecies from various lineages were introduced into the Americas for beekeeping. The New World descendents of one subspecies imported from Africa have been particularly newsworthy and are commonly known as Africanized honey bees. Africanized honey bees (AHB) are descended from African queen bees released in the mid 1950's in southeastern Brazil from hives populated by the subspecies A. m. scutellata (Kerr 1967; Kerr & Bueno 1970). The subspecies A. m. scutellata (Lepeletier) is highly adapted to tropical conditions (Taylor 1985). The purpose of its introduction to South America was to cross-breed it with imported European bees already present in Brazil to obtain honey bees better adapted to tropical conditions with the hope of increasing honey production. After their introduction, AHB spread rapidly through Brazil at a rate of approximately 350 km/year (Lobo *at al.* 1989). In 1990, the leading edge of the Africanized honey bee population was detected in Texas (Sugden and Williams 1990). By 1999, Africanized honey bees were established in four states: Arizona, California, Nevada, and New Mexico (Sheppard *et al.* 1999, Boyce *et al.* 2002), and in 2005, Africanized honey bees were discovered in Florida (Sanford & Hall 2005).

For several decades, the northward expansion of Africanized honey bees was extensively studied using morphological, behavioral, and biochemical methods. Studies of neotropical feral populations suggested that Africanized honey bees expanded primarily by maternal migration (Taylor 1985; Hall & Muralidharan 1989; Smith *et al.* 1989; Hall & Smith 1991b; Smith 1991a; Hall& McMichael 2001). Therefore, hybridization between African and European lineage honey bees in tropical South America most likely resulted from paternal (drone) contributions (Del Lama *et al.* 1988; Lobo *et al* 1989; Del Lama *et al.* 1990; Sheppard *et al.* 1991a). Moreover, microsatellite DNA (Franck *et al.* 1998; Clarke *et al.* 2002) showed unequal introgression of nuclear European and African genes in hybrid populations. In nesting biology and behavior, AHB populations within tropical areas remained essentially African (Schneider *et al.* 2004).

Although Africanized honey bees expanded their range to occupy a large portion of South America, they have not successfully colonized latitudes further south than 35° (Diniz *et al.* 2003). Apparently, there has been a relatively stable distribution of Africanized honey bee populations in Argentina since the mid 1970's (Kerr *et al.* 1982; Sheppard *et al.* 1991b; Diniz *et al.* 2003). Temperate climates appear to represent a natural limit to the expansion of Africanized honey bee populations (Rinderer et al. 1993b). In early 1990, the Rinderer group studied the hybridization process between European and Africanized honey bees in the neotropical Yucatan peninsula, where the invading front of AHB encountered large populations of European honey bees. Thirty percent of the colonies in Yucatan peninsula displayed African-derived mitochondrial DNA, which suggested that introgressive hybridization had occurred (Rinderer et al. 1991). Twelve years after the arrival of Africanized honey bees in Yucatan peninsula, the percentage of the African-derived mitochondrial DNA increased to 87% indicating a substantial gene flow from Africanized honey bee queens to the European colonies (Clarke et al. 2002). A recent study by Pinto et al. (2004) reported the replacement of a feral European honey bee population by Africanized honey bees in southern Texas over an 11 year period. The study found a situation similar to that on the Yucatan peninsula, due to the invasion of AHB populations. By 2001, 90% of the feral colonies in the study site were composed of African-derived mitochondrial DNA, supporting the hypothesis that AHB queens replaced most of the wild European honey bee queens. However, interpreting the Texas results was complicated due to different factors. The first factor was the presence of feral European honey bee colonies that allowed the hybridization to take place. The second factor was the presence of the parasitic mite Varroa destructor (Anderson and Trueman) that caused an asymmetrical loss, primarily of European honey bee colonies (Pinto et al. 2004).

The hybrid zone in North America has not been fully studied during the AHB invasion process. In this research, I characterized the genetic composition of several populations of Africanized honey bees from Brazil to the southern United States using molecular approaches. These data were used to address alternative hypotheses concerning the AHB expansion: 1) AHB populations that reached Texas have a higher proportion of African ancestry than AHB population in Brazil ("migrant front" hypothesis) or, 2) Texas AHB has a higher proportion of European ancestry ("hybridization" hypothesis).

The "migrant front" hypothesis suggests that the most highly African-like bees could be found in the expanding "front" populations. The bees along this portion of the range would have expanded without extensive hybridization with European honey bees. As a result, AHB populations that reached Texas could contain a higher proportion of African genes than older established AHB populations. The hybridization hypothesis suggests that genetic mixing between African and European bees occurred during the expansion process. Therefore, the bees that reached Texas should have a higher proportion of European hone bee genes than the established AHB populations in Brazil.

Materials and Methods

Samples and DNA extraction

A total of 232 colonies of *Apis mellifera* from presumptive AHB populations were collected between 1991 and 1993 from different regions of Brazil, Central America, and Texas. There were 178 colonies from Brazil divided as follow: (92 colonies from Sao Paulo, 11 colonies from Rio Do Sul, 11 colonies from Vicosa, 23 colonies from Brazilia, 22 colonies from Bahia and 19 colonies from Pernambuco). There were 20 colonies from Central America 10 from Honduras and 10 from Costa Rica. Finally, there were 44 colonies of AHB from Texas. Collecting locations are reported in Table 1. The Texas

samples were collected between 1991 and 1993, and were determined to be "Africanized" using the full morphometric protocol developed for this purpose (USDA-ID) (Rinderer *et a*l. 1993a). The bees were maintained at -80 °C or in 70% ethanol until they were processed in the laboratory. Total DNA was extracted from single bee legs from each sample in 150µl 10% Chelex and 5µl proteinase K (10 mg/ml) (modified from Walsh *et al.* 1991). The legs and the extraction solution were incubated for 1 hour at 55 °C, 15 minutes at 99 °C, 1 minute at 37 °C and 15 minutes at 99 °C. Extracted DNA was stored at -80 °C until use in mtDNA restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) and microsatellite analysis.

Mitochondrial DNA Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (RFLP)

Oligonucleotide primers E2 and H2 and published amplification procedures (Cornuet *et al.* 1991a) were used to PCR-amplify the COI-COII intergenic region of the honey bee mitochondrial DNA. To determine total PCR product size, a fraction of the amplified products was run on 1% agarose gel electrophoresis. Polymorphisms were scored for different lengths as previously described; Q (200 bp), PQ (250 bp), PQQ (450 bp), and PQQQ (650 bp) (Garnery *et al.* 1993). A 20µl aliquot from each amplification was digested with 5 units of *Dra*I restriction enzyme (Promega, Madison, WI) at 37 °C for 6 hours. Digested fragments were separated by electrophoresis on a 10% polyacrylamide gel and DNA fragments were visualized under UV light after staining with ethidium bromide. Haplotypes A, C and M refer to the African, west European and north Mediterranean mitochondrial lineages, respectively. Resulting haplotypes were scored according to Garnery *et al.* (1993).

Subspecies belonging to the C and O evolutionary lineages were characterized as mitochondrial haplotype using *Dra*I restriction enzyme methodology (Granery *et al.* 1993).

Microsatellite DNA analysis

Ten polymorphic microsatellite loci were analyzed in this study: A7, A24, A28, A88, A113, B124 (Estoup et al. 1995), Ap43, (Garnery et al. 1998b), Ap55, Ap66, and Ap81 (Garnery *et al.* unpublished data). The amplifications were carried out using two multiplex reactions. Extracted DNA was amplified by PCR in 10 µl reactions containing 1 µl extracted DNA, 1X reaction buffer (Promega, Madison WI), 3 mM dNTP mixture, 1.0- 4.0 mM labeled primers, 0.001mg bovine serum albumin (BSA), and 1.5 units of Taq polymerase (Promega, Madison WI). The concentration of $MgCl_2$ was adjusted to 1.5 mM for loci A24, 128, A88, Ap66, and B124 and 1.2 mM for loci A7, A113, Ap43, Ap55, and Ap81. The reaction conditions for the PCR were: one 7 minutes cycle at 95 °C, 30 cycles of 95 °C for 30 seconds, 54 °C for 30 seconds, 72 °C for 30 seconds and 60 minutes cycle at 72 °C (Kandemir et al. 2006). The locus-specific primers were fluorescently labeled and the products were separated and sized using an Applied Biosystems ABI 3730 automated DNA sequencer. The resulting electrophorograms were scored using GeneMapperTM software. The genetic diversity among our samples was assessed by using the GENETIX software package (Belkhir et al. 2001). The allele numbers, allele frequencies, and the expected and observed heterozygosity at each microsatellite locus per population were calculated. The GENEPOP program version 3.4 (Raymond & Rousset 1995) was used to calculate the departure from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE), test the linkage disequilibrium (LD), calculate the number of migrants using private alleles (Nm), and the genetic differentiation among the populations (F_{ST}).

Results and Discussion

MtDNA data

Among the 242 analyzed colonies, three previously reported mitochondrial haplotypes were observed, A1, A4 and C1 (Garnery *et al.* 1993). The haplotypes A1 and A4 originate from the African evolutionary lineage and C1 belongs to the southeastern European lineage. The haplotype frequencies found in Brazil were as follows: Sao Paulo, A4 (98%), A1 (1%), C1 (1%); Rio Du Sol, A4 (91%), A1 (9%); Vicosa, A4 (91%), A1 (9%); Brasilia, A4 (70%), A1 (26%), and C1 (4%); Bahia, A4 (72%), A1 (23%), and C1 (5%); and Pernambuco, A4 (68%), A1 (21%), and C1 (11%). The haplotype frequencies found in Central America were as follows: Costa Rica A4 (70%), A1 (20%), C1 (10%); Honduras A4 (40%), A1 (40%), C1 (20%). The Texas samples yielded haplotype frequencies A4 (23%) and A1 (77%) (Table 1). The frequency of haplotype A4 declined from Brazil to Texas. The A4 haplotype, predominant in Brazil and Central America, occurred in a frequency of only 23% in Texas, while the A1 haplotype increased to 77%. The frequency distributions of the three haplotypes are given in Figure 1.

The most obvious change between the samples from South America and Texas was the major frequency shift in the two African mitochondrial haplotypes. The shift occurred gradually starting from Rio Du Sol (South) through Sao Paulo (South East) through the North regions of Brazil including Vicosa, Bahia, and Pernambuco through Central America to Texas (Figure 1). There are several possible explanations for this change in frequency from Brazil to Texas. One of these could be the simple effects of genetic drift due to repeated bottlenecks as the AHB moved northward from Brazil. Alternatively, the haplotype A1 could be linked to a migrant-prone genotype, consistent with the migrant front hypothesis. Selection along a temperature/climate gradient has been suggested as the reason for an observed A1-A4 haplotype cline in South America (Collet et al. 2006). However, the direction of the clinal distribution of A1-A4 in South America and perhaps even Africa (Moritz et al. 1994; Garnery et al. 1995; Franck et al. 2001) appears to be opposite to that found in the present study. It was reported that A1 was predominant in the more equatorial regions of Africa and South America (Collet et al. 2006), while the frequency of A4 increased in the more temperate (southern) region. In our study, the frequency of A1 was highest in Texas, the most temperate area sampled and higher than has been reported for any Brazilian populations (Collet *et al.* 2006). The linkage with a migrant-prone genotype cannot be ruled out, although there is an ample evidence of subsequent hybridization in the Texas population.

Microsatellite DNA

The allele numbers, allele frequencies, and the expected and observed heterozygosity at each microsatellite locus per population were calculated using GENETIX software. As shown in Table 3, the allelic variability of the ten loci ranged from 24 alleles (locus Ap66) to 8 alleles (locus A24) with an average of 13.9 alleles per locus. The total number of alleles detected in Brazil for the 10 loci was 162, and for Texas it was 146. Only 106 alleles were found in Central America, although this was not comparable to Brazil and Texas due to the smaller number of colonies sampled. The allele frequencies for the ten loci are detailed in Table 2. Overall, the average expected heterozygosity per locus as a measure for gene diversity was high across all populations (D=0.82). Unbiased estimates of Hardy-Weinberg exact (HWE) test were conducted on 5 loci that yielded non-0 values in the preliminary analysis of the three populations. All Brazilian locations, with the exception of Brasilia, were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. This was expected since the Brazilian population has been Africanized for more that 40 years. However, the Texas and Central American populations deviated from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

The genetic differentiation among the three populations was low ($F_{ST} = 0.014$). Based on a pairwise F_{ST} test, the genetic differentiation between Brazil and Texas was higher ($F_{ST} = 0.020$) than the genetic differentiation between Brazil and Central America ($F_{ST} = 0.004$), probably as a result of increased admixture within the Texas population. In the Brazilian populations, the pairwise F_{ST} test revealed significant differences between populations from southern and northern Brazil (Table 4). For instance, the highest F_{ST} value ($F_{ST} = 0.09$) was detected between Sao Paulo (South East Brazil) and Pernabmuco (North Brazil), followed by a F_{ST} value of 0 .07 between Sao Paulo (South East Brazil) and Rio Do Soul (south Brazil).

 F_{IS} (inbreeding coefficient), and linkage disequilibrium (pairwise association among loci) gave us valuable information about the mating patterns and rates of admixture. The F_{IS} value between the Brazilian population and Texas was (- 0.04) indicating a low level of inbreeding. By calculating the average of F_{IS} among Brazilian samples alone, the value was 0.08. Multiple probability test by pair of loci across all populations (Fisher's method) detected significant linkage disequilibrium between loci A88 and A113 (P= 0.05), and between loci A113 and Ap43 (P= 0.05).

To estimate the gene flow among the three populations, Nm (N is the effective population size, and m is the proportion of migrants/generation of the total population) was calculated with the GENEPOP program. For all three populations, the Nm value was 3.11. However, by calculating the Nm value for Brazilian populations only, the value was high (Nm= 4.62). This result is unsurprising, because the gene flow between populations is limited compared to the gene flow within a population.

The total number of alleles in the Brazilian populations was higher than the Texas population with 162 and 148 alleles, respectively (Table 3). Of the 162 alleles found in Brazil, 108 were found in Texas. However, 33 private alleles that were found in the Brazilian Africanized honey bee populations. In addition, the Texas population contained 31 private alleles. In Central America, 7 private alleles were detected. The loss of some alleles as a result of successive bottlenecks associated with range expansion and the detection of new alleles in the Texas AHB populations, supports a strong role for hybridization in defining the AHB population, at least near its climatic limits in North America. Stable introgression of European alleles into an AHB population has been reported from the Yucatan peninsula (Clarke *et al.* 2002). These authors concluded that, compared to the AHB of Brazil, the Yucatan AHB population was the result of additional hybridization with European-derived honey bees.

Conclusion

The ability of Africanized honey bee populations to retain largely African behavioral traits is an interesting phenomenon that occurred throughout much of the range of the honey bee in South America. This study showed that the genetic make up of three AHB populations was composed mainly of African-derived mitochondrial DNA. However, the unique pattern of A1-A4 mtDNA haplotypes and the loss of microsatellite alleles in Texas that originated in Brazil and the gain of microsatellite alleles in the population in North America suggest that successive bottlenecks and hybridization have been important in determining the genetic composition of AHB populations in North America. Furthermore, the large number of private alleles that detected in Texas supports the existence of an ongoing hybridization of AHB populations near their temperate climatic limits.

References

- Anderson D, Trueman JWH (2000) *Varroa jacobsoni* (Acari: Varroidae) is more than one species. Experimental and Applied Acarology, 24, 165-189.
- Arias MC, Sheppard WS (1996) Molecular phylogenetics of honey bee subspecies (*Apis mellifera* L.) inferred from mitochondrial DNA sequence. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 5, 557-566.
- Belkhir K, Borsa P, Chikhi L, Raufaste N, Bonhomme F (2001) GENETIX, software under Windows TM for the genetic of populations. 4.02 ed Montpellier (France): Laboratory Genome, Populations, Interactions CNRS UMR 5000, University of Montpellier.
- Boyce WM, Rubin ES, O'Brien CS (2002) A scientific note on the distribution of Africanized honey bees and *Varroa destructor* in feral honey bee populations in California. Apidologie, 33, 581-582.
- Clarke KE, Rinderer TE, Franck P, Quezada-Euan JG, Oldroyd BP (2002) The Africanization of honeybees (*Apis mellifera* L.) of the Yucatan: A study of a massive hybridization event across time. Evolution, 56, 1462-1474.
- Collet T, Ferreira KM, Arias MC, Soares AEE, Del Lama MA (2006) Genetic structure of Africanized honeybee populations (*Apis mellifera* L.) from Brazil and Uruguay viewed through mitochondrial DNA COI–COII patterns. Heredity, 97, 329-335.
- Cornuet JM, Garnery L, Solignac M (1991a) Putative origin and function of the intergenic region between COI and COII of *Apis mellifera* L. Mitochondrial DNA. Genetics, 128, 393-403.
- Cornuet JM, Garnery L (1991b) Mitochondrial DNA variability in honeybees and its phylogeographic implications. Apidologie, 22, 627-642.
- Crozier RH, Crozier YC (1993) The mitochondrial genome of the honeybee *Apis mellifera*: Complete sequence and the genome organization. Genetics, 133, 97-117.
- De la Rúa P, Galián J, Serrano J, Moritz RF (2001) Genetic structure and distinctness of *Apis mellifera* L. Populations from the Canary Islands. Molecular Ecology, 10, 1733-1742.
- Del Lama MA, Figueiredo RA, Soares AE, Del Lama SN (1988) Hexokinase polymorphism in *Apis mellifera* and its use for Africanized honey bee identification. Genetics, 11, 287-297.
- Del Lama MA, Lobo JA, Soares AEE, Del Lama SN (1990) Genetic differentiation estimated by isozymic analysis of Africanized honey bee populations from Brazil and from Central America. Apidologie, 21, 271-280.
- Diniz NM, Soares AE, Sheppard WS, Del Lama MA (2003) Genetic structure of honey bee populations from southern Brazil and Uruguay. Genetics Molecular and Biology, 26, 47-52.

- Engel MS (1999) The taxonomy of recent and fossil honey bees (hymenoptera: Apidae; *Apis*). Journal of Hymenoptera Research, 8, 165-196.
- Estoup AL, Garnery L, Solignac M, Cornuet JM (1995) Microsatellite variation in honey bee (*Apis mellifera* L.) populations: Hierarchical genetic structure and test of the infinite allele and stepwise mutation models. Genetics, 140, 679-695.
- Franck P, Loiseau A, Oldroyd BP, Hepburn HR, Solignac M, Cornuet JM (2001) Genetic diversity of the honeybee in Africa: Microsatellite and mitochondrial data. Heredity, 86, 420-430.
- Franck P, Garnery L, Solignac M, Cornuet JM (1998) The origin of west European subspecies of honeybees (*Apis mellifera*): New insights from microsatellite and mitochondrial data. Evolution, 52, 1119-1134.
- Garnery L, Franck P, Baudry E *et al.* (1998a) Genetic biodiversity of the West European honeybee (*Apis mellifera mellifera and Apis mellifera iberica*). I. Mitochondrial DNA. Genetics, Selection and Evolution, 30, 31-47.
- Garnery L, Franck P, Baudry E *et al.* (1998b) Genetic biodiversity of the West European honeybee (*Apis mellifera mellifera and Apis mellifera iberica*). II. Microsatellite loci. Genetics, Selection and Evolution, 30, 49-74.
- Garnery L, Cornuet JM, Solignac M (1992) Evolutionary history of the honey bee *Apis mellifera* inferred from mitochondrial DNA analysis. Molecular Ecology, 1, 145-154.
- Garnery L, Mosshine EH, Oldroyd BP, Cornuet JM (1995) Mitochondrial DNA variation in Moroccan and Spanish honey bee populations. Molecular Ecology, 4, 465-471.
- Garnery L, Solignac M, Celebrano G, Cornuet JM (1993) A simple test using restricted PCR-amplified mitochondrial DNA to study the genetic structure of *Apis mellifera*. Experientia, 49, 1016-1021.
- Hall HG, Smith DR (1991) Distinguishing African and European honeybee materlines using amplified mitochondrial DNA. Proceedings of the National Academy of Science, 88, 44548-44552.
- Hall HG, McMichael MA (2001) Frequencies of restriction fragment-length polymorphisms indicate that neotropical honey bee (hymenoptera: Apidae) populations have African and West European origins. Annals of the Entomological society of America, 94, 670-676.
- Hall HG, Muralidharan K (1989) Evidence from mitochondrial DNA at African honey bees spread as continuous maternal lineages. Nature, 339, 211-213.
- Kandemir I, Meixner MD, Ozkan A, Sheppard WS (2006) Genetic charactrization of honey bee (*Apis mellifera cypria*) populations in northern Cyprus. Apidologie, 37, 547-555.
- Kerr WE (1967) The history of the introduction of African bees in Brazil. South African Bee Journal, 39, 33-35.
- Kerr WE, Bueno D (1970) Natural crossing between *Apis mellifera adansonii* and *Apis mellifera ligustica*. Evolution, 24, 145-148.
- Kerr WE, De Leon S, Dardo M (1982) The southern limits of the distribution of the Africanized honeybee in South America. American Bee Journal, 122, 196-198.

Lobo JA, Del Lama MA, Mestriner MA (1989) Population differentiation and racial admixture in the Africanized honey bee (*Apis mellifera* L.) Evolution, 43, 784-802.

Meixner MD, Sheppard WS, Dietz A, Krell R (1994) Morphological and allozyme variability in honey bees from Kenya. Apidologie, 25, 188-202.

Moritz RFA, Cornuet JM, Kryger P, Garnery L, Hepburn HR (1994) Mitochondrial DNA variability in South African honey bee (*Apis mellifera* L.). Apidologie, 25, 169-178.

Pinto MA, Rubink WL, Coulson RN, Patton JC, Johnston JS (2004) Temporal pattern of Africanization in feral honey bee population from Texas inferred from mitochondrial DNA. Evolution, 58, 1047-1055.

Raymond M, Rousset F (1995) Genepop, genetic software for exact tests and ecumenicim. Journal of Heredity, 86, 258-249.

Rinderer TE, Buco SM, Rubink WL *et al.* (1993a) Morphometric identification of Africanized and European honey bees using large reference populations. Apidologie, 24, 569–585.

Rinderer TE, Oldroyd BP, Sheppard WS (1993b) Africanized bees in the U.S. Scientific American, 269, 84-90.

Rinderer TE, Stelzer JA, Oldroyd BP at al. (1991) Hybridization between European and Africanized honey bee in the neotropical Yucatan peninsula. Science, 253, 309-

311.

Ruttner F (1988) Biogeography and taxonomy of bees. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.

Ruttner F, Tassencourt L, Louveaux J (1978) Biometrical-statistical analysis of the geographical variability of *Apis mellifera* L. Apidologie, 9, 363-381.

Sanford MT, Hall HG (2005) African honey bee: what you need to know (online) Florida Cooperative Extension Service, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida. http:// edis.ifas.ufl.edu/MG113. [Accessed March 6, 2007].

Schneider SS, DeGrandi-Hoffman G, Smith DR (2004) The African honey bee: factors contributing to a successful biological invasion. Annual Review of Entomology, 49, 351-376.

Sheppard WS (1997) Subspecies of Apis mellifera. In: Morse R.A., Flottum K. (Eds.), Honey Bee Pests, Predators and Diseases, A.I. Root Co., Medina, OH, USA, 519-533.

Sheppard WS, Soares AEE, De Jong D (1991a) Hybrid status of honey bee populations near the historic origin of the Africanization in Brazil. Apidologie, 22, 643-652.

Sheppard WS, Meixner MD (2003) *Apis mellifera pomonella*, a new honey bee subspecies from Central Asia. Apidologie, 34 367-375.

Sheppard WS, Rinderer TE, Garnery L (1999) Analysis of Africanized honey bee mitochondrial DNA reveals further diversity of origin. Genetics and Molecular Biology, 22, 73-75.

Sheppard WS, Rinderer TE, Mazzoli JA, Stelzer JA, Shimanuki H (1991b) Gene flow between African-and European- derived honey bee populations in Argentina. Nature, 349, 782-784.

Smith DR (1991a) African bees in the Americas: Insights from biogeography and genetics. Trends Ecology Evolution, 6, 17-21.

- Smith DR (1991b) Mitochondrial DNA and honey bee biogeography. In: Diversity in the genus *Apis*. Westview, Boulder, CO. 131-179
- Smith DR, Taylor OR, Brown WM. 1989. Neotropical Africanized honey bees have African mitochondrial DNA. Nature, 339, 213-215.
- Solignac M, Vautrin D, Loiseau A *et al.* (2003) Five hundred and fifty microsatellite markers for the study of the honey bee. Molecular Ecology, 3, 307-311.
- Sudgen EA, Williams KR (1990) The date the bee arrived. Gleanings of Bee Culture, 119, 18-21.
- Taylor OR (1985) African bees: Potential impact in the United States. Bulletin of Entomological Society of America, 31, 14-24.
- Walsh PS, Metzger DA, Higuchi R (1991) Chelex 100 as a medium for simple extraction of DNA for PCR-based typing from forensic material. Biotechniques, 10, 507-513.



Fig. 1 The distribution of the African mitochondrial DNA lineage (A1 & A4). Colors indicate relative proportions of each mitochondrial haplotype for colonies with African maternal lineages.



Fig. 2 The distribution of the African and European mitochondrial DNA lineages (A4 & A1 and C1) among Brazilian populations.

| Country | Locality | A4 | A1 | C1 | Total |
|------------|--------------------------|----|----|----|-------|
| Brazil | Sao Paulo (MTI + Br+ LA) | 90 | 1 | 1 | 92 |
| | Rio Do Sul | 10 | 1 | 0 | 11 |
| | Minas Gerais (Vicosa) | 10 | 1 | 0 | 11 |
| | Goias (Brasilia) | 16 | 6 | 1 | 23 |
| | Bahia | 16 | 5 | 1 | 22 |
| | Pernambuco | 13 | 4 | 2 | 19 |
| Costa Rica | a | 7 | 2 | 1 | 10 |
| Honduras | | 4 | 4 | 2 | 10 |
| Texas | | 12 | 32 | 0 | 44 |
| | | | | | |

Table 1. The origin of the samples and the number of haplotypes that were detected in each population.

Table 2. Allelic frequencies, expected heterozygosity (H exp.), and observed

heterozygosity (H obs.) of honey bee populations. N is the number of samples. Bold cells represent the private alleles.

| Locus | | | | |
|-------|---------|--------|-----------------|--------|
| A24 | alleles | Brazil | Central America | Texas |
| | | n= 170 | n= 31 | n= 183 |
| | | | | |
| | 90 | 0.003 | 0.016 | 0.003 |
| | 92 | 0.103 | 0.097 | 0.030 |
| | 94 | 0.332 | 0.306 | 0.481 |
| | 96 | 0.023 | 0.048 | |
| | 98 | 0.112 | 0.081 | 0.125 |
| | 100 | 0.106 | 0.145 | 0.060 |
| | 102 | 0.064 | 0.048 | 0.065 |
| | 104 | 0.020 | 0.161 | 0.163 |
| | 106 | 0.017 | 0.080 | 0.008 |
| | 108 | 0.005 | | 0.005 |
| | 124 | 0.002 | 0.016 | |
| | 128 | 0.008 | | |

| | 132 138 | 0.014 | | 0.054 0.002 |
|------------------|------------|------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|
| H exp. H obs. | | 0.807 0.864 | 0.831 0.774 | 0.714 0.7374 |
| Locus | | | ~ | |
| A28 | alleles | Brazil n= 169 | Central America n= 29 | Texas n= 136 |
| | 102 | 0.003 | | 0.007 |
| | 104 | 0.006 | | 0.007 |
| | 118 | | | 0.004 |
| | 122 | 0.003 | | 0.011 |
| | 124 | 0.213 | 0.224 | 0.279 |
| | 126 | 0.109 | 0.086 | 0.055 |
| | 128 | 0.275 | 0.293 | 0.194 |
| | 130 | 0.017 | 0.034 | 0.011 |
| | 132 | 0.269 | 0.206 | 0.250 |
| | 134 | 0.059 | 0.069 | 0.033 |
| | 135 | 0.005 | 0.017 | 0.007 |
| | 130 | 0.014 | 0.009 | 0.038 |
| | 150 | 0.025 | | 0.003 |
| H exp. | | 0.790 | 0.802 | 0.808 |
| H obs. | | 0.828 | 0.586 | 0.853 |
| Locus | | | | |
| A88 | alleles | Brazil n= 170 | Central America n= 29 | Texas n= 158 |
| | 128 | | | 0.006 |
| | 132 | 0.002 | | 0.034 |
| | 134 | 0.017 | | |
| | 136 | 0.132 | 0.120 | 0.047 |
| | 138 | 0.326 | 0.310 | 0.395 |
| | 140 | 0.008 | 0.082 | 0.003 |
| | 142 | 0.017 | 0.034 | 0.038 |
| | 143 | 0.005 | 0.017 | 0.022 |
| | 144 | 0.029 | 0.017 | 0.022 |
| | 145 | 0.002 | 0.086 | 0.000 |
| | 140 | 0.091 | 0.086 | 0.125 |
| | 140 | 0.170 | 0.104 | 0.180 |
| | 150 | 0.044 | 0.120 | 0.047 |
| | 154 | 0.002 | 0.120 | 0.094 |
| H exp. | | 0.813 | 0.833 | 0.780 |
| H obs. | | 0.859 | 0.827 | 0.854 |
| Locus | | | | |
| Ap66 | alleles | Brazil n= 113 | Central America n= 21 | n Texas n=97 |

| | 90 | | | 0.010 |
|--------|------------|--------|-----------------|-------|
| | 92 | 0.017 | 0.023 | |
| | 93 | 0.110 | 0.214 | 0.293 |
| | 94 | 0.287 | 0.195 | |
| | 95 | 0.048 | 0.047 | 0.144 |
| | 97 | 0.031 | 0.071 | 0.097 |
| | 98 | 0.070 | 0.119 | 0.005 |
| | 100 | 0.208 | 0.142 | 0.221 |
| | 101 | | | 0.005 |
| | 102 | 0.031 | 0.023 | 0.010 |
| | 103 | 0.017 | 0.023 | 0.015 |
| | 104 | 0.097 | 0.023 | 0.030 |
| | 105 | | | 0.005 |
| | 106 | | 0.008 | 0.010 |
| | 107 | | 0.005 | 0.004 |
| | 108 | 0.035 | 0.047 | 0.046 |
| | 113 | | | 0.020 |
| | 115 | | | 0.005 |
| | 122 | 0.008 | 0.047 | 0.020 |
| | 128 | | 0.013 | 0.010 |
| | 129 | | | 0.005 |
| | 130 | | | 0.005 |
| | 132 | | 0.004 | 0.005 |
| | 133 | | 01001 | 0.010 |
| | 135 | | | 0.005 |
| | 138 | 0.004 | 0.023 | 0.010 |
| | 100 | 0.001 | 0.025 | 0.010 |
| H exp | L. | 0.841 | 0.868 | 0.830 |
| H obs | | 0.637 | 0.428 | 0.618 |
| 11 005 | • | 0.027 | 0.120 | 0.010 |
| Locus | | | | |
| B124 | alleles | Brazil | Central America | Texas |
| | | n= 165 | n= 28 | n=127 |
| | 204 | | 0.017 | |
| | 206 | | | 0.007 |
| | 212 | 0.003 | | 0.011 |
| | 214 | 0.027 | 0.035 | 0.031 |
| | 216 | 0.066 | 0.107 | 0.082 |
| | 218 | 0.097 | 0.125 | 0.063 |
| | 220 | 0.149 | 0.221 | 0.178 |
| | 220 | 0.181 | 0.078 | 0.071 |
| | 222 | 0.101 | 0.070 | 0.169 |
| | 227 | 0.127 | 0.084 | 0.109 |
| | 220 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.007 |
| | 220 | 0.012 | | 0.007 |
| | 230 232 | 0.010 | 0.033 | 0.007 |
| | 232 | በ በረሳ | 0.033 | 0.007 |
| | 234 226 | 0.000 | 0.107 | 0.025 |
| | 236 | 0.130 | 0.178 | 0.175 |
| | 238 | 0.003 | 0.035 | 0.011 |
| | 240 | 0.003 | 0.015 | 0.011 |
| | 242 | 0.024 | 0.017 | 0.011 |
| | 244 | 0.003 | 0.017 | |
| | 246 | | | 0.003 |

| H e | xp. | | 0.882 | 0.880 | 0.870 |
|-----|------|--------|----------|-----------------|------------|
| Hot | os. | | 0.927 | 0.857 | 0.890 |
| Loc | us | | | | |
| A7 | all | eles | Brazil | Central America | Texas |
| | | | n= 131 | n= 21 | n=160 |
| | 02 | | 0.026 | 0.005 | |
| | 92 | | 0.026 | 0.095 | 0.528 |
| | 94 | | 0.120 | 0.071 | 0.528 |
| | 90 | | 0.095 | 0.003 | 0.121 |
| | 100 | | 0.100 | 0.047 | 0.121 |
| | 100 | | 0.019 | 0.142 | 0.000 |
| | 102 | | 0.022 | 0.142 | 0.009 |
| | 104 | | 0.137 | 0.095 | 0.005 |
| | 100 | | 0.157 | 0.100 | 0.078 |
| | 110 | | 0.231 | 0.142 | 0.03/ |
| | 112 | | 0.007 | 0.071 | 0.034 |
| | 11/ | | 0.005 | | 0.009 |
| | 114 | | 0.070 | 0.023 | 0.003 |
| | 110 | | | 0.025 | 0.003 |
| | 122 | | | | 0.005 |
| | 122 | | 0 003 | | 0.007 |
| | 124 | | 0.003 | 0.047 | |
| | 120 | | 0.003 | 0.047 | |
| | 13/ | | 0.011 | | |
| | 1/18 | | 0.007 | | |
| | 226 | | 0.003 | | |
| | 220 | | 0.005 | | |
| H e | xp. | | 0.860 | 0.890 | 0.683 |
| Ηo | bs. | | 0.557 | 0.571 | 0.350 |
| | | | | | |
| Loc | us | | | | |
| A11 | 13 | allele | s Brazil | Central Amer | rica Texas |
| | | | n=137 | n= 21 | n=84 |
| | | 200 | | 0.095 | |
| | | 202 | 0.062 | 0.119 | 0.119 |
| | | 204 | 0.010 | | 0.006 |
| | | 206 | 0.003 | | 0.041 |
| | | 208 | 0.025 | 0.047 | 0.083 |
| | | 210 | 0.025 | 0.047 | |
| | | 212 | 0.124 | 0.071 | 0.035 |
| | | 214 | 0.120 | 0.023 | 0.154 |
| | | 216 | 0.219 | 0.261 | 0.148 |
| | | 218 | 0.058 | 0.023 | 0.065 |
| | | 220 | 0.200 | 0.214 | 0.244 |
| | | 222 | 0.032 | 0.023 | 0.065 |
| | | 224 | 0.080 | | 0.006 |
| | | 226 | 0.032 | | 0.029 |
| | | 230 | | 0.023 | |
| | | 232 | 0.003 | | |
| | | 250 | | 0.047 | |
| | | | | | |

| H exp. H obs. | | 0.864 0.861 | 0.848 0.810 | 0.861 0.762 |
|------------------|---------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| Locus | | | | |
| Ap43 | alleles | Brazil | Central An | nerica Texas |
| | | n= 103 | n= 13 | s n=44 |
| | | | | |
| | 122 | 0.024 | | |
| | 127 | 0.009 | | |
| | 131 | 0.393 | 0.153 | 0.079 |
| | 133 | 0.082 | 0.153 | 0.272 |
| | 134 | 0.121 | 0.076 | |
| | 135 | 0.150 | 0.076 | 0.159 |
| | 136 | 0.009 | 0.076 | |
| | 137 | 0.019 | | |
| | 139 | 0.014 | | 0.045 |
| | 140 | 0.009 | | 0.038 |
| | 141 | 0.019 | | |
| | 145 | 0.019 | 0.192 | |
| | 146 | 0.009 | | |
| | 147 | 0.009 | | 0.090 |
| | 149 | | | 0.011 |
| | 153 | 0.009 | | |
| | 156 | | | 0.011 |
| | 157 | 0.009 | | |
| | 160 | 0.115 | | 0.011 |
| | 161 | 0.014 | | 0.272 |
| | 167 | | | 0.011 |
| | 169 | 0.019 | | 0.034 |
| | 171 | 0.019 | | |
| | 173 | 0.034 | | 0.038 |
| | 212 | | 0.076 | |
| H exp. | | 0.796 | 0.875 | 0.808 |
| H obs. | | 0.301 | 0.230 | 0.296 |

| Locus Ap55 | alleles | Brazil n= 119 | Central America n= 19 | Texas n=58 |
|---------------|---------|------------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| | 152 | 0.013 | | |
| | 164 | 0.004 | | |
| | 167 | 0.113 | 0.105 | 0.172 |
| | 169 | 0.004 | 01100 | 0.052 |
| | 170 | 0.008 | | |
| | 171 | 0.096 | 0.105 | 0.060 |
| | 173 | 0.054 | 0.026 | 0.164 |
| | 174 | 0.004 | | |
| | 175 | 0.319 | 0.289 | 0.129 |
| | 177 | 0.067 | 0.026 | 0.026 |

| 178 | | | 0.017 |
|-----|--|---|--|
| 179 | 0.193 | 0.078 | 0.181 |
| 180 | 0.004 | | |
| 181 | 0.063 | 0.236 | 0.155 |
| 183 | 0.025 | 0.026 | 0.008 |
| 186 | | | 0.017 |
| 190 | 0.004 | | |
| 194 | | | 0.017 |
| 196 | 0.016 | 0.105 | |
| 199 | 0.008 | | |
| | | | |
| | 0.826 | 0.818 | 0.861 |
| | 0.663 | 0.737 | 0.621 |
| | 178 179 180 181 183 186 190 194 196 199 | $\begin{array}{cccccccc} 178 \\ 179 & 0.193 \\ 180 & \textbf{0.004} \\ 181 & 0.063 \\ 183 & 0.025 \\ 186 \\ 190 & \textbf{0.004} \\ 194 \\ 194 \\ 196 & 0.016 \\ 199 & \textbf{0.008} \\ \\ & 0.826 \\ 0.663 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ |

| Locus | | | | |
|-------|---------|------------------|--------------------------|----------------|
| Ap81 | alleles | Brazil n= 119 | Central America n= 16 | Texas n=175 |
| | | | | |

| | 106 108 112 114 121 122 123 | 0.071 | 0.125 | 0.005 0.057 0.002 0.602 0.017 0.002 0.005 |
|--------|---|-------|-------|---|
| | 123 | | 0.031 | 0.002 |
| | 125 | 0.088 | 0.062 | 0.045 |
| | 127 | 0.197 | 0.065 | 0.054 |
| | 128 | | 0.033 | |
| | 129 | 0.088 | 0.098 | 0.022 |
| | 131 | 0.012 | | 0.002 |
| | 133 | 0.075 | 0.218 | 0.068 |
| | 134 | 0.121 | | 0.008 |
| | 135 | 0.189 | 0.156 | 0.045 |
| | 136 | 0.004 | | 0.011 |
| | 137 | 0.021 | | 0.005 |
| | 138 | 0.046 | 0.156 | 0.017 |
| | 139 | 0.004 | | |
| | 141 | 0.004 | | |
| | 143 | 0.004 | | |
| | 145 | 0.029 | | 0.005 |
| | 147 | 0.004 | | |
| | 149 | 0.008 | | 0.031 |
| | 150 | 0.008 | | |
| | 152 | 0.012 | 0.031 | 0.005 |
| | 154 | | | 0.002 |
| | 156 | | | 0.005 |
| | 158 | 0.004 | | |
| | 162 | | | 0.002 |
| H exp. | | 0.880 | 0.867 | 0.620 |
| H obs. | | 0.714 | 0.937 | 0.417 |
| | | | | |

| Locus/ alleles | Brazil | Central America | Texas |
|-----------------|--------|-----------------|-------|
| A24 | (170) | (31) | (183) |
| Alleles | 13 | 10 | 11 |
| Private alleles | 1 | | 1 |
| H exp. | 0.080 | 0.831 | 0.714 |
| H obs. | 0.864 | 0.774 | 0.737 |
| | | | |
| A28 | (169) | (29) | (136) |
| Alleles | 12 | 8 | 14 |
| Private alleles | | | 2 |
| H exp. | 0.789 | 0.802 | 0.807 |
| H obs | 0.828 | 0.586 | 0.852 |
| | | | |
| A88 | (170) | (29) | (158) |
| Alleles | 14 | 9 | 11 |
| Private alleles | 3 | | 1 |
| H exp. | 0.812 | 0.832 | 0.779 |
| H obs | 0.858 | 0.827 | 0.854 |
| | | | |
| Ap66 | (113) | (21) | (97) |
| Alleles | 17 | 13 | 24 |
| Private alleles | | | 9 |
| H exp. | 0.840 | 0.868 | 0.829 |
| H obs | 0.637 | 0.428 | 0.618 |
| | | | |
| B124 | (165) | (28) | (127) |
| Alleles | 17 | 13 | 16 |
| Private alleles | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| H exp. | 0.882 | 0.880 | 0.869 |
| H obs | 0.927 | 0.857 | 0.889 |
| | | | |
| A7 | (131) | (21) | (160) |
| Alleles | 17 | 11 | 13 |
| Private alleles | 6 | | 2 |
| H exp. | 0.859 | 0.888 | 0.682 |
| H obs | 0.557 | 0.571 | 0.350 |
| A 112 | (127) | (21) | (0.4) |
| AI15 | (15/) | (21) | (84) |
| Alleles | 14 | 12 | 12 |
| Private alleles | | 3 | 0.970 |
| H exp. | 0.864 | 0.848 | 0.860 |
| H obs | 0.861 | 0.809 | 0.761 |
| Δn/13 | (103) | (13) | (44) |
| Alleles | 20 | 10 | 11 |
| Private alleles | 8 | 1 | 3 |
| H exp. | 0.796 | 0.875 | 0.807 |

Table 3. Number of alleles detected in the three populations, and the expected and observed heterozygosities. Numbers in brackets are the sample size.

| H obs | 0.301 | 0.230 | 0.295 |
|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Ap55 | (119) | (19) | (58) |
| Alleles | 17 | 12 | 12 |
| Private alleles | 7 | | 3 |
| H exp. | 0.825 | 0.818 | 0.861 |
| H obs | 0.663 | 0.736 | 0.620 |
| | | | |
| Ap81 | (119) | (16) | (175) |
| Alleles | 21 | 11 | 22 |
| Private alleles | 6 | 2 | 8 |
| H exp. | 0.880 | 0.867 | 0.619 |
| H obs | 0.714 | 0.937 | 0.417 |
| | | | |
| Mean | | | |
| H exp | 0.836 | 0.851 | 0.783 |
| H obs | 0.721 | 0.676 | 0.639 |
| Alleles | 16.2 | 10.9 | 14.6 |
| Private alleles | 4.13 | 1.75 | 3.44 |

Table 4 The pairwise F_{ST} comparison among Brazilian population. Bold cells show the highest F_{ST} values.

| population | MTI | Br | Brasilia | Bahia | Pernabuco | Rio Du Soul |
|-------------|-------|-------|----------|-------|-----------|-------------|
| Br | 0.007 | | | | | |
| Brasilia | 0.045 | 0.042 | | | | |
| Bahia | 0.034 | 0.047 | 0.006 | | | |
| Pernamuco | 0.071 | 0.092 | 0.028 | 0.038 | | |
| Rio Du Soul | 0.058 | 0.074 | 0.023 | 0.005 | 0.028 | |
| Vicosa | 0.039 | 0.048 | 0.012 | 0.006 | 0.021 | 0.019 |