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DIGITAL LANDFORM MAPPING AND SOIL-LANDFORM RELATIONSHIPS
IN THE NORTH CASCADES NATIONAL PARK, WASHINGTON

Abstract

By Philip Harrison Roberts, M.S.
Washington State University
August 2009

Co-Chairs: Bruce E. Frazier and David J. Brown

Digital soil mapping meets current demands for soils data and increases the opportunity for scientifically based management of public resources. In this thesis I employed geospatial data and geographic information systems to characterize soils, landforms and soil-landform relationships in the rugged, mountainous terrain of Thunder Creek Watershed (30,000 ha) in the North Cascades National Park (48°30’ North, 121° West). I described and classified plants, soils and landforms at over 400 spatially referenced locations throughout the study area. I used field observations, a 10 m digital elevation model and inductive classification methods, including decision trees and random forest machine learning, to produce landform maps with a 2/3 to 1/3 split between calibration data and validation data. I obtained an expert, National Park Service landform map created from aerial photograph interpretation, topographic maps, and field observations for the evaluation of automated mapping methods. Automated and expert methods were compared with field observations. Field observations of landforms correlated best with the expert map (kappa = 0.59 and overall accuracy = 70 %). Evaluating automated approaches, the random forest classification (kappa = 0.44 and overall accuracy = 59 %) performed better than the decision tree model (kappa = 0.37 and overall accuracy = 53 %). Resulting statistical models
were applied to map the entire watershed. Observations of landforms were compared with soil properties. Graphical representations of categorical soil variables show strong relationships with landscape stability and profile development. Older landforms support Spodosols and Andisols while younger, active surfaces support Entisols and Inceptisols. These trends are evident when comparing podsolization, tephra distribution, and presence of redoxomorphic features to landform classes. Continuous soil variables were analyzed with generalized least squares regression. Regression models provided poor predictions of soil attributes, questioning traditional beliefs regarding soil landform relationships. My results show promise for digitally mapping landforms in mountainous terrain. My results also suggest landforms may be less important for soil mapping. Advantages to these methods are by using an inductive, empirical approach one gains knowledge of the landscape from the data directly, hopefully proving more transferable among other steep, mountainous landscapes.
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CHAPTER ONE - INTRODUCTION
1.1 Introduction

In this thesis, I employed digital methods to map landforms and soils in a wilderness. Soils are the living skin that covers the surface of the terrestrial earth. Landforms are dynamic entities created by the exposure of the earth’s surface to erosional forces, including water, ice, gravity, and wind. The effects of weathering agents across a landscape produce unique spatial patterns. These individual facets often have relatively homogeneous soil characteristics.

In 1964, the Wilderness Act was passed, designating 54 areas (9.1 million acres) in 13 states as Wilderness. In May of 2008, President George W. Bush created the Wild Sky Wilderness in eastern Snohomish County, Washington, the newest wilderness in the country. Currently in the United States 434 km$^2$ are designated as federally protected wilderness, covering approximately 5% of the nation (Figure 1). In Washington, wilderness covers 1,790 hectares and covers over 10% of the state. The National Park Service (NPS, 39% of the state) and Forest Service (USFS, 60% of the state) manage the majority of wilderness areas in Washington. The Fish and Wildlife Service and the Bureau of Land Management also managed smaller wilderness areas (<1% combined). The Wilderness Act defines wilderness in Section 2(c) as follows, “A wilderness, in contrast with those areas where man and his own works dominate the landscape, is hereby recognized as an area where the earth and its community of life are untrammeled by man, where man himself is a visitor who does not remain. An area of wilderness is further defined to mean in this Act an area of undeveloped Federal land retaining its primeval character and influence, without permanent improvements or human habitation, which is protected and managed so as to preserve its natural conditions and which (1) generally appears to have been affected primarily by the forces of nature, with the imprint of man's work substantially unnoticeable; (2) has outstanding opportunities for solitude or a primitive and unconfined type of
recreation; (3) has at least five thousand acres of land or is of sufficient size as to make practicable its preservation and use in an unimpaired condition; and (4) may also contain ecological, geological, or other features of scientific, educational, scenic, or historical value.”

A desire exists to complete soil mapping of the United States. Over a century a work by the National Cooperative Soil Survey has yet to provide soil data for all of the conterminous United States (Figure 2). Of the approximately 8,080,400 km$^2$ in the lower 48 States, 649,000 km$^2$ (8.03 %) lack soil data. The US Forest Service and National Park Service (NPS) manage an approximate total of 342,000 km$^2$ of these unmapped areas. This amounts to 53.78 % of the unmapped areas and 4.24 % of the lower 48 States, with the majority of these areas occurring in mountainous regions of the Western United States. These statistics are even more dramatic if the numerous wildernesses of Alaska are included.

To date, soil mapping efforts in the United States have not focused on mountainous wilderness areas. Areas without existing soil maps (Figure 2) are closely correlated with wilderness areas (Figure 1), especially in the Western United States. Remote and inaccessible regions require less management actions and hence, are frequently ignored from traditional soil surveys. Vehicle access is restricted in wilderness areas, therefore, horse packing and hiking are the most common forms of transportation. Wilderness lands have been traditionally excluded from soil and landform inventories, due to the logistical challenge posed; however, wilderness soils are important to the study of soil classification and mapping because they are generally not altered by human activity. Research from these areas fills in gaps where soils data are lacking, providing information for scientifically based management of public resources and increased knowledge of soil-landform relationships in remote, mountainous areas.
Figure 1. Map of wilderness areas in the United States.
Figure 2. Soil Survey data currently available in the United States.
Computing power, remote sensing and geographic information systems have provided new technologies to quantify the shape of the earth’s surface. Satellites are currently observing the topography of and the reflectance of energy from the earth. These tools have been applied to gather data from wilderness areas. Digital landform and soil mapping provide information regarding the shape of the land surface and soil properties through a cost effective means.

Data from digital landform and soil mapping (DLM and DSM, respectively) can be used to promote scientifically based management of public resources. The data available through DLM and DSM serves as baseline data for other investigations within these areas (i.e., wildlife conservation, habitat protection, suitability analyses). Soils also play an essential role in the storage of carbon on earth. Soil mapping provides information related to global biogeochemical cycles and climate change. By monitoring landform and soil distribution, one can address issues regarding the way an environment responds to ecosystem changes like fires, landslides, avalanches, and logging.


1.2 Regional Setting

This is included to provide background information about the North Cascades (NOCA) region using the soil factor equation proposed by Jenny (1941). This model states that a given
soil is a function of parent material, topography, climate, organisms, and time. Geology, climate, geomorphology, ecology, and human history of NOCA will be discussed to further acquaint the reader with the NOCA. Each of these factors will be explored with respect to soil genesis in the region. Then, the SCORPAN model (McBratney et al., 2003) will be commented on with regards to DSM. Finally, a detailed examination of the regional soils will be provided.

1.2.1 Geologic History

The Cascade Range is the portion of the North American Cordillera between the Sierra Nevada in California and the Costal Ranges of British Columbia (Tabor and Haugerud, 1999). This range is characterized by large quaternary volcanoes (i.e., Mount Shasta, Mt Hood, Mount Rainer, Glacier Peak, Figure 3), but also contains older non-volcanic rocks. Examples of non-volcanic peaks in the Washington Cascades include Mount Stuart, Mt Index, Bonanza Peak and Hozomeen Mountain (Figure 4). The dynamic interactions between the North American, Pacific, and Juan de Fuca tectonic plates control the region’s contemporary geology. The subduction of the Juan de Fuca plate below the North American Plate has greatly influenced the regional geology. To further describe the NOCA geologic history a north-south transect and an east-west transect are useful.

The Cascades exhibit a north-south tilt with the northern portion being higher in elevation (Figure 5). As a result, erosion occurs more rapidly in the northern part. Erosion has removed tertiary volcanic rocks, which are more common in the central cascades south of Snoqualmie Pass, and exposed an older core of more resistant granitic rocks. The NOCA display more local relief than the south due to the resistant nature of these core rocks.
Figure 3. Volcanoes of the Cascade Range (A. Mount Shasta, B. Mount Hood, C. Mount Rainer, D. Glacier Peak).

Two fault systems trend north-south and separate distinct rock types, or domains (Figures 6 and 7). These are the Straight Creek Fault and the Ross Lake Fault Zone. The Straight Creek Fault is located near Marblemount, WA, and the Ross Lake Fault Zone is located further east, below Ross Lake. Traversing from west to east, three distinct domains are divided by these faults: the Western Domain, the Metamorphic Core Domain and the Methow Domain (Tabor and Haugerud, 1999). Each of these three domains are composed of multiple exotic terranes. Tectonic terranes are pieces of the earth’s crust composed of a collection of rock formations with similar genesis, history, or deformation, which have been transported along tectonic plates and are now placed together in a new location.
Figure 4. Non-volcanic peaks in the Washington Cascades (A. Mount Stuart, B. Mount Index, C. Bonanza Peak, D. Hozomeen Mountain).

Figure 5. North-South tilt of Cascade Range (from Tabor and Haugerud, 1999, pg. 15).
Figure 6. Geologic Domains of the North Cascades (from Tabor and Haugerud, 1999, pg. 16).
Figure 7. Geologic Map of the North Cascades (from Tabor and Haugerud, 1999).
In the NOCA, the Western Domain is composed of the Nooksack Terrane, the Chilliwack River Terrane, the Bell Pass Melange and the Easton Terrane. These terranes are listed from lowest to highest in a pile produced by thrust faults between each terrane. However, the youngest terrane (Nooksack) is at the bottom of this pile. This pattern was produced when terranes collided with the North American plate and formed an accretionary prism of sediments not subducted beneath the continent. This stack of sediment grows through accumulation of terranes traveling with plate motions and colliding with continents, and subsequently being positioned on land through thrust faulting. Dominant rock types include sedimentary and volcanic rocks that were produced close to the earth’s surface. Although these rocks have undergone complex folding and faulting, sedimentary structures and fossils remain intact.

To the East, the straight creek fault divides the Western Domain from the Metamorphic Core Domain. This domain is composed of four terranes; the Chelan Mountains Terrane, the Nason Terrane, the Swakane Terrane and the Little Jack Terrane. The major rock type in this domain is metamorphic rocks. The birthplaces of these rocks have distinctly different characteristics. Ocean sediments, volcanic arc sediments and marine sediments derived from continental crusts are the three main precursors to the metamorphic rocks observed today. TCW is located with in this terrane, specifically within the Chelan Mountains Terrane.

Finally, further to the East one encounters the Methow Domain, composed of the Methow and Hozomeen terranes. This domain is composed largely of sedimentary and volcanic rocks, with a less complex history of deformation. This domain is located east of the Ross Lake Fault Zone. Many of the rocks in the region were produced when unmetamorphosed sandstones and shales were deposited in submarine fans at the edge of the continent. In general this domain exhibits more order in the bedding sequences and a more predictable behavior.
The numerous unique terranes of the various domains assembled over Western Washington towards the end of the Cretaceous Period (approximately 90 million years before present, ybp). The majority of the rock in the Metamorphic Core Domain was added to the margin of North America in a thrust stack formed in an accretionary prism. These rocks were deep enough in the pile to receive sufficient heat and pressure to drive deformation. In addition, magma produced by subduction of the Juan de Fuca Plate, fueled plutonic intrusions. These two mechanisms are the main causes of recrystallization, which occurred from approximately from 90 to 65 million ybp. In addition, foliations in these rocks provide evidence of squeezing, stretching and deformation as the recrystallization was occurring. Another period of metamorphism occurred in the Eocene (45 million ybp), with the return of volcanism and the awakening of the Cascade Volcanic Arc.

Special relevance to TCW is the Skagit Gneiss Complex, a formation within the Chelan Mountains Terrane. This formation is the dominant rock type in TCW and supports the majority of the alpine peaks that define this landscape (Figure 8). The Skagit Gneiss complex is composed of two types of gneiss, orthogneiss and banded gneiss. Plutonic rocks that have a granitic chemical composition are metamorphosed into orthogneiss. Banded gneiss is produced when orthogneiss alternates or is interlaced with schists derived from the metamorphism of sedimentary and volcanic rocks. Sources of schist include the Napeequa and Cascades River formations from the Chelan Mountains Terrane. Outcrops of Napeequa Schist are present in TCW at the summit of Ruby Mountain and along Ragged Ridge.

The Cenozoic history of the NOCA shows extension of the crust and growth of the Cascade Volcanic Arc in the tertiary period and extensive alpine and continental glaciation in the quaternary period. Crustal thickening due to thrust faulting in the subduction zone combined
with motion along the region’s major faults are the two main agents for extension in the NOCA. This extension is recorded in down dropped fault blocks rapidly filling with sediment. Evidence for northward drift along the right-lateral Straight Creek fault is a 63 mile offset in the Nason terrane. This fault is analogous to the San Andreas Fault as it accommodates northward drift of the Pacific Plate, however, the Straight Creek fault has not been inactive for the last 35 my.

Figure 8. Forbidden Peak (outcrop of Skagit Gneiss).

Initiating 35 million ybp, the Cascade Volcanic Arc grew and formed the Chilliwack Batholith. Many of the volcanoes from this period are no longer present but evidence of their histories are preserved in arc-root plutons. These range from 35 to 2.5 million ybp and are grouped into three families: the Index Family (35 – 29 million ybp), the Snoqualmie Family (28-22 million ybp) and the Cascade Pass Family (20 - 2.5 million ybp). The intrusion of these plutons caused extensive contact metamorphism, recrystallizing and hardening the existing rocks, and forming many of the iconic peaks of the NOCA (Mt. Shuksan, Mt. Redoubt, Mt.
Challenger and Hozomeen Mt.). Evidence of these old volcanoes are seen in the Kulshan and Hannegan Calderas, the Big Bosom Buttes, Pioneer Ridge and Mt. Rham.

Mount Baker is one of the youngest members of this arc dating back to 30 thousand ybp (Figure 9). Mt Baker has lava flows dating as recently as 10 thousand ybp. The Sherman Crater had a steam eruption in 1843 and continues to steam today.

![Figure 9. Mount Baker, a quaternary stratovolcano in the North Cascades.](image)

In summary, rocks of the NOCA display a complex history. At 90 million ybp, several exotic terranes had collided with each other over the North American Continent in the vicinity of the NOCA. Metamorphism related to crustal thickening had began to profoundly deform rocks at the bottom of the thrust stack, producing the Metamorphic Core of the NOCA and the rocks of
the Skagit Gneiss Complex, which dominate TCW. During the Eocene plate motions changed to produce local extension and northward drift along the Straight Creek fault. However, metamorphism resumed approximately 45 million ybp. By 35 million ybp, plate motions had greatly decreased and the Cascade Volcanic Arc formed the Chilliwack Batholith and associated volcanic vents. Pleistocene glaciations have profoundly effected the region through six separate advances in the last 2 my. Only recently (30 thousand ybp) in this complex history have the quaternary volcanoes grown that define the Cascade Range.

### 1.2.2 Climatic Setting

The PNW is characterized by a humid temperate climate with topography and proximity to the ocean being the major controls on regional climate. Maritime influence is prominent as tidewater is as close as 80 km to the heart of the Cascade Range. Proximity to the Northern Pacific Ocean produces significant moisture as precipitation and snow. The corridors provided by the Skagit and Fraser River Valleys allow marine air to penetrate deep into the mountainous interior. Mean annual precipitation is over 190 cm in TCW. An extensive network of monitoring stations is in place around the area (Figure 10), and some have been collecting data for almost a century.

In the NOCA, precipitation falls mostly in the winter, leaving relatively warm and dry summers. Two semi-permanent pressure systems control local climate (Beckey, 1995; Beckey, 2003). In the summer, the North Pacific High pressure system migrates over the NOCA. This clockwise circulation brings cool marine air south and east to the Cascades. A rainy season is produced in the late fall and winter by the Aleutian low-pressure system. This counterclockwise circulation brings a southwesterly flow of cool marine air. The majority of the precipitation falls
in the form of snow and snow packs frequently exceed 5 m at higher elevations. During the summers, the low-pressure cells weaken and move to the north. The North Pacific High pressure system creates a northwesterly flow of cool dry air.

![Figure 10. Climate Monitoring Network in the North Cascades Region (WRCC, 2009).](image)

Temperatures are moderated by the proximity to the ocean but also exhibit intense seasonality, owing to the northerly latitude (48½° N., Table 1). Mean annual temperature in TCW is between 4.2 and 14.1 °C (Figure 11), but varies considerably with elevation. Topography can also produce distinct microclimates such as cold air drainages. TCW acts as a cold air drainage when cold air descends from alpine glaciers and flows north to the Skagit River. This is enhanced by northeasterly aspects and shadowing from steeply incised valleys.

Proximity to the drainage divide, topography and the Aleutian low-pressure system control the distribution of precipitation in the region. Because TCW is located on the Cascade Crest, climate in TCW is transitional between the western and eastern slopes of the range. The climate of TCW exhibits a gradient from wetter in the west and dryer in the east. Orographic
Table 1. Average temperature and precipitation data by month (data from WRCC, Diablo Dam Monitoring Station).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Mean Monthly Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Mean Monthly Precipitation (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>min</td>
<td>max</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>-1.4</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>14.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>18.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jun</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jul</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>25.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>25.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sep</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oct</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Average temperature and precipitation data by month (data from WRCC, Diablo Dam Monitoring Station).

Effects contribute to a humid climate on the Western slopes of the NOCA while dryer climates are representative of the eastern side of the range. Mountains force moist air masses to higher, colder altitudes, releasing moisture, thus forming snowfields and alpine glaciers at higher elevations. Lapse rate is used for describing the cooling of air masses at higher elevations. The effects of topography and the Aleutian low-pressure system contribute to a humid climate with the majority of precipitation coming in the winters (Figure 12), forming significant snow packs. The NOCA have the highest concentrations of glaciers anywhere in the contiguous United
Furthermore, Thunder Creek Watershed has the highest concentrations of glaciers in the NOCA national park.

Figure 11. Average monthly temperature (minimum in blue, maximum in red) at the Diablo Dam monitoring station (WRCC, 2009).

Glaciers and their impacts on the earth’s surface provide insights to the climatic history (Figure 13). During the Pleistocene epoch, several major glacial advances have occurred. The most recent glacial period lasted from approximately 110,000 to 15,000 ybp and correlates to the marine oxygen-isotope stages 2-4 (Gibbard and van Kolhschoten, 2004). During this time, the Laurentide ice sheet covered most of Canada and merged with the Cordilleran Ice Sheet (CIS). The CIS covered Western Montana, the Idaho Panhandle south to the Salmon River, Northern
Washington, British Columbia, Southwestern Yukon, the Alaskan Panhandle and Peninsula, and parts of South Central Alaska. Advances brought the CIS into the Okanogan, Columbia and Chelan Valleys to the east, and the Skagit Valley, Fraser Valley and Puget lowlands to the west.

Figure 12. Average monthly precipitation at the Diablo Dam monitoring station (WRCC, 2009).

Contemporary advances and retreats of the CIS indicate warmer and colder periods in the regional history. The last major advance of the CIS occurred from 254 to 13 thousand ybp.
Glacier Peak tephra indicates retreat of the CIS by as much as 80 km by 12,000 ybp (Beckey, 2003). Ice began its retreat before the Missoula Floods and formation of the channeled scablands (Allen et al., 1986). The Majority of the CIS in the NOCA had melted by roughly 12,750 ybp.

A smaller advance was recorded approximately 7,000 ybp. The Little Ice Age (LIA) marked a period of global cooling and advances of glaciers in the Pacific Northwest, European Alps and Himalayas spanning the 13th to 20th century. The LIA peaked in the mid 18th century and again in the late 19th to early 20th centuries.

More recently glaciers have generally been receding, with an exception occurring in the 1950’s (Beckey, 2003). Glaciers on Mount Baker were growing in 1975 (Pelto and Riedel, 2001). From 1977 to 1994 NOCA glaciers exhibited a negative mass balance and were
retreating (Pelto and Riedel, 2001). From 1995 to 2000 annual mass balance trends are slightly positive (0.15 m per year) for 14 glaciers monitored by the NOCA NP, USGS, and the North Cascades Glacier Climate Project (NCGCP, Pelto and Riedel, 2001).

1.2.3 Geomorphology of the North Cascades

Steep, mountainous topography is characteristic of the NOCA. The recent actions of gravity, water, and ice have sculpted the valleys and peaks that now characterize the topography of the landscape. Combinations of rivers, glaciers, mass movements and avalanches produced unique landforms with NOCA. Humid climate with an abundance of precipitation feeds glaciers and controls the regional hydrology. Alpine and continental glaciations have major impacts on the nature of these landscapes. Mass movements are common features in this region due to the steep topography. The effects of water, ice and gravity produce unique depositional landsurfaces governed by the specific mechanisms of erosion. The topography of this watershed is diverse in landforms, owing to the multitude of forces that have built and are leveling the mountainous landscape.

Geomorphology has been studied in the context of process-response systems (Conacher and Dalrymple, 1977; Ritter et al., 2002). In these systems, a given process is responsible for producing landforms (the response). In the NOCA, water, ice and gravity are the principle agents of erosion of the geologic substrate. The ubiquitous presence of water has carved deep valleys and leaves stunning waterfalls. The broad U-shaped valleys are indicative of glacial activity. Glaciers also are responsible for the formation of cirques, arêtes, and moraines that are present in the watershed. Gravity is responsible for the mass movements that erode the valley
walls. Numerous landslides are evident throughout the watershed. To understand the landforms of TCW and the NOCA, I will discuss the major processes and how they shape the land surface.

Regional hydrology drains into the Pacific Ocean through the Skagit River to the west, the Columbia River to the east and the Fraser River to the north in British Columbia. Principle drainage divides are the Pacific Crest, trending north-south and dividing the Skagit and Columbia Rivers and the North Cascade Crest, trending east-west and dividing the Skagit and Fraser Rivers (Riedel et al., 2007). The Skagit River Watershed is the second largest drainage in Washington State, only to the Columbia River and the third largest drainage on the West Coast (Figure 14). This watershed is located in Whatcom, Skagit and Snohomish counties and drains 6,900 km$^2$ (1.7 million acres). Major tributaries meet with the Skagit at important confluences: the Baker River at Concrete, the Sauk/Suiattle/White Chuck Rivers at Rockport and the Cascade River at Marblemount. These confluences control human settlements in the upper Skagit Valley. Above this Thunder Creek, Ruby/Canyon/Granite Creeks, Big Beaver and Little Beaver Creeks are major tributary streams.

The Skagit River is a source of hydroelectric energy for the city of Seattle, providing one fourth of the city’s power. Three dams are located upriver from the town of Newhalem; Gorge Dam, Diablo Dam, and Ross Dam (Figure 15). Behind each dam is a lake with the same name. Construction began in 1921 at Gorge Dam, with power arriving in Seattle by 1924. Diablo Dam was completed in 1930 and was at the time the tallest dam in the world. Ross Dam was completed in 1953 and impounds the 37 km long Ross Lake. Over 800 megawatts are produced annually from these dams.
Figure 14. Skagit River Watershed (http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/File:Skagitrivermap.png)

Figure 15. Dams of the Skagit River Hydroelectric Project (left to right: Gorge Dam, Diablo Dam, Ross Dam).
Diablo Lake forms the base level for Thunder Creek at mile 101 along the Skagit River. Spillway elevation on Diablo Dam is approximately 366 m (1,200 ft). Thunder Creek drains an area of 297 km². TCW is composed of several smaller sub-basins, with the largest of these being Fisher and McAllister Creeks (Figure 16).

Figure 16. Sub drainages within Thunder Creek Watershed

Elevation profiles are useful for describing the glacial histories of valleys. Broad “U-shaped” glacial valleys characterize Thunder Creek and its tributaries (Figure 17). At some locations, streams have incised below Pleistocene valley bottoms. This produces a V-shaped
channel within a larger U-shaped valley. This is observed in a profile graph from Snowfield Peak to Ragged Ridge. Here, recent stream erosion has incised the channel of Thunder Creek below the levels of Pleistocene glaciation (Figure 18).

Figure 17. Valley Profile within McAllister Creek Valley, between Snowfield and Primus Peaks (elevation and distance in m).

Figure 18. Valley Profile within Thunder Creek Valley, between Snowfield Peak and Ragged Ridge (elevation and distance in m).

Stream profiles are useful for assessing the glacial history of a valley. Stream profiles (Figures 19 and 20) differ from valley profiles (Figures 17 and 18) in that stream profiles
drainage patterns while valley profiles display interpolated elevation values collected along a transect. Valleys with substantial glacial influences produce stepped valleys; examples include the West fork of Thunder Creek (Figure 19) and the South fork of Fisher Creek (No Name Creek, Figure 16). Beginning at Moraine Lake, the West Fork descends through several glacial steps before terminating into the main stem of Thunder Creek. This is a stark contrast to a typical, non-glaciated valley that has a smoother stream profile (Figure 20). Rhode creek is fault controlled and lacks the stepped stream profile indicative of a glacially carved valley.

Figure 19. Stream profile graph of the West fork of Thunder Creek (elevation and distance in m).

Figure 20. Stream profile graph of Rhode Creek (elevation and distance in m).
Stream orders are also useful in understanding the regional hydrology (Figure 21). Higher order streams follow regional patterns determined by geologic substrate. This is evident in streams that have northwest to southeast trending directions, such as Thunder Creek. Other streams in the NOCA that exhibit this northwest to southeast trend are Cascade River, Goodell Creek and Granite Creek. However, most first order streams have parallel patterns draining steep valley walls.

Figure 21. Stream order of Thunder creek and its tributaries (1st order = red, 2nd order= orange, 3d order = green, 4th order = light blue, 5th order = dark blue).
The United States Geologic Survey operates several monitoring stations in the area. Monitoring stations are in place at the major hydroelectric facilities as well as within TCW. Discharge has been measured for over 70 years at a monitoring station located in the lower basin at river mile 3.4 on the east bank (N lat. 48°40’22”, W long. 121°04’18”). The average discharge for this time period is 619 ft³/sec (USGS, 2009). In the upper basin, NRCS operates a Snotel station to measure snow pack and estimate water equivalent (NRCS, 2009). Both these sensors provide real-time data free of charge and are available on line.

Effects of glaciation are ubiquitous in the NOCA and TCW. Broad “U-shaped” glacial valleys characterize Thunder Creek and its tributaries (Figures 17 and 18). During the Pleistocene, the majority of the region was covered in ice with only the tallest peaks exposed above the ice surface. These peaks are called nunataks. Today, nunataks exist in the Eldorado ice cap vicinity, specifically around Klawatti Peak, where five major glaciers share their headwaters on an elevated plateau (Figure 22). Glaciers have produced distinct landforms on the land surface including cirques, horns, arêtes and moraines.

The presence of glaciers in the NOCA throughout the Pleistocene and Holocene has substantially affected drainage patterns (Tabor and Haugerud, 1999; Riedel et al., 2007). Large, proglacial lakes were created when ice sheets advanced into the region, damming streams. This resulted in several breached divides and stream capture of the upper Skagit River. Thunder Creek was once the headwaters of the Fraser River. The portion of the Skagit River above Gorge Dam once flowed north into the Fraser River system. When a large proglacial lake breached the regional divide of the Skagit Crest, the Skagit Gorge was formed and the Upper Skagit was diverted into its present course. The resulting stream capture lowered base level of Thunder
Creek and resulted in the formation of an incised channel in Lower Thunder Creek (Riedel et al., 2007).

Figure 22. Eldorado ice cap looking southwest (from Beckey, 2003).

The effects of gravity are constantly acting on the topography of the NOCA. Effects of gravity are observed when soil creep on steep valley wall backslopes produce pistol butted trees by tipping them slightly downhill, leaving them to grow upright again. This results in abundant accumulations of materials on the uphill sides of large trees. Mass movements are abundant and have impacted landsurface morphology, stream courses and biotic communities. One notable
landslide is on the western flanks of Ragged Ridge. This large slide (618 ha) has produced a swamp near the confluence of Logan and Fisher Creeks by blocking drainage from Fisher Creek (Figure 23).

Figure 23. Debris avalanche on the west flank of Ragged Ridge (left) and the resulting swamp at the mouth of Logan Creek (right).

Another impact of gravity on the landsurface is produced from snow avalanches. A unique landform termed a snow avalanche impact landing (SAIL) is produced from the repeated action of avalanches. A SAIL has been observed in the Fisher Basin area (Riedel, pers. comm.). A crescent shaped ridge characterizes this landform with the concave side facing the avalanche source (Figure 24). The ground surface is extremely hard and has stunted vegetation (forbs and grasses). The convex portion of the landform exhibits tree throw in a radial pattern.

NPS geologists have mapped landforms within the NOCA (Riedel and Probala, 2005). Maps and landform unit descriptions are attached (Appendices A, B). These maps have provided valuable data to initiate soil resource inventories (Briggs et al., 2006).

In summary, a variety of landforms characterize the topography of the NOCA. Water, ice and gravity are the dominant agents of erosion in the landscape. The effects of the erosional processes have produced distinct responses in the land surface. Combinations of rivers, glaciers,
mass movements and avalanches produced unique landforms with NOCA. These landforms have been mapped by NOCA park staff and have been useful in studying the regional soils.

Figure 24. Snow avalanche impact landing; source of avalanches (left), concave side of snow avalanche impact landing (right) showing stunted vegetation in foreground and tree throw in the background.

1.2.4 Ecologic Communities

Temperate coniferous forests are the most dominant ecological community in TCW (Franklin and Dyrness, 1973). Forest communities are stratified by the relative elevations, transitioning from low elevation, to montane, to sub-alpine and ultimately to and alpine setting void of vegetation. Other plant communities include meadows, deciduous shrub communities and sub-alpine heather steppes. These plant communities provide habitat to a variety of mammals, birds, fungus and other life forms. Macro-fauna include black and grizzly bears, moose, wolves, cougars, lynx, goats, marmots and wolverines. Menageries of insects are present and flourish at lower elevations in moist environments.
The vegetation of the region is characterized by the Western Hemlock (*Tsuga heterophylla* or Tshe) forest alliance. This is the dominant forest type over the lower, western flank of the Cascade Range. Another major species throughout the region is the Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii* or Psme). These species exist roughly between 600 and 1200 m (2000 to 4000 ft) in elevation. Psme exists on drier and/or more disturbed landscapes, while Tshe is the most shade-tolerant species and can successfully out-compete Psme. Old-growth stands support Tshe or Psme with an actively reproducing Tsme understory. Another associated species in the low elevation forest is Western Red Cedar (*Thuja Plicata* or Thpl). Cedars can be found in and along stream channels in wetter landscape positions, or along avalanche chute and rocky areas.

At higher elevations, different species emerge as principle forest types. These species include the Pacific Silver Fir (*Abies amabilis* or Abam), the Mountain Hemlock (*Tsuga mertensiana* or Tsme), and the Sub-alpine Fir (*Abies lasiocarpa* or Abla). Elevation zones range from 750 to 1375 m (2500 to 4500 ft) for Abam, 900 to 1525 m (3000 to 5000 ft) for Tsme and 1300 to 1825 m (4300 to 6000 ft) for Abla. Montane forest communities also differ in moisture and temperature of their respective microclimates. Other associated species include Western Larch (*Larix occidentalis*), Engelmann Spruce (*Picea engelmannii*), and Alaskan Yellow Cedar (*Chamaecyparis nootkatensis*).

The PNW, and more specifically the NOCA, are home to some of the world's only temperate rainforests. These forests are extremely productive and are characterized as “old growth”. The mouth of Thunder Creek contains old growth stands of Psme, Tshe and Thpl. These trees are exceptionally large and live up to 1,000 years (Pojar and MacKinnon, 1994).

In addition to coniferous forests, there are many broadleaf species as well. These include Red Alder, Black Cottonwood, Big-leaf Maple, Mountain Ash, Sitka Alder, and Vine Maple.
There exists a patchwork of meadows, mosses, heather, and shrubs up to tree line. A list of identified plant species is presented (Appendix C). Common growth forms include coniferous trees, deciduous trees, shrubs, wildflowers, ferns, graminoids and mosses.

Plant communities provide habitat and nutrition for a variety of animals. A partial list of animal species has been compiled (Table 2). A variety of fungi and molds are represented in the NOCA. Abundant moisture and woody debris provide a habitat suitable to the growth of fungi. A total of 26 species of fungi representing 19 different genera have been identified (Table 3.)

1.2.5 Effects of Time and Human History

Time may be the most complex of Jenny’s factors to understand. Many influences can determine the age of a landscape and the ages of the plants growing on a surface. The geologic substrate provides the initial measure of time. The rocks from exotic terranes have been in place since the end of the tertiary period and have experienced intense deformation and erosion. Glacial movements have influenced the time of soil formation during the Pleistocene epoch. More recent deposits include mass movements, alluvial sediments and the moraines of glaciers. These agents continue to alter the landscape today, and control times of soil development.

In addition to the geologic processes that control the time of soil formation, forest fires greatly influence the landscape. Following a burn, a landscape may be more prone to erosion and mass wasting. Subsequently, pioneering plant species will colonize the area and begin the process of biological succession. More recently, human influence has been seen in the region. Logging has greatly influenced the patterns of succession. An important distinction is that there is no logging within the NOCA, however, timber harvesting is currently being practiced outside the park borders.
Table 2. Partial species list of animals of the North Cascades.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Order</th>
<th>Scientific name</th>
<th>Common name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Insectivora</td>
<td><em>Scapanus urarius</em></td>
<td>coast mole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Sorex bendirei</em></td>
<td>Pacific water shrew</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Sorex cinereus</em></td>
<td>masked shrew</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Sorex palustris</em></td>
<td>water shrew</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Sorex vagrans</em></td>
<td>vagrant shrew</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiroptera</td>
<td><em>Eptesicusfuscus</em></td>
<td>big brown bat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Lasius cinereus</em></td>
<td>hoary bat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Myotis californicus</em></td>
<td>California myotis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Myotis evotis</em></td>
<td>long-eared myotis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Myotis lucifugus</em></td>
<td>little brown myotis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Myotis yumanensis</em></td>
<td>Yuma myotis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lagomorpha</td>
<td><em>Lepus americanus</em></td>
<td>snowshoe rabbit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Ochotona princeps</em></td>
<td>pika</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodentia</td>
<td><em>Clethrionomys gapperi</em></td>
<td>Gapper's red-backed mouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Clethrionomys occidentalis</em></td>
<td>western red-backed mouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Erethizon dorsatum</em></td>
<td>porcupine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Eutamias amoena</em></td>
<td>yellow-pine chipmunk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Eutamias townsendi</em></td>
<td>Townsend's chipmunk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Glaucomys sabrinus</em></td>
<td>northern flying squirrel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Marmota caligata</em></td>
<td>hoary marmot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Microtus longicaudus</em></td>
<td>long-tailed vole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Microtus richardsonii</em></td>
<td>water vole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Neotoma cinerea</em></td>
<td>bushy-tailed wood rat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Peromyscus maniculatus</em></td>
<td>deer mouse</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Phenacomys intermedius</em></td>
<td>heather vole</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Spermophilus saturatus</em></td>
<td>Cascade golden-mantled ground squirrel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Tamiasciurus douglasii</em></td>
<td>Douglas squirrel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Tamiasciurus hudsonicus</em></td>
<td>red squirrel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carnivora</td>
<td><em>Canis latrans</em></td>
<td>coyote</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Felis concolor</em></td>
<td>mountain lion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Gulo luscus</em></td>
<td>wolverine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Lynx canadensis</em></td>
<td>lynx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Lynx rufus</em></td>
<td>bobcat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Martes americana</em></td>
<td>marten</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Martes pennanti</em></td>
<td>fisher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Mustela erminea</em></td>
<td>ermine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Mustela frenata</em></td>
<td>long-tailed weasel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Procyon lotor</em></td>
<td>raccoon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Ursus americanus</em></td>
<td>black bear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Vulpes fulva</em></td>
<td>red fox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artiodactyla</td>
<td><em>Odocoileus hemionus</em></td>
<td>mule deer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Oreamnos americanus</em></td>
<td>mountain goat</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Partial species list of fungi of the North Cascades.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Armillaria mellea</td>
<td>Honey Mushroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clavariadelphus borealis</td>
<td>Flat Topped Club Coral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clavariadelphus ligula</td>
<td>Strap Coral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clavariadelphus sachalinensis</td>
<td>Strap Coral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clavariadelphus truncatus</td>
<td>Flat Topped Club Coral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortinarius violaceus</td>
<td>Violet Cort</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craterellus cornucopioides</td>
<td>Black Trumpet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flammulina velutipes</td>
<td>Velvet Foot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fomitopsis pinicola</td>
<td>Red-Belted Conk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyromitra californica</td>
<td>Umbrella False Morel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gyromitra esulenta</td>
<td>False Morel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helvella lacunosa</td>
<td>Fluted Black Elfin Saddle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactarius rubidus</td>
<td>Candy Cap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laetiporus sulphureus</td>
<td>Sulfur Shelf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morella angusticeps</td>
<td>Black Morel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morella elata</td>
<td>Black Morel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morella esculenta</td>
<td>Morel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleurotus ostreatus</td>
<td>Oyster Mushroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyzellus multiplex</td>
<td>Blue Chanterelle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudohydnum gelatinosum</td>
<td>Toothed Jelly Fungus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psilocybe semilanceata</td>
<td>Liberty Cap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramaria botrytis</td>
<td>Pink-tipped Coral Mushroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramaria rasilispora</td>
<td>Yellow Coral Mushroom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trametes versicolor</td>
<td>Turkey Tail</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tremella mesenterica</td>
<td>Witch's Butter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trichoglossum hirsutum</td>
<td>Velvety Black Earth Tongue</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Regional soils follow a pattern of succession similar to those observed by plant communities. More active landscapes produce younger soil (Entisols and Inceptisols) while stable forested landscapes support Spodosols. Although these ages are difficult to date quantitatively, they provide a relative measure of the age of a soil or landsurface.

Absolute ages can be determined from specific events in the regional history. Mazama tephra, Glacier Peak tephras and Mount Saint Helens tephra have been observed in the region. The eruptions of Cascades Volcanoes provide a tool for dating ash layers in soils (Figure 25). Tephrachronology has been used for dating in numerous studies including archaeology and paleoclimatic reconstructions.

Human history is essential for understanding the role of the NOCA in our society. Humans have occupied the region as early as 8,500 ybp (Mierendorf, 1998). Most prehistoric inhabitants were ancestors of the Coast Salish tribes. Mountain passes such as Cascade Pass provided important corridors for travel and trade between groups on opposite sides of the Cascade Crest. Currently there are over 260 archeological sites within the park.

One of the earliest European explorers in the Region was Alexander Ross, who possibly crossed the NOCA in 1848 (Beckey, 2003). Ross was a member of John Jacob Astor’s Pacific Fur Company. The fur trade had an important role in the exploration of the Pacific Northwest. The region became part of the United States in 1846 with the establishment of the Oregon Territory (Beckey, 2003). Another expedition to explore the region was organized by Otto Klement in 1877 and crossed the NOCA via Cascade Pass investigating gold in the Methow Valley.
Mining prospectors played an important role in opening trails into the NOCA and establishing mining claims. In the late 1880s, several explorers established mining claims in the Cascade River drainage. These include the Horseshoe Basin, Doubtful Basin, and the Boston and Chicago Mines. However, by 1919 most of the Thunder Creek mining companies had failed due to the high cost of transporting ore to the smelter. In the 1890s several railroad surveyors also ventured into the NOCA, but were turned away unsuccessful.

Also in the late 1890s, federal and state agencies began to preserve land in the Pacific Forest Reserve and the Washington Forest Reserve, respectively. These were later transferred to
the USFS upon its creation in 1905. Seattle City Light received permission for the hydroelectric projects in 1917 from the USDA. A road was constructed to Newhalem by 1921. The period from 1935 to 1950 was characterized by further exploration into the high country and building of a trail network by such rangers as Tommy Tompson and Lage Wernstedt (Beckey, 2003). Hermann Ulrichs was a mountaineer who explored Thunder Creek in 1932 and pioneered many of the first ascents of prominent peaks in the NOCA. The North Cascades Highway, the 89 miles of Washington State Route 20 between Marblemount and Twisp, was built from 1959 to 1972.

The NOCA-NP complex was established in 1968 by the 90th Congress and signed into law by President Johnson. The NOCA-NP Complex is composed of the NOCA-NP north and south units (combined area of 204,000 ha) and the Ross Lake and Lake Chelan National Recreation Areas (69,000 ha).

1.2.6 Other Models of Soil Formation

The majority of this section comes from McBratney et al. (2003). The SCORPAN model is similar to the soil-factor model proposed by Jenny (1941), but has some additions. In this model of soil formation, soil attributes and/or soil classes (Sa, Sc) are a function of \( s, c, o, r, p, a, n \) where \( s \) stands for soil (including existing soil information), \( c \) stands for climate, \( o \) represents organisms, \( r \) stands for relief, \( p \) represents parent materials and lithology, \( a \) represents age and \( n \) represents the spatial dimension. This model is inherently similar to Jenny’s model except for the inclusion of spatial dimensions and existing soil information. These differences may seem trivial at first, but are relevant in many digital soil mapping applications. Most digital soil maps are produced with geographic information systems (GIS). These technologies allow spatial
phenomenon to be clearly displayed, manipulated and quantitatively analyzed (i.e., analyses of spatial autocorrelation of residuals). Also, the SCORPAN model includes a soil factor. This factor is becoming increasingly important as soil resources inventories are being conducted around the globe (Legacherie et al., 1995). This legacy data is valuable in contemporary digital soil mapping applications. These slight modifications to Jenny’s model have modernized the concepts of soil genesis to better work with today’s digital technologies.

Many conceptual models exist for describing natural phenomenon. Some are site specific while others are broader in their focus. I have used various models to aid in the understanding of soil-water-gravity interactions in TCW. I am looking at soils and landforms and use these conceptual models to facilitate my understanding of the underlying processes. These models provide a framework for understanding the complexities of natural systems and how they vary with space and time. My conceptual model is a hybrid of these approaches (Figure 26.)

This diagram represents the five factors of soil formation as proposed by Jenny, however, some modifications exist. In the center are the natural processes in question; a very complex entity to describe. The veil of scale and resolution surrounds this natural process. Scale and resolution terms are applied with respect to spatial and temporal dimensions. Next, the soil forming factors of climate, biota, topography and parent material are presented. These have been positioned according to the spatial extent of their influences. Parent material and climate vary on the order of 100s of kilometers, while biota and topography vary by kilometers or meters. Also, climate and biota have been placed above parent material and topography. This is because climate and biota operate near or above the soil surface, while parent materials and topography are described from the landsurface down.
Figure 26. Conceptual model of soil formation adapted for the North Cascades.

This diagram is very useful for understanding pedogenic properties in TCW. However, when posed with questions concerning other natural phenomenon (ecology, solar radiation, atmospheric pressure systems, etc.) this diagram helps to visualize the interdependence of these factors and the complexity inherent in nature.
1.3 Soils of the North Cascades

Soils from the NOCA exhibit unique combinations of parent materials and pedogenic processes. Few places in the world have podsolization occurring in volcanic tephra rich parent materials. These include the PNW, Alaska, Eastern Russia, Northern Japan, and New Zealand (Briggs et al., 2006). Soils are here described first by the bedrock geology, weathering of parent materials, and formation of primary and secondary minerals within soil environments. Next, important pedogenic processes are discussed. Soils are described with respect to the ecological characteristics and niches. Finally, regional soils are discussed with respect to classification and mapping.

Bedrock geology is rarely the sole parent material for soils in TCW. Few residual soils exist, however, they are generally found in alpine environments, are very shallow, and are dominated by rock fragments larger than 2mm in diameter. More commonly, soil parent material is influenced by organic matter, volcanic ash and glacial till. Forested locations produce abundant leaf litter and provide organic soil horizons above most mineral soils. Volcanic ash mantles are present throughout the region and influence soils due to the high specific surface area of short-range order minerals (SROM) (Dahlgren and Ugolini, 1991; McDaniel et al., 1994; McDaniel et al., 2005). Glacial till is frequently a secondary parent material, found below the ash mantle.

Moderate temperatures and abundant moisture accelerate weathering of parent materials. Physical erosion is commonly observed in mass movements. Chemical erosion is evident in the E-Bs horizon sequence found in many Spodosols. Rapid chemical alterations occur as soil parent materials are altered into primary and secondary soil minerals.
I have characterized soil mineralogy through my work at the University of Idaho. Of the over 400 soil samples collected from TCW, eight were selected for mineralogical analysis. Samples were chosen based on reflectance in the visible and near-infrared (Vis-NIR) regions of the spectrum using VisNIR-diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (DRS, Sankey et al., 2008). Reflectance profiles were clustered using partitioning around mediods on the Mahalanobis distances of the first derivative of reflectance (Kaufman and Rousseeuw, 1990). All organic soil horizons (Oi, Oe) were excluded from the statistical selection methods. Eight clusters were created and a single sample was randomly selected from each (Figure 27).

The information gained from mineralogical investigations provides insights to the pedogenic environments and processes within TCW. From the various analyses conducted at the University of Idaho, data have been collected with regard to minerals present in selected soils. Mineralogical analyses include particle size analysis, selective dissolution, X-ray diffraction, total elemental analysis, petrographic microscopy, and scanning electron microscopy with energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy.

Sample 1 exhibits X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns indicative of chlorite, mica, kaolinite and hydroxy-interlayered vermiculite in the clay size fraction. Sample 2 XRD patterns show kaolinite and SROM in the clay size fraction. XRD results from Sample 3 suggest chlorite, mica, kaolinite, hydroxy-interlayered vermiculite and hydroxy-interlayered smectite are present. Sample 4 exhibits XRD patterns characteristic of chlorite, mica and hydroxy-interlayered vermiculite. Sample 5 shows the presence of kaolinite, vermiculite, chlorite, HIV and possibly smectite minerals in the clay fraction and mostly quartz and feldspar, with some volcanic glass, in the fine sand fraction (Table 4, Figure 28). Sample 6 is dominated mostly by primary minerals in the sand fractions and contains kaolinite, vermiculite, chlorite, HIV, mica and
smectite in the clay fraction. Sample 7 is dominated by volcanic glass and SROM (Figure 29). Sample 8 shows the presence of smectites and HIV in the clay fraction and aluminosilicate minerals in the sand fractions.

Figure 27. Sample Locations for Mineralogical Analyses within Thunder Creek Watershed.
Table 4. Petrographic microscopy results for samples 5 and 7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>total</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>amphibole</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>biotite</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>feldspar</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glass</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quartz</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>50.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>200</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>total</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>amphibole</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>biotite</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>feldspar</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>glass</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>36.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>quartz</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td><strong>200</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 28. Scanning electron microscope images of Sample 5 (Feldspar grain on the right).

Results from mineralogical analyses highlight the mineral phases present in the soils of TCW. By gaining a knowledge base through chemical and physical tests, one better understands the pedogenic environments of these soil samples. Information gained in these analyses was essential for understanding the pedogenic processes involved in the formation of these soils, in addition to their taxonomic classifications.
Major pedogenic processes include podsolization, andisolization and melanization, however, these are just a small sample of the pedogenic processes that are occurring. Lundstrom et al. (2000) have compiled a review of the podsolization process. In podsolization, organic matter and aluminum are translocated through the profile and result in horizons with depletions (E horizon designation) and enrichments (Bs or Bhs horizon designation). This is the most dominant pedogenic process in TCW and the NOCA.

Schaetzl and Anderson (2005) describe andisolization as a “process operative in soils that contain a large portion of volcanic parent material such as ash. Similar to podsolization, in which the fine earth fraction […] comes to be dominated by amorphous compounds” (p. 350). Podsolization and andisolization are similar in their ability to produce amorphous or SROM. Briggs et al. (2006) studied the interplay of these processes by investigating if true Spodosols are present in TCW or are pseudo-albic horizons actually composed of bleached volcanic tephra. They concluded that podsolization is occurring and that no pseudo-albic horizons are present.

Other processes or process-bundles that occur within TCW include biocycling, lessivage, pedoturbation, arenization, humification and melanization (Schaetzl and Anderson, 2005). The melanization process bundle “involves the development of dark, humus-rich coatings on ped
faces and mineral grains, rendering the horizon a dark brown or black color” (p. 356, Schaetz and Anderson, 2005).

When discussing soil genesis and profile development, one must place the soils of TCW into an ecological context. Meirik (2008) has shown statistical correlations between soil properties and vegetation. To place the soils in an ecological setting, I will use the concept of Potential Natural Vegetation (PNV) as an analogy. To understand PNV, one must understand successional patterns and an ecosystem’s response and recovery to large scale changes (i.e., landslides, fires, logging, etc.). I propose that a landsurface in TCW will mature into a low elevation forest composed of western hemlock, Douglas-fir and western red cedar if it remains undisturbed. This forest association is the PNV for sites in TCW below 1,250 m in elevation. Following a stand clearing disturbance, vegetation will respond by colonization of pioneering plant species, namely alders, maples, and grasses. Alders have the ability to biologically fix nitrogen due to symbiotic relationships with soil micro-organisms. Alders are well suited to growth in nutrient-poor soil environments. As this plant community matures, red cedar or perhaps lodgepole pine may be the first coniferous trees to establish themselves. These species are then followed by Douglas-fir and hemlocks. Given sufficient time to reach a climax stage, hemlocks will mature and compete with Douglas-firs as the most dominant species.

This chain of ecological succession is analogous to the development of soils on disturbed landsurfaces within TCW. Following a landslide or avalanche, all soil material is removed. This fresh landsurface is composed of rock fragments, which develop moss coatings. The moss layers are frequently enriched by litter from nearby plants and form young, shallow soils (Lithic Udifolists). When pioneering plant species, such as alders, colonize the fresh landsurface, melanization is evident. These profiles eventually develop into Entisols and Inceptisols. Given
sufficient time and landsurface stability, podsolization may shift the pedogenic controls and produce a Spodosol. This mature Spodosol is analogous to the mature stand of hemlocks and Douglas-fir trees that are described as PNV. The well-devolved Spodosol could be termed the “potential natural soil” for this environment.

North Cascade soils are composed of a complex mixture of several soil orders, including Spodosols, Andisols, Inceptisols, Entisols and Histosols (Figure 30). As previously discussed with regards to ecological succession, landscape stability plays a large role in the development of these soils. Major pedogenic controls have been outlined by Briggs (2004) and Briggs et al. (2006), and include erosional and depositional history, over-story vegetation, and temperature. They found stable landforms (bedrock benches and Pleistocene moraines) had a higher occurrence of Spodosols. Andisols and Spodosols dominated landsurfaces characterized by active colluvial additions (debris aprons and valley walls). Inceptisols dominated landforms influenced by water erosion (debris cones, alluvial fans and terraces). Entisols and Histosols are found on unstable surfaces and are related with zones of mass wasting.

Briggs (2004; 2006) used these pedogenic processes to map soils in the watershed (Figure 31). She employed an expert-knowledge, rule-based system to map soils corresponding to a 4th order soil survey using traditional landform maps, DEM derived terrain attributes and remotely sensed land cover classifications. Soils were mapped at the sub-group level and map units contain Spodosols, Andisols, Inceptisols and Entisols in addition to water, rock outcrops, and ice. Landscape stability, land cover, and climate were identified as the dominant controls on pedogenesis.
In summary, regional soils are composed of various types of mineralogy and show strong relationships with overstory vegetation and landscape stability. These relationships form the mental model that has been used to map soils in TCW.

Figure 30. Soil orders in Thunder Creek Watershed, North Cascades National Park, Washington.
Figure 31. Digital soil map of Thunder Creek Watershed created by Briggs (2004).
1.4 Literature Review

The application of digital technologies to traditional methods of soil survey has created digital soil mapping (DSM). McBratney et al. (2003) and Scull et al. (2003) have compiled reviews of DSM. DSM relies on the hypothesis that quantitative environmental variables are correlated with the spatial distribution of soil classes and properties. Combinations of landform and land cover are common tools for soil mapping (Dobos et al., 2000; McKenzie and Ryan, 1999; Hengl and Rossiter, 2003). Correlations between environmental factors and soil profile characteristics allow a surveyor to extrapolate knowledge from a profile observation to a landscape. The ability of a soil surveyor to correlate soil distribution with readily observed environmental factors (landform and land cover) allows for the mapping of soil entities over large areas with minimal soil profile observations.

It is important to use environmental covariates that are easily and inexpensively observable at many spatially referenced locations. For example McBratney et al. (2003) use the following equation to generalize DSM:

\[ S(x,y) = f(Q(x,y)) + e(x,y) \]

where, S is a soil property of interest, Q are environmental covariates represented by raster layers in a GIS software, \( f \) is a empirical quantitative function linking S and Q, and e are the errors. Inherent in the above equation are spatial dimensions associated with S, Q and e. Observations of Q must be much larger than the number of observations of S for efficient use of DSM (McBratney et al., 2003).

Many of the processes involved with the formation of soils are similar to the processes involved in landform formation, namely soil-water-gravity interactions. The connections between geomorphology, hydrology and pedology have been recognized for almost a century.
Early research into soils and geomorphology recognized the important link between soils and landforms (Milne, 1935). Ruhe (1961) segmented landforms into four elements, uplands, backslopes, footslopes and toeslopes. In Iowa, Ruhe’s landform elements correlated with soil properties, including clay content and weathering indices. Ruhe’s model was later expanded to a nine-unit landsurface model, with landsurface units showing correlation to soil properties in Australia, New Zealand, the United Kingdom, and Uganda (Conacher and Dalrymple, 1977). Conacher and Dalrymple (1977) have coined the term “pedogeomorphology” to make this linkage even more explicit. Their nine-unit landsurface model has been adapted for use in DLM (Park et al., 2001).

For DSM, quantitative descriptions of soil-water-gravity interactions are achieved through two distinctly different approaches. The first approach is a hydrology approach (Beven and Kirby, 1979; Moore et al., 1993; Tarboton, 1991). This method uses the hypothesis that soil development is related to the way water moves over and through a landscape (Moore et al., 1993). By quantifying flow patterns through digital terrain analysis, one is able to make inferences regarding soil attributes and class distribution.

A uniquely different approach is a geomorphology approach focusing on slope processes, including detachment, transport and deposition of soil materials (Pennock et al., 1987; MacMillan et al., 2000). This approach segments the surface into similar landforms with distinct combinations and extents of various slope processes. This method of landscape segmentation also makes inferences regarding the processes of formation for a given landform unit. The geomorphic approach to DSM involves an understanding of landscape evolution when describing the topography of a contemporary landsurface. Ultimately for application to DSM, one hopes strong correlations exist between geomorphic units and soil attributes.
Both approaches rely on the distribution of water and how it moves through a landscape to describe soil properties and classes. A key difference between these approaches is the timeframe in which they operate. Most hydrologic methods rely on contemporary surface morphology to describe water movements over short-term precipitation events. Conversely, geomorphic approaches use surface morphology to describe processes that create landforms over much larger periods of time. Using a hydrological approach for DSM, one seeks correlation between surface/subsurface water movements and soil attributes. This research employs a geomorphic approach to DSM.

The DEM is the fundamental backbone of terrain analysis because DEMs allow for the simple manipulation of raster data sets. Topographic parameters like slope, curvature and aspect are easily calculated from DEMs (Pennock et al., 1987). The first derivative of elevation is equal to the slope of a surface, while the second derivative represents the curvature of a surface. Terrain attributes like slope and curvature can be calculated from a DEM in seconds and can be applied to large areas. While slope and curvature are relatively simple metrics derived from a DEM, measures of solar insulation, contributing areas, and indices of wetness, stream power, and sediment transport are also available. A distinction between primary and secondary terrain attributes is used to signify metrics derived directly from elevation data and other metrics that rely on primary terrain attributes (i.e., the use of slope in the calculation of wetness index). The abundance of quantitative topographic information allows researchers to create their own indices through mathematical manipulations of DEM-derived terrain attributes, for site specific uses.

Application of DEMs and terrain attributes to landform mapping has produced the emerging field of digital landform mapping (DLM, Moore et al., 1993; Wood, 1996). This has also been called geomorphometry (Hengl and Reuter, 2008), terrain analysis (Wilson and
Gallant, 2000), environmental correlation (McKenzie and Ryan, 1999) and many other monikers. Reviews of geomorphometry have been compiled (Pike, 2000; Shary et al., 2002). A key hypothesis of geomorphometry and DLM is that the shape of the land surface, observed by remote sensing, is related with surficial geologic processes. These geologic processes include detachment, transportation and deposition, the central tenants of erosion. These erosional processes are enacted through various mechanisms yet produce distinct spatial entities, or landforms. Individual repeating morphologic units are identified in the field and mapped as landforms. Traditional methods of landform mapping involve the use of topographic maps and aerial photographs. Initial lines are drawn onto topographic maps with the aid of stereo pairs of photographs. These are then validated and modified through field observations (Riedel and Probala, 2005). This has been completed for a majority of the North Cascades National Park. Landform mapping has been used to identify endangered species habitat, geologic hazards, and cultural resources within the North Cascades National Park (Riedel and Probala, 2005).

Examples of DLM and DSM exist through the globe (McBratney et al., 2003; Scull et al., 2003). DLM in Saskatchewan was achieved by segmenting the landscape based on profile curvature, plan curvature and slope gradient (Pennock et al., 1987). For this study, thickness of A horizons and depth to calcium carbonate showed an overall increase in the sequence shoulder < backslope < level < footslope elements. MacMillan et al. (2000) used fuzzy logic and heuristic rules to digitally map landforms in Alberta. Resulting landform units were correlated with A horizon depth and crop yields. Examples of DLM and DSM are abundant and exist across a broad spectrum; from deductive (MacMillan et al., 2000; MacMillan et al., 2007; Cook et al., 1996) to inductive (Dragut and Blaschke, 2006), from theoretical (Ehsani and Quiel, 2008) to empirical (Saadat et al., 2008), and produce both fuzzy representations (Burrough et al., 1992;
Here I use empirical and inductive methods to produce discrete maps of landform elements and soil properties. I have a large spatially registered database of over 400 observations of landforms. This volume of data is unprecedented for DLM in wilderness areas. These data allow for robust statistical evaluations however, I must avoid over-fitting this model to this specific watershed. To improve the transferability of this digital landform model I use inductive methods to classify landforms based on DEM derived terrain attributes. Inductive classifications provide rules for landform classification by seeking patterns within the data.

I hope the methods used here can be applied to map other humid, mountainous landscapes, more specifically, the National Parks within Washington State: North Cascades, Mount Rainer and Olympic Other federal lands in the PNW may also be within the scope of these modeling tools. The North Cascades National Park Complex (composed of the national park and Ross Lake and Lake Chelan National Recreation Areas) comprises the 40,000 ha core of the North Cascade Ecosystem (National Park Service, 2003). This extends from the Puget Sound to the Columbia River in the east and from the Fraser River to Snoqualmie Pass in the south. Numerous wildernesses and national forests are present with only 2 major highways crossing the region (US-2 and WA-20).

Through DSM and DLM, a quantitative description of the earth's surface is relatively inexpensive and provides more information than was previously available. The vast amount of data stored in DEMs and within DEM derived terrain attributes allows for the rapid quantification of land surface features. Additional benefits of DSM and DLM compared with traditional methods are that digital methods are more explicit (Hudson, 1992) and can easily
updated as newer or higher resolution data is available. The ubiquity of digital data allows for archival into databases for evaluation of temporal changes in landsurface morphology and soil attributes.

DSM has been applied to mapping soils in 90,000 ha of the Sawtooth and Pasayten Wildernesses in Washington State (Rodgers, 2000) to map soils at the sub-group level of soil taxonomy (Soil Survey Staff, 1999). Expert rules within a decision tree format were used to classify soils based on landform and land cover digital data. Fourth order soil surveys (map scale of 1:100,000) were produced and resulting soil taxa include Spodosols, Andisols, and Inceptisols from xeric and udic moisture regimes. Rodgers found slope to be the most important topographic attribute for prediction of soil classes. Other important predictors included land cover, wetness index and profile curvature.

Ufnar (2004) mapped landtype associations (LTA) in the 13500 ha North Fork of the Skykomish River on the Western Slope of the Central Cascades. This study was conducted within the Henry M. Jackson Wilderness. LTAs are based on combinations of landforms, geology, and potential natural vegetation (PNV), and represent units with similar ecological processes, (Davis, 2004). LTAs fit within the National Hierarchical Framework of Ecological Units and are generally mapped at scales from 1:250,000 to 1:62,500. The US Forest Services’ terrestrial ecosystems unit inventory (TEUI) geospatial toolkit was used to digitize landforms based on digital layers representing landforms, geology and PNV. The PNV and geology layers were obtained from the Mt Baker – Snoqualmie National Forest. Landforms were digitized on screen by using high resolution digital ortho-quarter quads, LANDSAT images, DEM-derived terrain attributes and 3D visualizations of digital data in GIS software. Ufnar then used LTAs to map soil distribution at the sub-group level. Ufnar identified glacial history, volcanic tephra,
landform stability and land cover to be the dominant controls on pedogenesis. Fourth order soil surveys were produced and resulting soil taxa include Spodosols, Andisols, and Inceptisols.

Briggs (2004, 2006) mapped soils in the 30,000 ha Thunder Creek Watershed (TCW) using DSM. Expert knowledge and a rule based system were used to map soils corresponding to a 4th order soil survey using traditional landform maps, DEM derived terrain attributes and remotely sensed land cover classifications. Soils were mapped at the sub-group level and map units contain Spodosols, Andisols, Inceptisols and Entisols in addition to water, rock outcrops, and ice. Landscape stability, land cover, and climate were identified as the dominant controls on pedogenesis.

Meirik (2008) returned to TCW to improve the accuracy of land cover maps to aid in DSM. Land cover mapping was improved by using higher spatial and spectral resolution satellite data. Meirik outlined a method of supervised classification of satellite images based on field observations. This empirical method can be used to further improve land cover-mapping accuracy for its use as a precursor to DSM. Meirik found thickness of O, A, E and Bs horizons were statistically correlated with land cover classes.

In this work, I returned to TCW during the summer of 2007 and 2008 to describe and classify landforms and soils. This work employs two inductive statistical classification techniques to segment landform elements. I used binary decision trees (BDT) (Brieman, 1984), and random forests (RF) (Brieman, 2001). These classification tools are inductive in nature, allowing data to be analyzed without pre-conceived mapping rules. By seeking patterns within the data, we can let the information speak for itself, without posing any a priori constraints. This is in contrast to many digital mapping methods, which are either semi-automated (van Asselen
and Seijmonsbergen, 2006; Eshani and Quiel, 2008) or expert driven (Briggs et al., 2006; MacMillan et al., 2000).

I assembled a digital database of over 400 GPS-referenced site descriptions of geomorphology, soil profile descriptions, and plant communities from the work of Briggs (2004), Meirik (2008) and myself. A large data set allows for robust statistical inferences in the study area. Many digital models rely on little if any field observations (Eshani and Quiel, 2008; van Asselen and Seijmonsbergen, 2006; Bolongaro-Crevenna et al., 2005; Irving et al., 1997).

1.5 Objectives

My study objectives were to: 1) use field observations to evaluate an existing expert landform map, 2) calibrate and validate inductive classification methods for mapping landforms in rugged mountainous terrain with field observations, 3) compare these inductive classifications with an expert landform map and 4) seek statistical correlations between topographic information (landform elements and DEM derived terrain attributes) and soil attributes (including taxonomic classes and soil properties). By mapping soils in wilderness areas with DSM, I hope to propose a method for completion of the soil survey in Washington State and ultimately the nation.
1.6 References:


CHAPTER TWO – DIGITAL LANDFORM MAPPING AND
SOIL-LANDFORM RELATIONSHIPS
2.1 Abstract

Digital soil mapping meets current demands for soils data and increases the opportunity for scientifically based management of public resources. In this thesis I employed geospatial data and geographic information systems to characterize soils, landforms and soil-landform relationships in the rugged, mountainous terrain of Thunder Creek Watershed (30,000 ha) in the North Cascades National Park (48°30’ North, 121° West). I described and classified plants, soils and landforms at over 400 spatially referenced locations throughout the study area. I used field observations, a 10 m digital elevation model and inductive classification methods, including decision trees and random forest machine learning, to produce landform maps with a 2/3 to 1/3 split between calibration data and validation data. I obtained an expert, National Park Service landform map created from aerial photograph interpretation, topographic maps, and field observations for the evaluation of automated mapping methods. Automated and expert methods were compared with field observations. Field observations of landforms correlated best with the expert map (kappa = 0.59 and overall accuracy = 70 %). Evaluating automated approaches, the random forest classification (kappa = 0.44 and overall accuracy = 59 %) performed better than the decision tree model (kappa = 0.37 and overall accuracy = 53 %). Resulting statistical models were applied to map the entire watershed. Observations of landforms were compared with soil properties. Graphical representations of categorical soil variables show strong relationships with landscape stability and profile development. Older landforms support Spodosols and Andisols while younger, active surfaces support Entisols and Inceptisols. These trends are evident when comparing podsolization, tephra distribution, and presence of redoxomorphic features to landform classes. Continuous soil variables were analyzed with generalized least squares regression. Regression models provided poor predictions of soil attributes, questioning
traditional beliefs regarding soil landform relationships. My results show promise for digitally mapping landforms in mountainous terrain. My results also suggest landforms may be less important for soil mapping. Advantages to these methods are by using an inductive, empirical approach one gains knowledge of the landscape from the data directly, hopefully proving more transferable among other steep, mountainous landscapes.

2.2 Introduction

Digital soil mapping (DSM) makes use of geographic information systems, global positioning and geospatial data, offering improvements over traditional soil mapping methods (McBratney et al., 2003; Scull et al., 2003; Lagacherie et al., 2007). Lagacherie et al. (2007) have defined DSM as, “the creation and population of spatial soil information systems by the use of field and laboratory observational methods coupled with spatial and non-spatial soil inference systems.” Digital methods of soil mapping quantify land surface characteristics, can easily be updated with new information, are quickly produced and potentially require fewer resources to map a given region, thus offering advantages over traditional methods. Digital soil mapping can give knowledge of nutrient cycling through an ecosystem, post-disturbance ecosystem recovery, and biogeochemical cycles monitoring carbon in soils, plants and the atmosphere.

Digital soil mapping relies on the hypothesis that quantitative, spatially exhaustive environmental variables are correlated with the distributions of soil classes and properties. The ability of a soil surveyor to correlate soil distribution with readily observed environmental factors (e.g. landform and land cover) allows for the mapping of soil entities over large areas with minimal soil profile observations. Combinations of topographic and spectral data are common tools for digital soil mapping (Dobos et al., 2000; McBratney et al., 2003; Scull et al., 2003).
Early research into soils and geomorphology recognized the important link between soils and topography (Milne, 1935). Milne studied soil topography relationships in East Africa as part of a reconnaissance level soil survey. Milne (1935, p. 197) stated, “The catena is a grouping of soils which, while they fall wide apart in a natural system of classification on account of fundamental genetic and morphological differences, are yet linked in their occurrence by conditions of topography and are repeated in the same relationship to each other wherever the same conditions are met with.” The catena was intended for use as a complex soil mapping unit with a level of detail between great groups and series level. The importance of topography on soil formation was also identified as one of Jenny’s (1941) soil forming factors.

Building upon the relationships between soils and topography, strong correlations often can be found between soils and landforms (Ruhe, 1961; Conacher and Dalrymple 1977). Landforms are components of a landscape that are distinguished by a characteristic form and created by a given process. Landform maps provide valuable baseline information when investigating soils and are frequently used to map soils and soil properties. Discrete landform classes incorporate several of Jenny’s factors of soil formation (1941), namely topography, parent material and age. For example, a river terrace is a discrete landform class, with defined topographic attributes (low elevation, close to a water course, gentle slopes, etc.), parent material (alluvium) and a specific age. Ruhe (1961) segmented landforms into four elements (uplands, pediment backslopes, pediment footslopes and alluvial toeslopes), defined largely by profile curvature. Milne’s definition of a catena is central to Ruhe’s (1961) landform elements because landform elements are based on physiographic history and geomorphic evolution of the landscape. Ruhe (1961, p. 167) further elaborates that, “a point of departure for any study leading to a better understanding of the soil, should be a geomorphic evaluation of the soil
landform.” In Iowa, Ruhe found landform elements correlated with soil properties, including clay content and weathering indices (Ruhe 1961). Ruhe’s model was later expanded to a nine-unit landsurface model, with landsurface units showing correlation to soil properties in Australia, New Zealand and the United Kingdom (Conacher and Dalrymple, 1977). Landform elements included interfluves, seepage slopes, convex creep slopes, fall faces, transportational midslopes, colluvial toeslopes, alluvial toeslopes, channel walls and channel beds. The nine-unit landsurface model provided narrower definitions of landform elements, based on quantifiable changes in soil-water-gravity interactions.

Digital elevation models are an important source of topographic data for use in DSM. Dobos et al. (2000) evaluated the addition of digital elevation data and derived terrain attributes (slope gradient, aspect, curvature and potential drainage density) to a purely spectral classification. Dobos et al. (2000) concluded terrain information significantly improved classification accuracies for the soil mapping of Hungary.

Digital landform mapping is a common tool for use in DSM (MacMillan et al., 2000; Park et al., 2001; Schmidt and Hewitt, 2004; Ziadat, 2005; Hansen et al., 2009). Digital landform mapping employs the use of digital data in a geographic information system (GIS) to characterize the surface of the landscape into meaningful geomorphologic units. MacMillan et al. (2000) used fuzzy logic and heuristic rules to digitally map landforms in Alberta. Resulting landform units were correlated with soil and agronomic properties like A horizon depth, and crop yields. Park et al., (2001) adapted the nine-unit landsurface model of Conacher and Dalrymple (1977) for use in digital soil mapping. They classified landforms using a terrain characterization index based on surface curvature and upslope contributing area. Thickness of A horizons and loess layers showed strong correlations to their terrain characterization index and resulting
landform element classes for an 88 ha study site in southeastern Wisconsin. Schimdt and Hewitt (2004) used semantic import models and fuzzy classifiers to map landform elements in New Zealand. Their resulting landform elements showed correlation with rooting depth. Ziadat (2005) analyzed the correlations between continuous terrain attributes and soil properties of a 148 km² study site in northern Jordan. Ziadat found low correlations between soil properties and terrain attributes and was unable to predict soil properties based on terrain attributes. Hansen et al. (2009) inductively mapped landform elements using spectral and topographic data from seasonal wetlands in Uganda. Four landform elements were used in their classification, and results showed significant differences in soil texture, color, organic carbon content, base saturation, pH, effective cation exchange capacity and clay mineralogy amongst the landform elements. Digital landform classification was achieved in Saskatchewan by segmenting the landscape based on profile curvature, plan curvature and slope gradient (Pennock et al., 1987). Pennock et al. (1987) chose landform elements designed to correspond to Ruhe’s landform elements. In this study, thickness of A horizons and depth to calcium carbonate showed an overall increase in the sequence shoulder < backslope < level < footslope elements.

Discrete classes of landforms provide several advantages over continuous terrain attributes. Schmidt and Hewitt (2004) concluded soil-landscape models with discrete classes were more useful than continuous terrain attributes because landform elements are easily understandable and more useful in a management sense. Pennock et al. showed that weak correlation existed between continuous terrain attributes and soil morphological variables, and suggested that landform elements are more useful than terrain attributes alone for the prediction of soil properties. These conclusions suggest discrete classes of landform elements provide better predictions of soil properties, are more transferable and have greater value to land
managers than continuous terrain attributes. However, terrain attributes have generally received more attention when characterizing soil properties (Moore et al., 1993; Gessler et al., 2000; Ziadat, 2005).

Soil and landform mapping in regions characterized by steep topography have been generally deductive and theoretical in nature, relying heavily on expert knowledge (Rodgers, 2000; Bolongaro-Crevenna et al., 2005; Briggs et al., 2006; van Asselen and Seijmonsbergen, 2006; MacMillan et al., 2007). Bolongaro-Crevenna et al. (2005) classified landforms into the six morphometric classes proposed by Wood (1996) using double ternary diagrams for the 4,960 km² Morelos States, Mexico. In Western Austria, van Asselen and Seijmonsbergen (2006) used expert knowledge and object-oriented classification to map landforms in a mountainous, forested ecosystem. Ecological site types were mapped in British Columbia using automated feature extraction and rule-based conceptual models of ecological-landform relationships (MacMillan et al., 2007). MacMillan et al. mapping results showed improvements in accuracy and decreased costs per hectare when compared to traditional manual methods. Rodgers (2000) and Briggs (2004) used expert knowledge and rule-based classifications to map soils in the Pacific Northwest.

A limitation of deductive theoretical methods is that expert knowledge of an area is required to accurately map soils and/or landforms (Rodgers, 2000; Bolongaro-Crevenna et al., 2005; Briggs et al., 2006; van Asselen and Seijmonsbergen, 2006; MacMillan et al., 2007). Different surveyors may disagree upon the optimum classification techniques and map unit delineations (Hudson, 1992). Reliance on a surveyor’s expert knowledge causes difficulties when extrapolating classifications to new areas that extend beyond an original knowledge base. Also, deductive methods are frequently termed semi-automated, which seriously limits their
transferability by requiring expert knowledge to calibrate classifications (van Asselen and Seijmonsbergen, 2006; MacMillan et al., 2007). Alternatively, inductive empirical approaches provide rules for classification by seeking patterns within the data directly. Empirical methods can be used to generate expert knowledge. This is especially valuable in steep wilderness areas where knowledge of soil-landform relationships are limited.

To date, soil mapping efforts in the United States have not focused on mountainous wilderness areas. Over a century a work by the National Cooperative Soil Survey has yet to provide soil data for all of the conterminous United States (NRCS, 2009). Of the approximately 8,080,400 km$^2$ in the lower 48 States, 649,000 km$^2$ (8.03 %) lack soil data. The US Forest Service and National Park Service (NPS) manage an approximate total of 342,000 km$^2$ of these unmapped areas. This amounts to 53.78 % of the unmapped areas and 4.24 % of the lower 48 States, with the majority of these areas occurring in mountainous regions of the Western United States. These statistics are even more dramatic if the numerous wildernesses of Alaska are included. Remote and inaccessible regions require less management actions and hence, are frequently ignored from traditional soil surveys. Research from these areas fills in gaps where soils data are lacking, providing information for scientifically based management of public resources and increased knowledge of soil-landform relationships in remote, mountainous areas.

I used inductive empirical methods for digital landform mapping to further develop a DSM tool for use in remote mountainous regions. Briggs (2004) mapped soils using an expert landform map as a key input data layer. By automating landform classification, I improved the transferability of a DSM tool for use in humid mountainous landscapes. My study objectives were to: 1) use field observations to evaluate an existing expert landform map, 2) calibrate and validate inductive classification methods for mapping landforms in rugged mountainous terrain
with field observations, 3) compare inductive classifications with an expert landform map, 4) compare landforms and terrain attributes with soil characteristics and 5) evaluate the utility of automated landform recognition for digital soil mapping.

2.3 Methods

Research presented here was conducted in Thunder Creek watershed (TCW) of North Cascades National Park, Washington, USA (48°30’ North, 121° West. Dramatic vertical relief, alpine glaciers, rugged peaks and forested glacier-carved valleys characterize this wilderness region. Average annual precipitation is 1900 mm and average temperatures are 25.3°C in July and 11.7°C in December (WRCC, 2009).

National Park Service (NPS) geologists mapped landforms within TCW using traditional methods of aerial photo interpretation and topographic maps validated through field observations (Riedel and Probala, 2005). Field observations of landform classes produced 25 landform classes that were grouped into five general categories for this study: uplands, backslopes, mass movements, footslope and toeslopes (Table 5).

Field sampling was conducted during 2002, 2003, 2007, and 2008. Areas of maximum variability in landform type and land cover were selected for clustered sampling. To accomplish this, the NPS expert map and a vegetation map derived from satellite data (Meirik, 2008) were overlain and zones of maximum variance were determined by passing a moving window over them. A circular window with a radius of 150 m was selected to match the size of the sample cluster. Cluster centers were located by stratifying the potential sample locations by their
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Landform Element</th>
<th>NPS Landform Units*</th>
<th>Location / Description</th>
<th>Field Morphology Processes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Uplands</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arete, Cirque, Horn</td>
<td>Usually above 2,000 m elevation (± 500 m), Seasonally or permanently snow covered, Alpine and subalpine biota</td>
<td>Highest Relative Elevations, Largest Distance to Streams</td>
<td>Alpine and Continental glacial erosion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Ice Age Moraine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower Mountain, Pass</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ridge</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Backslopes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bedrock Bench, Valley Wall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occurs at the base of a mountain slope and above valley floors, Evidence of erosion events, Subalpine, montane, and lowland biota</td>
<td>Steep slopes (&gt;25°), Long slope length (100 m), Steep slope length (100 m), Low relative curvature, Detachment, transportation and deposition of rocks, Degradation of slopes, Colluvial deposition of sediments and soils, Accumulation of debris, Erosion of Continental Glaciation, Allochthonous, In situ deposits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Footslopes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colluvial Deposit, Debris Cone, Alluvial Teloeder</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occurs at the base of a mountain slope and above valley floors, Subalpine, montane, and lowland biota</td>
<td>Steep slopes (&gt;25°), Low relative curvature, Slope gradient between 25° and 5°</td>
<td>Colluvial accumulation at the base of a mountain slope, Alluvial materials deposited in a concave depression, Erosion of valley sides and above elevations, Confluence of stream systems</td>
<td>Alpine and Continental glacial erosion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Toeslopes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alluvial Fan, Fan Terrace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occurs in valley bottoms, Often poorly drained, Subalpine, montane, lowland, and wetland biota</td>
<td>Distance to streams, Lesser Relief, Higher Relief, Elevation, Lesser Elevation, Elevation, Lesser Relief, Distance to streams, Lesser Relief, Higher Relief, Elevation, Lesser Elevation, Elevation, Lesser Relief, Distance to streams</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
landscape position. This was needed to prevent the majority of the cluster locations from falling in valley bottoms, which generally have the largest variance in landform and land cover due to a combination of alluvial and colluvial landforms. Originally, 100 cluster locations were chosen using a spatial inhibition distance of 500 m between cluster centers. Many more sample locations were selected than were needed; however, this allowed for flexibility to select sample locations based on accessibility and safety. In total 25 clusters were sampled during 2007 and 2008. At each cluster, I collected landform observations from a central point and three points along three separate transects (ten points per cluster). Along any given transect, points were spaced at approximately 15, 45, and 150 m from the cluster center. Clusters were randomly placed with one transect facing either north, south, east or west. Cluster centers were located in the field with GPS. In total, I collected 429 site descriptions of landform class. Landform classes were determined in the field according to their location within the landscape, morphological characteristics and geomorphic processes.

Digital data layers were assembled into a GIS and a digital database was constructed containing field observations. I acquired a 10 m spatial resolution digital elevation model (DEM) from the United States Geologic Survey. Terrain attributes were calculated from the DEM using spatial analysis tools within the ArcGIS software (ESRI, 2008). Predictor variables included slope gradient (Burrough and Mc Donell, 1988), aspect of slope (Burrough and Mc Donell, 1988), elevation, profile curvature (Moore et al., 1991; Zeverbergen and Thorne, 1987), plan curvature (Moore et al., 1991; Zeverbergen and Thorne, 1987), wetness index (Tarboten et al., 1991; Greenlee, 1987; Jenson and Domingue, 1988), horizontal and vertical distance to the nearest stream (MacMillan et al., 2000), and stream order (Strahler, 1952) of the nearest stream.
I employed two methods of inductive classification to identify landforms based on DEM-derived terrain attributes: (1) binary decision trees (BDT) and (2) random forest machine learning (RF). These modeling approaches were implemented using the ‘rpart’ and ‘randomForest’ packages, respectively, in the R Project for Statistical Computing (R development core team, 2008). Binary decision trees provide logical rules of classification by seeking patterns within the data (Brieman et al., 1984). The BDT splits the data into landform classes at specific values of a given terrain attribute. Decision trees identify important relationships in the data and capture clusters of observations with similar landform types and similar terrain attributes. Random forest is a form of machine learning in which classification trees are iteratively fit to random sub-samples of the calibration data set (Brieman, 2001). Votes are tallied from a large number of iterations (hundreds to thousands) to determine the predicted class.

Using 409 field observations within the watershed boundaries, I compared the NPS expert map to field observations. To accomplish objective 1, confusion matrices and the kappa statistic were used to evaluate the existing NPS expert map. Field observations of landform element were randomly split into calibration (n = 286) and validation (n = 143) data sets. To ensure validation independence, all observations in a cluster were assigned as a whole to either calibration or validation data sets. Confusion matrices and the kappa statistic were used to evaluate the correlation between model predictions and field observations of landform elements, thus achieving objective 2. Terrain attributes were calculated at every point in the watershed and the resulting statistical models were then applied to map the study area. These maps were evaluated by comparing them with the NPS expert map, digital photographs and three-dimensional digital representations, realizing objective 3.
I selected 16 soil variables (categorical and continuous) and compared these with terrain attributes and landform elements, achieving objective 4. Categorical soil variables included taxonomic classification, soil occurrence, E-Bs horizon sequence presence/absence, volcanic tephra occurrence and the presence or absence of redoxomorphic features. Categorical variables were graphically compared with field observations of landform elements to discern soil-landform relationships, partially achieving objective 5. Continuous soil variables included solum thickness, organic horizon thickness, topsoil thickness, mineral surface percent total carbon, mineral surface percent total nitrogen, mineral surface color (hue/value/chroma) and subsoil color (hue/value/chroma). Continuous soil variables were modeled with generalized least squares (GLS) regression. These analyses included checking and correcting for violations of general regression assumptions, including normality, constant error variance and spatial autocorrelation. In GLS, multiple models can be fit simultaneously. This allows us to model a trend surface, a spatial correlation structure and a variance structure, as needed. Analysis of variance was used to test the marginal significance of model components. For regression modeling, observations were split into calibration and validation data sets using a 2/3 to 1/3 split. To ensure validation independence, all observations in a cluster were assigned as a whole to either calibration or validation data sets. GLS models were used to predict soil properties and were compared with observed landform elements, completing objective 5. The ratios of standard deviations of the validation data sets to the standard errors of prediction for the resulting models are useful in evaluating GLS models. If this ratio has a value larger than 1, this indicates a given model has reduced the error in estimates of soil properties. If the ratio is smaller than 1, it indicates that these models do not provide accurate predictions for targeted soil variables.
2.4 Results

Field observations were highly correlated with landform elements from the expert map (Table 6). The kappa statistic equaled 0.59 and the overall accuracy was 70%. I found the largest source of disagreement with footslope elements. The expert map overestimated footslope occurrence and hence, observed toeslope elements were erroneously mapped as footslopes.

Table 6. Comparison of field observations to an existing US National Park Service landform map (u=upland, bs=backslope, mm=mass movements, fs=footslope, ts=toeslope).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predicted</th>
<th>u</th>
<th>bs</th>
<th>mm</th>
<th>fs</th>
<th>ts</th>
<th>row tot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>u</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mm</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ts</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>col. tot.</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>409</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The BDT analysis created fifteen partitioning rules and a tree with sixteen terminal nodes (Figure 32). Slope, elevation and vertical distance to streams were the most important predicting variables, as they split the data at the first five nodes and at 12 of 15 nodes. A terminal node consisting largely of upland landform elements was separated from the data by three classifiers; slope $\geq 7.949^\circ$, a vertical distance to streams $\geq 84.5$ m and elevation $> 2098$ m. These rules produced a terminal node with 8 total observations consisting of 5 upland elements out of 10 total uplands elements that were observed. For this example, classification rules were relatively simple and intuitive: uplands are relatively steep, not in close proximity to streams, and are located at high elevations. The BDT classification rules provided a framework for looking at important patterns and relationships within the data. This is an important advantage of using inductive classification methods.
The BDT validation results are presented in Table 7. Kappa was 0.37 and overall accuracy was 53%. I found the greatest confusion between toeslopes and mass movements. The user’s accuracy (error of commission) shows correct predictions of only 5.6 % of the mass movements that were observed.

Table 7. Results of the recursive partitioning and regression tree analysis (u=upland, bs=backslope, mm=mass movements, fs=footslope, ts=toeslope).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predicted</th>
<th>u</th>
<th>bs</th>
<th>mm</th>
<th>fs</th>
<th>ts</th>
<th>row tot.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Observed</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mm</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ts</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>col. tot.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The random forest method yielded improvements over the binary decision-tree model. When comparing the predicted landform class from the random forest model to the validation data, the kappa statistic was 0.45 and the overall accuracy was 59% (Table 8). As with BDTs, I found poor results with mass movement prediction. The user’s accuracy (error of commission) shows correct prediction of only 11% of the mass movements that were observed. Mass movements were misclassified mainly as either footslopes or toeslopes, but the mass movement elements showed improvement over the BDT analysis. Upland elements showed improvement over the BDT analysis as well.

Table 8. Results of the random forest analysis (u=upland, bs=backslope, mm=mass movements, fs=footslope, ts=toeslope).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>u</th>
<th>bs</th>
<th>mm</th>
<th>fs</th>
<th>ts</th>
<th>row tot.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Observed</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mm</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fs</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ts</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

While the RF approach does not yield direct rules for classification, it is possible to extract the relative importance of predictors (Table 9). For backslope, footslope and mass movements elements, slope and vertical distance to streams were most relevant. For classifying toeslopes, slope and elevation were the most important predictors. For obvious reasons, elevation was the most important variable for predicting upland distribution.

Resulting BDT and RF classification methods were used to map the entire watershed and to compare the resulting maps to the expert landform map. Results of watershed mapping with BDT are compared with the NPS expert map in Figure 33. Results of watershed mapping with RF are compared with the NPS expert map in Figure 34. The digital maps correlate well with
Table 9. Variable importance as described in the random forest classification method (CTI = compound topographic index or wetness index, DTSh = horizontal distance to the nearest stream, DTSv = vertical distance to the nearest stream, SO = stream order of the nearest stream). Bold values indicate the most important predicting variable for each landform class.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>uplands</th>
<th>backslopes</th>
<th>mass movements</th>
<th>footslopes</th>
<th>toeslopes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elevation</td>
<td>6.38</td>
<td>2.05</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>2.49</td>
<td>2.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>2.62</td>
<td>4.41</td>
<td>2.85</td>
<td>3.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspect</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>3.08</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Profile C</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan C</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTI</td>
<td>3.73</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>2.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTSh</td>
<td>5.85</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTSv</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>3.24</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>2.73</td>
<td>2.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

the NPS expert map. As an example, the upland elements correlate well in the Eldorado ice-cap vicinity (Figure 35c and 35d). This area is comprised of a high elevation, glacial plateau at the head of the Eldorado, Inspiration, Klawatti, North Klawatti, and Borealis glaciers (Figures 35a and 35b). One criticism of the BDT and RF classifications in this region is where glacial surfaces are broad and flat, uplands are frequently misclassified as footslope and/or toeslope elements.

Toeslope elements correlate well with automated mapping approaches (Figures 36c and 36d). This is evident when looking at the section of Thunder Creek south of the confluence of Fisher and Thunder Creeks (Figures 36a and 36b). Fisher Creek has deposited an alluvial fan, which dammed the flow of Thunder Creek, resulting in a large swamp (Tabor and Haugerud, 1999). Both digital mapping methods accurately identified this landform element.
Figure 33. Results of watershed mapping with binary decision trees (BDT) (uplands = yellow, backslopes = green, mass movements = red, footslopes = blue, toeslopes = purple).
Figure 34. Results of watershed mapping with random forest (RF) (uplands = yellow, backslopes = green, mass movements = red, footslopes = blue, toeslopes = purple).
Confusion matrices were produced to compare the expert and automated landform mapping approaches. When the BDT watershed mapping results were compared to the NPS-expert map (Table 10), kappa was 0.36 and overall accuracy was 58%. When the RF watershed mapping results are compared with the NPS-expert map (Table 11), kappa was 0.40 and overall accuracy was 61%. The largest source of confusion is between mass movements and footslope elements. For both automated methods footslopes occurred more frequently than in the expert map. Footslope area more than doubled in the automated classifications. As a result of this,
uplands, backslope and mass movements are less frequently observed in automated classifications.

An area when predictions are poorly correlated with the expert map is in the Rock Cabin vicinity (upper left corner of Figure 36c). A large debris avalanche exists north of Fisher Creek (Tabor and Haugerud, 1999). Both automated methods poorly predict the occurrence of this
feature. Mass movements show a six-fold decrease when comparing the RF map with the expert map.

Table 10. Results of watershed mapping with binary decision trees (u=upland, bs=backslope, mm=mass movements, fs=footslope, ts=toeslope).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predicted</th>
<th>u</th>
<th>bs</th>
<th>mm</th>
<th>fs</th>
<th>ts</th>
<th>row tot.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>u</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>377</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bs</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>1,108</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1,457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mm</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fs</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>807</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ts</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>col. tot.</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>1,681</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>2,974</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11. Results of watershed mapping with random forest (u=upland, bs=backslope, mm=mass movements, fs=footslope, ts=toeslope).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predicted</th>
<th>u</th>
<th>bs</th>
<th>mm</th>
<th>fs</th>
<th>ts</th>
<th>row tot.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>u</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bs</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>1,133</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>1,476</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mm</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fs</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ts</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>col. tot.</td>
<td>654</td>
<td>1,681</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>2,974</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Results from analyses of soil-landform relationships show mixed results. From graphical interpretations of categorical soil variables, strong trends are evident with respect to profile development and landscape stability. Stable landscapes include backslopes and footslopes, while mass movements and toeslopes represent younger, more active landsurfaces. These trends are observed when comparing soil occurrence with observed landform elements (Figure 37). Stronger evidence of podsolization and tephra preservation are evident on stable landsurfaces, when
Figure 37. Soil occurrence and observed landform elements (u=upland, bs=backslope, mm=mass movements, fs=footslope, ts=toeslope).

Figure 38. Podsolization occurrence and observed landform elements (bs=backslope, mm=mass movements, fs=footslope, ts=toeslope).
Figure 39. Volcanic tephra occurrence and observed landform elements (bs=backslope, mm=mass movements, fs=footslope, ts=toeslope).

Figure 40. Occurrence of redoxomorphic features and observed landform elements (bs=backslope, mm=mass movements, fs=footslope, ts=toeslope).
compared to active landsurfaces (Figures 38 and 39). Redoxomorphic features are highly correlated with toeslope landform elements (Figure 40). Landscape stability trends are also reflected in the classification of these soils (Figure 41). Stable landsurfaces support a greater number of Spodosols and Andisols, while active landsurface are dominated by Entisols, Inceptisols and Histosols.

When comparing continuous soil variables with terrain attributes and landform elements, less correlation exists (Table 12). Generalized least squares regression models are highly significant yet provide poor predictions of soil properties. The ratios of standard deviations of the validation data sets to the standard errors of prediction for the resulting GLS models are near
1, indicating models are over-fit to calibration datasets and unable to offer predictive abilities for use in soil mapping.

2.5 Discussion

When comparing field observation with landform maps, accuracy statistics likely underestimated accuracy for the entire watershed, as the majority of my observations occurred in transitional zones between backslope, footslope and toeslope elements. This was intentional within my sampling design. A benefit to my sample design is by targeting areas of high variability, many combinations of landform and landcover can be observed within shorter distances. The problem this produces is that accuracy assessments are focused on landform unit boundaries.

The BDT classification rules provided a framework for looking at important patterns and relationships within the data. This is an important advantage of using inductive classification methods. The resulting decision tree contains rules for the classification of other steep mountainous landscapes in the Pacific Northwest. I believe these rules would provide adequate landform classification for the completion of landform mapping in the North Cascades National Park. These landform elements do not provide the level of detail as expert maps but provide information for use in soil mapping.

Mass movements were poorly mapped in both automated landform classifications. Mass movements originate in backslope elements and deposit materials in footslope and toeslope positions. The overlapping spatial extent of mass movements with other landform elements does not provide a unique morphometric signature for use in identification. This emphasizes the inability of these methods to accurately map mass movements in this landscape. These errors illustrate the important differences between forms and processes. Mass movements are unique
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GLS model</th>
<th>Target Variable</th>
<th>Transformation</th>
<th>Important Predictors</th>
<th>Standard Deviation of Target Variable</th>
<th>R2</th>
<th>Residual Standard Error</th>
<th>Standard Error of Prediction</th>
<th>RPD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mineral Surface Carbon (%)</td>
<td>LN(1+C)</td>
<td>PRC, N, SO, DTSV, SL, LFE</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mineral Surface Nitrogen (%)</td>
<td>LN(1+N)^0.5</td>
<td>SO, EL, LFE</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Solum Thickness (cm)</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>PLC, DTSV, WI, SL</td>
<td>21.19</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>22.44</td>
<td>23.80</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Organic Horizon Thickness (cm)</td>
<td>LN(1+thickness)</td>
<td>LFE, EL, SL, N</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Topsoil Thickness (cm)</td>
<td>LN(1+thickness)</td>
<td>EL, SL, E, PRC</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Surface Color - hue</td>
<td>LN(hue)</td>
<td>SO, DTSV, WI, SL</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Surface Color - value</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>DTSH, SL, A, EL</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Surface Color - chroma</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>A, EL, DTSH, PLC, WI, DTSV, PRC</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subsurface Color - hue</td>
<td>LN(hue)</td>
<td>LFE, DTSV, WI, SL</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subsurface Color - value</td>
<td>LN(1+thickness)</td>
<td>EL, SL, E, PRC</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Subsurface Color - chroma</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>LFE, PLC, WI, SL, SO, DTSV, WI</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 12: Results of regression analyses using landform elements and terrain attributes to model continuous soil variables (LFE = landform element, SL = slope, A = aspect, EL = elevation, PRC = profile curvature, PLC = plan curvature, WI = wetness index, DTSH = horizontal distance to streams, DTSV = vertical distance to streams, SO = stream order of the nearest stream).
from the other landform elements in this work because they are describing a process and not surficial morphology alone. Automated methods rely on form to infer process. Poor prediction of mass movements illustrates how tacit assumptions between form and process are very important when automatically mapping landforms from remotely sensed data. The nine selected terrain attributes failed to accurately identify morphometric characteristics to differentiate mass movements from other landform elements. The process of mass wasting is not readily identified by form alone, in this work. This problem may be overcome by using more terrain attributes but remains a limitation.

Relative position indices like horizontal and vertical distance to streams provided more information than measures of curvature or wetness. Overall, slope was the most important terrain attribute for this modeling exercise. By adjusting the size of the area used to calculate curvature, these terrain attributes may become more useful. A study designed to optimize terrain attributes for use in soil and landform mapping is necessary.

Although soil property maps are easily created with GIS software they have little relevance to the spatial distributions of soil characteristics. I hypothesize two potential issues that render advanced statistical inferences inappropriate. First, the geographic distribution of soil properties (carbon content, solum thickness, soil color) is highly variable across the landscape, and secondly, the scale of spatial variability is not described by 4th order soil surveys, nor is it intended to.

A question I must address in digital mapping and modeling is the issue of scale. Scale controls the size of maps but also the size and resolution of geospatial data, computation processing speeds, and level of detail for describing soils. Soil surveys produced for this region frequently rely on complexes, consociations, and associations when characterizing soils (Rogers,
These complex soil map units are needed to describe the spatial variability in the distribution of soil properties and classes. When seeking statistical relationships for comparison of soils and topography, one adjusts the analyses according to scale; similarly to the way one adapts soil mapping units to site specific mapping needs. At the level of detail used in this research, graphical representations of broader soil landscape trends are more useful than regression analyses of continuous soil variables. Soil physical and chemical properties are not accurately predicted within the scale of these models. Denser sampling and higher resolution spatial data may achieve this.

**2.6 Conclusions**

For this study, automated landform mapping in humid, mountainous terrain approached the accuracy of an expert, National Park Service landform map. Field observations of landforms correlated well with the expert landform map (kappa = 0.59 and overall accuracy = 70%). Comparing the two automated methods, the random forest classification (kappa = 0.45 and overall accuracy = 59%) performed better than the binary decision tree method (kappa = 0.37 and overall accuracy = 53%). Observations were focused on zones of transition between landform elements; therefore I likely underestimated the accuracy of these methods for classifying the whole watershed. Watershed mapping with automated methods shows good correlation with expert maps, however mass movements were poorly correlated. This highlights a limitation of automated mapping with remotely sensed data. Morphometrics cannot directly describe processes that produce landforms, and are limited solely to describing the form of the land surface.

Overall, slope and vertical distance to streams were the most important predictor for both the BDT and RF classification models. Elevation was important for distinguishing upland areas.
Distances to stream (horizontal and vertical) were important predictors while measures of curvature and wetness were less useful. Optimization of the window size used for the calculation of terrain attributes may offer improved landform classifications and predictions of soil properties.

Soil-landform relationships are questions by these results. When mapping soils, an independent, explicit and quantitative test of a surveyor’s mental model in required to provide knowledge of data quality. This is frequently lacking (Hudson, 1992). Digital soil mapping increases the opportunities for assessing the accuracy of soil mapping tenants. If terrain attributes and landform elements are not correlated with profile characteristics, one must look elsewhere for tools to characterize soil properties (Jenny, 1941). Soil profile characteristics show stronger correlations when compared with vegetation and biological characteristics in soils of the North Cascades (Meirik, 2008).

Future work should apply digital landform maps in combination with remotely sensed maps of land cover to digitally map soils in the watershed. Should higher resolution DEM data become available, it would be interesting to examine the effects of DEM spatial resolution and error on landform classification and soil mapping.
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APPENDIX A

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE LANDFORM UNIT DESCRIPTIONS
A. High Elevation Units:
Landtype Association: Scoured glacial slopes, glacial cirques, rounded ridges
Vegetation Zones: Alpine (lichens and mosses), subalpine (Subalpine fir, White bark pine, Larch, meadow), and montane (Mountain hemlock, Silver fir, Yellow cedar)

1) CR = Crater (Volcanic). A depression usually ringed with a definitive rim on the very top or on the flanks of a volcanic cone
   - Location: The summit (highest elevation) or near the summit of a volcanic peak.
   - Associated Landforms/ Features: Volcanic dome
   - Process: Explosion or collapse associated with volcanism. Glacial erosion is likely but not from the Cordilleran Ice Sheet.
   - Surficial Material: Bedrock, ash, pyroclastics.
   - Mapping Guidelines: Mapped from a quad, the polygon is circular in shape and includes enclosed contour lines.
   - Potential Vegetation: Void of vegetation

2) H = Horn. A high, sharp-pointed, steep sided, pyramidal mountain peak (massive rock tower) formed by glacial erosion. Non-volcanic summit.
   - Location: Highest elevations of the watershed (>~6,000ft), near valley heads.
   - Associated Landforms/ Features: Rock tower, couloirs, flying buttress, cliff, arête.
   - Surficial Material: Bedrock.
   - Mapping Guidelines: Includes primary summit and small portions of the flanks (where arêtes or ridges typically begin). Boundary is marked by break in slope, end of closed contour lines, or glacier. Horns are ringed by cirques on three or more sides.
   - Potential Vegetation: Alpine.
3) **A = Arête.** A narrow knife-like ridge without a flat top separating cirques.
   - **Location:** High elevations (≥~5,400), near valley heads, and along the top of watershed divides.
   - **Associated Landforms/Features:** Cliffs, rock towers, horns, cirques, and couloirs
   - **Process:** Alpine glacial erosion. Not overridden by Cordilleran Ice Sheet.
   - **Surficial Material:** Bedrock.
   - **Mapping Guidelines:** Typically mapped as a long narrow polygon along a watershed divide and attached to horns, broken by passes, and adjacent to cirques. Polygon includes all towers and cliffs and is bounded by the break in slope. In special cases, arête may extend down to glacier, talus, or cirque floor (unglaciated) surface.
   - **Potential Vegetation:** Alpine.

4) **R = Ridge.** A long, narrow summit of land with rounded form and steep sides and divides two valleys.
   - **Location:** Lower parts of watershed divides (~2,500-6,800 ft) and between large tributaries. Occur lower in elevation than arêtes on the same divide. Can occur above arêtes if there is a high ice field draining multiple directions.
   - **Associated Landforms/Features:** Flat benches, depressions, small ponds, valley spurs, pattern ground, and sackung.
   - **Process:** Overridden and modified by Cordilleran Ice Sheet and in some cases alpine glaciers via ice sheds.
   - **Surficial Material:** Bedrock, till, volcanic ash.
   - **Mapping Guidelines:** Must be at least ½ km long, border by an arête, horn, or pass, and must separate major creeks (3rd order streams = not to be confused with smaller valley spurs). Polygon is narrow and drawn to include only the ridge top; ridge side slopes are part of valley wall polygon. Broken by mountain passes.
   - **Potential Vegetation:** Typically montane and subalpine.

5) **O = Other Mountain.** A rounded lower summit.
   - **Location:** Along mid and lower elevations of watershed divides (~7,000-5,000). Located between adjacent ridges or valley walls.
   - **Associated Landforms/Features:** Ridge, bedrock bench.
   - **Process:** Glacial erosion. Modified by the Cordilleran Ice Sheet.
• **Surficial Material:** Bedrock, till.
• **Mapping Guidelines:** Polygon includes relatively flat-topped summit to the break in slope (shown by contour line spacing). At least 2-3 closed contours are present.
• **Potential Vegetation:** Typically montane, can be alpine.

6) **P = Pass.** A broad low point on a ridge, generally flat on the bottom, that separates high mountain divides.
• **Location:** Along major watershed divides (~5,000-6,800ft) that separates 3rd and higher order streams. Usually found at valley heads.
• **Associated Landforms/ Features:** Arête, ridge, bedrock bench, col, saddle
• **Process:** Glacial erosion. Modified by the Cordilleran Ice Sheet that flowed across the surface low point from one valley head into another valley.
• **Surficial Material:** Bedrock, till, volcanic ash.
• **Mapping Guidelines:** Polygon includes only flat bench portion of pass and not adjacent ridges or arêtes. Must be bordered by a ridge or arête polygon on either side. Special cases can occur where there is no defined ridge/arête linking the pass, but pass is clearly on a divide.
• **Potential Vegetation:** Alpine, subalpine
7) **C = Cirque.** Semi-circular to elongated basin carved into a headwall of a glacial valley.

- **Location:** High elevation divides (toe of cirque ~>3,800) near valley heads. Bordered by horns and arêtes at the top of this unit and valley wall at the bottom of unit.
- **Associated Landforms/Features:** Talus slope, rock fall, cliff, couloirs, cirque floor, cirque-headwall, little ice age moraine, kame terrace, tarn, delta, outwash terrace
- **Process:** Alpine glaciation.
- **Surficial Material:** Bedrock, talus, till.
- **Mapping Guidelines:** Polygon is bordered by rock confining ridges, horns and arêtes and includes headwall cliffs and flat floor. Lower boundary is the break in slope at lip of floor, or in absence of floor feature, lower limit of lateral confining ridges. Lowest elevation contour boundary of a cirque is usually consistent along a particular aspect for a given mountain, which can be used as a guide in poorly defined cirques. North facing cirques are generally lower in elevation than south facing cirques on the same mountain.
- **Potential Vegetation:** Amount and type of vegetation differs from top to toe of single unit. Higher elevations include alpine; lower elevations include subalpine and montane.

8) **PG= Pattern Ground. (aka fell field)** Active and relict stone ground cover in the pattern of stripes, polygons, and circles.

- **Location:** Found in alpine zones where freeze thaw processes occur.
- **Associated Landforms/Features:** Cirque, ridge, arête, pass
- **Process:** Freeze/thaw action sorting of ground cover. Stripes also created by gravitational movement down slope of rock
- **Surficial Material:** Colluvium, soil
- **Mapping Guidelines:** Usually mapped from air photos. Stone stripes usually occur on slopes where the circular or polygonal pattern becomes elongated. The stripes generally run up and down the slope, as opposed to across the slope. Small stripes can resemble the pattern formed by a garden rake.
- **Potential Vegetation:** Alpine and open subalpine meadows.
9) LIM = Little Ice Age moraines. Ridge of till deposited by glacier movement during the Little Ice Age to present.
   • Location: Above tree line and in high elevation cirques.
   • Associated Landforms/Features: End, lateral, etc. moraines are all included in unit
   • Process: Glacial
   • Age: 100-700 years old
   • Surficial Material: Till
   • Mapping Guidelines: Primarily mapped from air photos. They are linear and sharp crested with a fresh appearance (rocky) to sparsely tree-covered. LIM are typically small, but all LIMs are mapped regardless of size. LIMs within MORA are typically larger and are greater in number than at NOCA. It is not unusual for remnants of recessional (closely spaced) moraines to be present.
   • Potential Vegetation: Alpine, subalpine. Type and amount depends on age.

10) CL = Cleaver. Narrow, linear, and sharp crested ridge of volcanic bedrock exposed above surrounding glaciers.
   • Location: Only found at MORA. At high elevations (above treeline), usually within the volcanic cone polygon, and usually separates large glaciers.
   • Associated Landforms/Features: Lateral moraine, glacier, volcano
   • Process: Glacial
   • Age:
   • Surficial Material: Bedrock, till
   • Mapping Guidelines: Primarily mapped from air photos and 7.5min quads. Most are already labeled by the USGS. If LIM covers a portion of the cleaver, usually lower boundary, LIM polygon overwrites cleaver polygon.
   • Potential Vegetation: Void of vegetation.
11) **PK = Parkland** An area of gentle terrain surrounded by distinctly steeper terrain.

- **Location:** Only found at MORA. At high elevations, usually near the lower edge of the volcanic cone polygon. Also found on or near discontinuous ridge tops, valley wall benches, and in old small cirques.
- **Associated Landforms/Features:** LIM, ponds, standing water, small mounds of till (void of moraine crests), very small bedrock benches (too small to break out into a BB polygons).
- **Process:** Volcanic lava flow (plateau) initially, then glacial erosion.
- **Age:**
- **Surficial Material:** Bedrock, till
- **Mapping Guidelines:** Primarily mapped from air photos and 7.5min quads. Some are already labeled by the USGS. Area has well spaced sloping contours. The surface does not exceed 10% slope and the polygon area is 0.8km2 or larger.
- **Potential Vegetation:** Subalpine to Alpine. Subalpine vegetation greater than 5500 feet on southwest aspect.

12) **VC = Volcanic Cone** Area high on volcanic peak that ends down slope at break in slope.

- **Location:** Only found at MORA. Area immediately below volcanic crater polygon and above valley wall. Ranges in elevation from ~14,200 feet down to ~7,000 feet (exception to rule is area around Paradise ~5,600 feet) (still to be determined). Upland of valley walls and ridges.
- **Associated Landforms/Features:** Volcanic dome, glacier, cleaver, Little Ice Age moraine, crater
- **Process:** Volcanic, glacial erosion
- **Age:**
- **Surficial Material:** Bedrock, till, ice
- **Mapping Guidelines:** Primarily mapped from air photos and 7.5min quads. Lowest extent is mapped by the change in slope from relatively gentle to a steep valley wall below.
- **Potential Vegetation:** Void of Vegetation.

**B. Transitional Units—between valley walls and valley floors**

*Landtype Association:* Dissected mountain slopes, scoured glacial troughs/slopes, glaciated mountain slopes, meltwater canyon, structural controlled mountain slopes, landslide and fault escarpments, deep ancient/undifferentiated landslide, dissected/glaciated/scoured mountain slopes, structural controlled mountain slopes, meltwater canyon/coulees.

*Vegetation Zones:* Subalpine (Subalpine fir, White bark pine, Larch, meadow), montane (Mountain hemlock, Silver fir, Yellow cedar), lowland (Doug fir, western hemlock, western red cedar with lodgepole and ponderosa pine on the drier eastside).

13) **VW = Valley Wall.** Steep forested slope ranging from 20 to more than 60 degrees.

- **Location:** Mid-mountain slopes below cirques and ridges and above debris accumulation zone.
- **Associated Landforms/Features:** Ridge, snow avalanche chute, debris avalanche, rock fall, sackung, bedrock bench, ravine, gully, river canyon, cliff, truncated valley spur, perched delta & perched debris cone (Elwha drainage OLYM).
- **Process:** Continental and alpine glaciation.
- **Surficial Material:** Bedrock, till, colluvium.
• **Mapping Guidelines:** Upper polygon line limit determined by watershed divide, arête, or ridge. Lower elevation boundary is typically debris apron zone but can be connected to valley bottom. The Elwha drainage (OLYM) is the exception with the VW line swinging dramatically up and down in elevation, not following the same contour, due to Lake Elwha. Areas of bare bedrock may be visible in air photos.

• **Potential Vegetation:** Generally a range that spans from lowland to montane or subalpine.

14) **DA = Debris Apron.** Zone where debris accumulates at the base of a mountain slope.

• **Location:** Between the foot of the valley wall and the beginning of the valley bottom or floodplain.

• **Associated Landforms/Features:** Debris cone, debris torrent, mass movement, Pleistocene moraine, gully, bedrock bench.

• **Process:** Debris under gravitational force moving from higher gradient slopes to lower gradient slopes resting at the break in slope. Snow avalanching and fluvial processes rework debris.

• **Age:** < 15,000 years.

• **Surficial Material:** Colluvium, till, talus, volcanic ash.

• **Mapping Guidelines:** Delineation between valley wall, debris apron, and valley bottom/flood plain is mapped by break in slope from change in contour spacing on topographic maps. Usually runs parallel to trending valley, but can be interrupted by river canyons. Usually void of bare bedrock. Active or un-vegetated talus slopes are usually not recognized as DA and are mapped as rock falls (MM-F). Composition of DA; colluvium (loose, angular blocks), till (rounded faceted boulders), Undifferentiated talus (vegetated, inactive talus slopes) is noted on field maps when possible, identifications are DAc, DA1, and DAu, respectively.

• **Potential Vegetation:** Lowland.
15) **BB = Bedrock Bench.** Exposed flat or gently dipping bedrock created by glacial activity.
   - **Location:** Along valley walls, valley bottoms, along ridges and occasionally in or on the lip of cirques. They are also common where two glacial fed valleys meet usually on valley spurs in glaciated portions of valleys.
   - **Associated Landforms/Features:** Ridge, roches mountonnée, rock drumlin
   - **Process:** Converging glaciers scouring slopes and leaving bedrock exposed.
   - **Surficial Material:** Bedrock.
   - **Mapping Guidelines:** Only the flat (top) surface is mapped and will typically be represented on topographic maps by closed rounded contours or widely spaced contour lines.
   - **Potential Vegetation:** Subalpine and montane; vegetation cover and type varies depending on age and elevation.

16) **RC = River Canyon.** A steep gradient stream incised in bedrock creating a V-shaped valley.
   - **Location:** On valley walls where 1st and 2nd order streams are located or major river valley bottoms composed of bedrock.
   - **Associated Landforms/Features:** Gorge, bedrock, fault
   - **Process:** Fluvial erosion incising into bedrock. Valley gradient is too great to allow sediment deposition.
   - **Surficial Material:** Bedrock, boulders
• **Mapping Guidelines:** A RC has a minimum mapping length of ¼ mile. Contours spaced on maps are very close, sometimes overlapping, and usually have a distinct dip (crenulated) down stream. Air photos often show deep shadows in canyons, not to be confused with deep shadows from tall trees. The outline of RC follows contours away from stream only until bedrock stops and/or trees /vegetation begins. The upper limit is bounded by where near vertical walls give way to more gentle slopes (change in contour spacing). Contains no terraces or floodplain development (with the rare exception of canyons on major rivers).

• **Potential Vegetation:** Lowland. Usually void of vegetation with limited mosses and lichens.

17) **PM = Pleistocene Moraines.** Ridge composed of till that has been deposited by a glacier. PM are large linear features usually > 10 m tall with surfaces commonly having hummocky topography with scattered large sub-rounded boulders.

• **Location:** Usually located below tree line along valley walls and valley floor in the debris apron zone. PMs are sometimes preserved/found on the uphill side of major stream junctions.

• **Associated Landforms/ Features:** Hummocky, sharp crested (ridge descends form cirque), kame terrace, end, medial, and lateral moraine

• **Process:** Glacial advance and retreat (kame terraces glaciofluvial glaciolacustrine)

• **Age:** ~15,000- 10,000 years

• **Surficial Material:** Till

• **Mapping Guidelines:** Contour spacing and site visits distinguish PMs. Polygons are usually linear, parallel to valley. Contour spacing may be wider than the surrounding contours with a crenulated appearance. Polygons are also drawn around Kame terraces labeled as PM and are described in field notebooks.

• **Potential Vegetation:** Lowland vegetation.
18) MM-SG= Sackung. (aka fissures) “Sackungen” is a German term describing gravitational spreading or deep seated gravitational slope deformation at or near ridge tops in mountainous terrain.

- **Location:** On valley walls, below major ridges.
- **Associated Landforms/ Features:** Ridge, pool, fault.
- **Process:** Over steepened, under cut valley slopes create a gravitational spreading or deep seated gravitational slope deformation away from ridge top.
- **Surficial Material:** Colluvium, organic debris, bedrock.
- **Mapping Guidelines:** Sackungs are mapped with a line and can usually be mapped via a combination of spaced contour line characteristics and air photos. Linear features trending parallel to ridge tops have visible fissures with uphill-facing scarps and troughs. The most obvious sackungens have giant steps in the landscape or double ridges. Trees growing in troughs may be younger than trees on adjacent slope ridges. Possible dead fallen trees and/or broken bedrock in troughs. Down vegetation may show signs of movement (curved trunks) prior to slope release. Pools of water sometimes develop in trenches. Each sackung is given a number and corresponds to the landslide inventory.
- **Potential Vegetation:** Montane to Subalpine.
19) **MM-F = Rock Fall or Rock Topple.** Type of a landslide involving an accumulation of falling rock in a single or multiple events. Rock falls form an apron of boulders (talus). Topple deposits are marked by a string of boulders.

- **Location:** Found above floodplain or valley bottom units, generally on valley walls and in high cirques. Rock topples usually originate from towers, rock falls usually originate from cliffs.
- **Associated Landforms/Features:** Talus, landslide, cliff, tower, cirque, valley wall, bedrock bench
- **Process:** Detachment of rock falling from bedrock cliffs or rock towers above. Sporadic and shallow. Large rock fall deposits (talus) generally accumulate over long periods of time (vs. debris avalanches)
- **Surficial Material:** Talus, scree, boulders
- **Mapping Guidelines:** Rock topples can be small and difficult to locate, therefore rock falls and topples have been grouped together into one category. The small size of rock topples are difficult to see in air photos and are usually found at higher elevations where field checking may not be possible. If topples are found they are describe in field notebooks. Rock falls are easily viewed in air photos; they are very bright and highly reflective. Rock falls can be distinguished from debris apron because MM-F will have little or no vegetation and the slope is actively accumulating rock debris. If rock fall forms a cone due to water transport, rock fall is classified as a debris cone. A polygon is drawn only around the deposit. Each fall is given a number and corresponds to a landslide inventory.
- **Potential Vegetation:** Extends from subalpine to lowland vegetation. Generally void of vegetation except for mosses and lichens.

20) **MM-A = Debris Avalanche.** A large landslide that generally includes the failure of rock and debris.

- **Location:** Generally originates from glacially scoured over steepened valley walls and in many cases occur on hydrothermally altered bedrock.
- **Associated Landforms/Features:** Erosional scar, headwall.
- **Process:** Rapid movements, triggered by several factors including precipitation, soil properties, bedrock, slope, sub-colluvial relief, and earthquakes. (Orme, 1990).
- **Age:** 12,000-0 years
- **Surficial Material:** Soil, colluvium, vegetation all sizes of sediment from boulders to clay.
- **Mapping Guidelines:** Polygon includes headwall scar, path, and deposit. Depositional surface is usually composed of hummocky topography with large angular blocks. These are the largest of the mass movements and often block streams and create swamps up valley. Each debris avalanche is given a number and corresponds to a landslide inventory.
• **Potential Vegetation:** Extends from subalpine to lowland vegetation.

21) **MM-S = Slump and Creep.** Type of landslide involving rotational slide and/or failure of saturated ground material. Slump and creep landforms are lumped together into one category.

- **Location:** Slumps are found on over steepened slopes in the Debris Apron zone, along glacial moraines, and river cut banks. Creeps are located at high elevations in the subalpine where snow cover persists into the spring and in the Debris Apron on steep saturated slopes.

- **Associated Landforms/ Features:** Pleistocene moraines, cut banks, debris cones, springs, seeps.

- **Process:** Slumps occur by a rotational slip of cohesive sediments and are usually triggered by undercutting of steep slopes along river banks. Creeps are a slow movement induced by saturated ground.

- **Age:**

- **Characteristics:** Slumps are typically small and if found adjacent to the river, supply sediment and wood to streams.

- **Surficial Material:** Soil, colluvium, till.

- **Mapping Guidelines:** Slumps are difficult to distinguish on topographic maps. Air photos may show an area with “brighter” deciduous vegetation, compared to adjacent landforms, and fresh new soil indicating disturbance. Creeps are rare or at least are observed less and are noted in field book with associated description. Stripes or patterned ground at high elevation is evidence for freeze/thaw action classified as pattern ground and not creep. Slumps (when small, and next to stream) can be mapped as a small half circle, almost a dot. Jack-straw trees (straight trunks falling—in) may be present on slumps. Creeps may contain pistol gripped (curved trunks down slope) trees. Each MM-S is given a number and corresponds to the landslide inventory.

- **Potential Vegetation:** Typically lowland. Depends on the rate, age, and location of disturbance.
22) MM-DT = Debris Torrent. Channelized debris flow.
- **Location:** Mapped only within the deposit of a debris cone and often found at the base of river canyons, along fault zones, and hanging valleys.
- **Associated Landforms/Features:** Levee, channel, river canyon, boulders.
- **Process:** Rapid and shallow. Rapid and/or sudden stream flow entrains debris stored in stream channel while moving down slope. Debris is deposited onto gentler slopes below.
- **Surficial Material:** Colluvium (boulders, cobble, gravel), organic debris.
- **Mapping Guidelines:** The debris source should be examined but is not mapped. DT do not extend above or below DC boundary. May contain the active stream. Levees are usually present on either side of the channel and may have a lobe of debris at the toe. Each DT is given a number and corresponds to the landslide inventory.
- **Potential Vegetation:** Lowland. Usually void of vegetation.

23) PD = Perched Delta. A relict delta formed under past Lake Elwha hydrologic conditions.
- **Location:** High up within the debris apron zone or on the valley wall on larger tributary streams. Thus far, found only within the Elwha Drainage.
- **Associated Landforms/Features:** Terrace, large and broad
- **Process:** A gentle fan shaped deposit accumulating at the mouth of a stream where it met relatively still water in a lake. Active fan abandoned when Lake Elwha drained
- **Age:** <15,000 years.
- **Surficial Material:** Alluvium, sand, silt, clay
- **Mapping Guidelines:** Recognizable on topographic maps as large broad area. Polygon is drawn around entire feature with separation of terraces further broken out. Terrace levels are checked in the field and are recorded in altitude. Usually, initial height is taken from lower
most contour of feature (refer to field books for specifics), each step in terrace is than measured against first recorded height. Contours are usually shaped in a down trending lobe from near apex near stream to old lake surface.

- **Potential Vegetation:** Lowland, more specifically lodgepole pine

24) **PDC = Perched Debris Cone.** A relict debris cone. Debris that was once deposited in a conical shape with a surface slope greater than 10 degrees (perpendicular to contours). Under more recent hydrolic conditions current stream dissects old debris cone leaving PDC as inactive.

- **Location:** High up within the debris apron zone or on the valley wall on a small stream tributary. Thus far, found only within the Elwha Drainage.
- **Associated Landforms/ Features:** Debris torrent (at the mouth of 1st and 2nd order tributaries at the cone apex), scree slopes (at higher elevation zones) that exhibit a conical shape (AKA alluvial cone, talus cone), avalanche chute, avalanche track, levee, SAIL, seeps, perched debris cone terrace
- **Process:** Dissection occurring from major change in hydrolic structure on trunk river. Debris transported by small streams or snow avalanches from valley walls and deposited at the break in slope when a different hydrolic system was inplace (Lake Elwha). Debris was an accumulation of many episodes where the stream channel or avalanche path changed course back and forth creating a conical fan shape. The cone
- **Age:** <15,000 years.
- **Surficial Material:** Colluvium from bedrock upslope, till, scree, mass movement deposits including boulders.
- **Mapping Guidelines:** Surface is covered with boulders and levees and can often contain rock fall initiated from above. The toe of PDC can be truncated. Polygon is drawn around the conical, fan-shaped contour lines on topographic maps or from field observations with aid of altimeter. Polygon may be broken in two lobes with the current stream as the divider.
- **Potential Vegetation:** Lowland

25) **PDCT = Perched Debris Cone Terrace.** A flat area running parallel to the valley.

- **Location:** High up within the debris apron zone or on the valley wall on at the base of a perched debris cone. Thus far, found only within the Elwha Drainage.
- **Associated Landforms/ Features:** Debris torrent (at the mouth of 1st and 2nd order tributaries at the cone apex), avalanche chute, avalanche track, levee, SAIL, seeps, perched debris cone.
- **Process:** The deposit of a debris cone under different hydrolic conditions than present where past Lake Elwha surface level fluctuated.
- **Age:** <15,000 years.
- **Surficial Material:** Alluvium
- **Mapping Guidelines:** Features are found usually only by field investigation or with the aid of LIDAR. Polygon is drawn around the flat area. Terraces can be steeped on a single perched debris cone. (Talk about how to measure height if we want height) PDT are mapped on 3rd order or larger streams. The polygon is focused on the tributary valley vs. an elongated terraces running the Elwha valley.
- **Potential Vegetation:** Lowland
C. **Valley Floor:**

*Landtype Association:* Valley bottoms/ outwash, glacial valley bottoms, pyroclastic lahar flows, volcanic flows, lacustrine benches and deposits.

*Vegetation Zones:* Lowland (Doug fir, western hemlock, western red cedar; lodge pole and ponderosa pine on the drier eastside), wetland (sedges, rushes), riparian (Oregon ash, black cottonwood, willows, sedges alder).

26) **FP = Flood Plain.** The area built of sediments deposited during the present stream regimen and is inundated with water when the river overflows its banks at the 100-year flood stage (Jarrett, 1990).

- **Location:** Between terraces on the lowest elevations of the valley. In general, FP extends up to about 4000’ at NOCA whereupon VB usually starts.
- **Associated Landforms/ Features:** River channel, gravel bar, marsh, wetland, braided stream, terrace, side channel.
- **Process:** Frequent disturbance by floods and changes in river channel position.
- **Age:** < 100 years
- **Surficial Material:** Alluvium (sand, gravel).
- **Mapping Guidelines:** FP polygon includes the active river channel, gravel bars, marshes, wetlands, and narrow area adjacent to the channel. Mapping can occur at high or extremely low flow depending on the time of year and can be difficult to determine whether an area is a low terrace or a high FP. Usually, a clear distinction can be made between FP and terraces by vegetation type and the presence/absent of water and gravel bars. FP has river rock and/or sand on the surface or under top organic matter, whereas terraces have established soil and limited or no riparian vegetation. Distinction between FP and valley bottom units is recognized by the difference in channel restriction, river gradient, and absence/presence of terraces.

Tools used to determine FP boundary is the presence and location of woody debris. At high flood levels woody debris is carried down the river and is deposited on the banks at the water level surface. Clumps of debris found longitudinally (parallel to the river) may be found in the woods several feet above and away the current river position indicating the peak flow and possibly 100 year flood level. Flood evidence should be strong following the October 2003 floods.

- **Potential Vegetation:** Riparian, wetland.

27) **VB = Valley Bottom.** Lowest point of the valley on 3rd order streams or greater with no evidence of floodplain characteristics (Jarrett, 1990).
• **Location:** Within NOCA, valley bottom usually begins around 4000ft elevation with flood plain below (see floodplain). Within MORA, valley bottom is mapped at elevations above the furthest extent of Little Ice Age glaciers within the watershed. VB does not generally extend into high elevation cirque basins.

• **Associated Landforms/Features:** Active channel, cutbank, debris cone, debris apron, SAIL.

• **Process:** Areas at head of valleys where sediment supply generally exceed streams ability to remove. Thus minimal evidence for cut and fill (terraces). Occurs generally above rain on snow zone (i.e. lower peak flows, ~4,000’)

• **Age:** <100 years old

• **Surficial Material:** Alluvium (sand, gravel)

• **Mapping Guidelines:** Polygon is drawn to include the active river and adjacent, gently sloping boundary. Polygon is typically less than several hundred feet wide. Merges at lower elevation with flood plain and at the upper elevation with valley wall, cirque, and debris apron. Area contains no terraces or other ways to identify it as flood plain. May have a slightly higher stream gradient than flood plain. VB can be broken by areas of lower gradient where sediment is stored and terraces form.

• **Potential Vegetation:** Usually montane and subalpine with small areas of riparian.

---

**28) T = Terrace.** A relatively flat surface that grades gently downstream and represents the dissected remnants of a previous flood plain.

• **Location:** On the valley floor between the active river/flood plain and debris apron. Within NOCA, terraces generally have not been found at elevations above 4000ft.

• **Associated Landforms/Features:** Old side channel, flood plain, escarpment on stream side.

• **Process:** Erosional or depositional feature. River incising into floodplain leaving behind an older surface.

• **Age:** > 100 years old

• **Surficial Material:** Alluvium, sand and gravel below soil, glacial outwash.

• **Mapping Guidelines:** Terraces are represented by widely spaced contours adjacent to the active river. Surface gently slopes down valley, verses debris apron, which slopes toward the center of the valley. Remnants of abandoned side channels can cause terrace surface to be slightly uneven. Steep sides are represented by hatched lines on field maps. Terraces at high elevation are generally smaller. All terraces are field checked for height and composition.

Notes of composition, typically alluvium (younger), outwash (older), or lacustrine (clay) deposits are recorded in field notebooks when possible. Within MORA terraces are subdivided by the following guidelines; Terrace elevations are marked in feet above stream channel (i.e. T-5 or T-12). (see floodplain for more distinction) Within NOCA, terraces can further be described by age using the following guidelines; Terraces <5ft date to the
Holocene and are composed of alluvium, terraces >5ft date to the Pleistocene and are composed of outwash. (These guidelines have not been incorporated into the GIS coverage.)

OLYM
Due to Lake Elwha depositing terraces at high elevations it is not possible to take height measurement from the valley floor so terraces heights are broken out into two different classes. Terraces under 100’ from valley floor are given heights above valley floor. Terraces above 100’ from valley floor are given height measurements in altitude. Altitudes are taken from the map.

• **Potential Vegetation:** Higher elevation terraces have montane or subalpine vegetation; lower elevations have lowland vegetation. Young terraces have alder or younger conifers. Terraces with many depressions/old side channels may have wetland or riparian vegetation.

29) **DC = Debris Cone.** Debris deposited in a conical shape with a surface slope greater than 10 degrees (perpendicular to contours), usually transported by small streams or snow avalanches.

• **Location:** Within the debris apron zone and/or at the base of a small stream tributary
• **Associated Landforms/ Features:** Debris torrent (at the mouth of 1st and 2nd order tributaries at the cone apex), scree slopes (at higher elevation zones) that exhibit a conical shape (AKA alluvial cone, talus cone), avalanche chute, avalanche track, levee, SAIL.
• **Process:** Debris transported by small streams or snow avalanches from valley walls and deposited at the break in valley wall slope. Debris is an accumulation of many episodes where the stream channel or avalanche path changes course back and forth creating a conical fan shape.
• **Age:** <15,000 years.
• **Surficial Material:** Colluvium from bedrock upslope, till, scree, mass movements deposits including boulders.
• **Mapping Guidelines:** Surface is covered with boulders and levees and can often contain rock fall initiated from above. The toe of DC can be truncated from current or past hydrologic erosional conditions from trunk river. Polygon is drawn around the conical, fan-shaped contour lines on topographic maps verses the straight parallel lines of the debris apron. Usually visible in aerial photographs by bright green vegetation (indicating disturbed surface). Steep escarpments that occur on upstream side of cone are marked with hatched lines and are shown on field maps.
• **Potential Vegetation:** Lowland, riparian vegetation; type depends on age. Young cones have hardwoods, more specifically, sitka alder, devils club, willow, mountain ash, and vine maple.
30) **AF = Alluvial Fan.** Fluvial deposits in the shape of a low broad cone (surfaces are less than 5 degrees) where to rivers meet.
- **Location:** Found on the lowest elevations of the watershed, bordered by the floodplain and debris apron zones where two large order (2nd, 3rd, or 4th) tributaries join.
- **Associated Landforms/ Features:** Fan apron, fan collar, fan terrace, braided channel. Similar to debris cone but AF are typically larger, have a lower angle surface slope, and support more mature vegetation.
- **Process:** Tributary stream deposits and reworks sediment into a fan shape where it meets the main river valley.
- **Age:** <~200 years
- **Surficial Material:** Alluvium, sand, gravel
- **Mapping Guidelines:** Mapped using gently spaced, downward trending, fan shaped contours on topographic maps. Polygon includes deposit from the broad toe to the narrow apex. Only recently active fan is mapped; older parts are fan terraces. Contain many old channels with rounded rocks that are better sorted than on DC surfaces. Steep escarpments that occur on upstream side of cone are marked with hatched lines on field maps.
- **Potential Vegetation:** Lowland, riparian. Ranges from dense old growth to open vegetation along streams.

31) **FT = Alluvial Fan Terrace.** Remnants of an alluvial fan that was built under different climatic/hydrologic conditions than present.
- **Location:** Upstream side of alluvial fans near or next to debris apron zones.
- **Associated Landforms/ Features:** Alluvial fan, terrace, fan remnant
• **Process:** Trunk stream incises tributary alluvial fan, leaving behind an older alluvial fan surface.

• **Age:** Between 15,000 and 5,000 years.

• **Surficial Material:** Alluvium dominates with sand, gravel, and volcanic ash.

• **Mapping Guidelines:** Terrace height is recorded on maps in feet above the alluvial fan. Can be mapped as several polygons with several heights. Cut banks and flat surfaces grade to trunk system.

• **Potential Vegetation:** Lowland.

32) MM-SL=Snow Avalanche Impact Landforms (SAIL). Elliptical depressions and ridge-like deposits created by a snow avalanche impact with unconsolidated sediments on valley floors.

• **Location:** Usually found at valley heads within or at the base of the debris apron zone, floodplain, or valley bottom.

• **Associated Landforms/ Features:** Can be associated with an elevated crescent mound of debris near the toe of an avalanche deposit. Avalanche chute, avalanche tract, steep slopes.

• **Process:** Created by an impact of snow and debris hitting saturated and unconsolidated surface. Formed by a combination of topography of the above avalanche track, availability of unconsolidated debris in the impact area, and has an avalanche impact pressures exceeding 1MPa (Johnson, 2003).

• **Surficial Material:** Colluvium, organic debris, till, outwash, lacustrine.

• **Mapping Guidelines:** Polygon is drawn around the depression and crescent ridge. Each SAIL is given a number and corresponds to the landslide inventory.

• **Potential Vegetation:** Subalpine forests and Subalpine open meadows.

33) L=Lahar. Remnants of a large flow, (usually of great speeds with a large volume; possibly a few hundred meters wide and tens of meters deep) which solidified on the flank of a volcano.
• **Location:** Landforms mapped only at MORA (with this mapping effort) on the flanks of Mount Rainier, usually within the debris apron zone and valley floor.

• **Associated Landforms/ Features:** Low ridge, mudflow, mass movement, volcano

• **Process:** Triggered in several ways: water saturated debris, heavy rainfall onto glacial or volcanic deposits, sudden melting of snow or ice associated with volcanism namely by radiant heat at or near a vent or volcanic eruptions, a collapse of hydrothermally altered volcanic edifice, or by sudden discharge of water internally from glaciers.

• **Age:** 0-10,000 years at MORA.

• **Surficial Material:** Often a poorly sorted matrix consisting of varying material size from clay to blocks (several tens of meters in dimension).

**Mapping Guidelines:** Lahars are mapped in conjunction with pre-existing geologic, surficial, and geologic hazard maps. Slope gradients of 0 to 10% are generally mapped, they are given a height above the river in feet. Surfaces can resemble hummocky topography with height differences of up to 10 feet. Overall surface similarity (debris type, vegetation type and age, etc) is needed for inclusion of a polygon. Terraces are subdivided by the following guidelines;
- T (fluvial),
- T-NL (Nation Lahar),
- T-PL (Paradise Lahar),
- T-TL (Tahoma Lahar),
- T-DF (debris flow),
- T-U (undifferentiated lahars and debris flows).

• **Potential Vegetation:** Lowland. Vegetation dependent on age.

---

34) DF=Debris Fan. A wedge-shaped deposit of loose rock, earth, and vegetation.

• **Location:** Located at or near the junction of streams or where the gradient of a stream abruptly decreases. Usually initiated within the debris apron zone with deposits near or on the valley floor. This landform is only recognized at MORA.

• **Associated Landforms/ Features:** Mass movement, fan, terrace

• **Process:** Rapid and sudden debris deposition from lahars and large floods at the mouth of valleys.

• **Age:**

• **Surficial Material:** Gravel, sand, soil, organic material

• **Mapping Guidelines:** Polygon is mapped by a difference (break in slope) in contour spacing. The head of the fan has a steeper apex than the broad low gradient slopes of the toe. The size and amount of material deposited decreases towards the toe. Using the vegetation distinction
method may not work if the debris deposits are old. Combines characteristics of alluvial fan and debris cones DF surface is steeper than alluvial fans but less than debris cones

- **Potential Vegetation:** Lowland. Vegetation dependent on age.

35) **D = Delta.** A gentle fan shaped deposit accumulating at the mouth of a stream where it meets relatively still water in a lake.

- **Location:** At the mouth of a river or a stream where it enters lake. Typically lower elevation dammed lakes.
- **Associated Landforms/ Features:** Lake.
- **Process:** Fluvial.
- **Age:**
- **Surficial Material:** Alluvium, sand, gravel, silt, clay
- **Mapping Guidelines:** Usually seen in air photos. Contours are shaped in a down trending lobe out into the body of water. Alluvial fans are vegetated and do not enter a lake.
- **Potential Vegetation:** No woody plants.

36) **SH = Shoreline.** Area bordering a lake where the water level fluctuates with seasonal fluctuating water levels.

- **Location:** Bordering the edge of a lake at all elevations. Located in high elevation lakes in cirques. At low elevations, they ring dammed lakes near/ in the valley bottom; or lakes on mid elevation benches (MORA).
- **Associated Landforms/ Features:** Beach, delta, terrace.
- **Process:** Fluctuating water levels
- **Surficial Material:** Alluvium (sand, cobble, gravel, silt, clay)
- **Mapping Guidelines:** Only the bench area is mapped that extends landward from the low-water line to a change in ground cover (permanent vegetation/sediment size i.e. sand to boulders), change in geomorphology (terrace, cliff, moraine), or high water mark. Mapping includes aquatic vegetation and sedge/meadows as long as slope angle is the same as the beach.
- **Potential Vegetation:** Wetland, riparian. Amount of vegetation is dependant on topography (i.e. steep banks, bedrock controlled, etc) and lake elevation. Sedges dominate with exotics (e.g. reed canary grass) in areas of anthropogenic damming (Ross Lake at NOCA).

**Landtype Association Vegetation:** Subalpine (Subalpine fir, White bark pine, Larch, meadow), and montane (Mountain hemlock, Silver fir, Yellow cedar), lowland (Doug fir, western hemlock, western red cedar; lodge pole and ponderosa pine on the drier eastside),

37) **U = Undifferentiated.** A unique expression that staff can not explain and may require another senior staff member to visit.
- **Location:** Anywhere
- **Associated Landforms/ Features:**
- **Characteristics:** A (U) may be created by anthropogenic means.
- **Mapping Guidelines:** Mapped when a topographic feature does not fit within one of the other 29 landform definitions.
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**Ridge** Included soon  
**Pass** Included soon  
**Valley Wall** Included soon  
**River Canyon** Included soon

**Bedrock Bench**  
Cleaver

**Volcanic Cone** *(Volcanic Valley Side)* - A conical hill of lava and/or pyroclastics that is built up around a volcanic vent. The sloping to very steep surfaces between the valley floor and summits of adjacent uplands. Well-defined, steep valley sides have been termed valley walls.


Park


(A term used in the Rocky Mountain region of Colorado and Wyoming for a wide, grassy open valley lying at a high altitude and walled in by wooded mountains.)
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Debris Avalanches *(large size, rapid)*
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Slump and Creep


Debris Torrents
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Suckung
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**SAIL (Snow Avalanche Impact Landform)**

**Debris Apron** Included soon

**Debris Cone**

**Alluvial Fan**

USDA, 2003. NASIS Geomorphic Terms, NSSH part 629, On line linkage,  

**Debris Fan**

Colorado geological survey (CGS), June 30, 2003 Special Publication 12. Debris Fan

**Lahar**


Tilling, Topinka, and Swanson, 1990, Eruptions of Mount St. Helens: Past, Present, and Future
USDA, 2003. NASIS Geomorphic Terms, NSSH part 629, Online linkage, 


**Floodplain**

USDA, 2003. NASIS Geomorphic Terms, NSSH part 629, Online linkage, 

**Valley Bottom**

**Terraces**
USDA, 2003. NASIS Geomorphic Terms, NSSH part 629, Online linkage, 

**Fan Terrace** Included soon

**Pleistocene Moraine**

(*Pleistocene* An epoch of the Quaternary period, after the Pliocene of the Tertiary and before the Holocene.. It began two to three million years ago.....Syn: ice age; glacial epoch.)

**Little Ice Age and other Holocene Moraines** Included soon

**Patterned Ground**
USDA, 2003. NASIS Geomorphic Terms, NSSH part 629, Online linkage, 


**Volcanic Crater**

(*Crater- A basin-like, rimmed structure at the top or on the flanks of a volcanic cone: it is a result formed by explosion or collapse.*)
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APPENDIX C

NORTH CASCADES PLANT LIST
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Growth Form</th>
<th>Scientific Name</th>
<th>Common Name</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>coniferous tree</td>
<td>Abies amabilis</td>
<td>pacific silver fir</td>
<td>ABAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coniferous tree</td>
<td>Abies grandis</td>
<td>grand fir</td>
<td>ABGR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coniferous tree</td>
<td>Abies lasiocarpa</td>
<td>subalpine fir</td>
<td>ABLA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coniferous tree</td>
<td>Abies procera</td>
<td>noble fir</td>
<td>ABPR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coniferous tree</td>
<td>Chamaecyparis nootkatensis</td>
<td>yellow-cedar</td>
<td>CHNO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coniferous tree</td>
<td>Picea engelmannii</td>
<td>engleman spruce</td>
<td>PIEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coniferous tree</td>
<td>Pinus monticola</td>
<td>w. white pine</td>
<td>PIMO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coniferous tree</td>
<td>Pseudotsuga menziesii</td>
<td>douglas fir</td>
<td>PSME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coniferous tree</td>
<td>Thuja plicata</td>
<td>w. red cedar</td>
<td>THPL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coniferous tree</td>
<td>Tsuga heterophylla</td>
<td>w. hemolck</td>
<td>TSHE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>coniferous tree</td>
<td>Tsuga mertensiana</td>
<td>mountain hemlock</td>
<td>TSME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>decidious tree</td>
<td>Acer circinatum</td>
<td>vine maple</td>
<td>ACCI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>decidious tree</td>
<td>Acer macrophyllum</td>
<td>bigleaf maple</td>
<td>ACMA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>decidious tree</td>
<td>Alnus crispa sinuata</td>
<td>sitka alder</td>
<td>ALCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Growth Form</strong></td>
<td><strong>Scientific Name</strong></td>
<td><strong>Common Name</strong></td>
<td><strong>Code</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deciduous tree</td>
<td>Alnus rubra</td>
<td>red alder</td>
<td>ALRU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deciduous tree</td>
<td>Betula papyrifera</td>
<td>paper birch</td>
<td>BEPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deciduous tree</td>
<td>Populus balsamifera ssp. trichocarpa</td>
<td>black cottonwood</td>
<td>POBA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fern</td>
<td>Athyrium filix-femina</td>
<td>lady fern</td>
<td>ATFI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fern</td>
<td>Cryptogramma crispa</td>
<td>parsley fern</td>
<td>CRCR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fern</td>
<td>Cystopteris fragilis</td>
<td>fragile fern</td>
<td>CYFR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fern</td>
<td>Gymnocarpium dryopteris</td>
<td>oak fern</td>
<td>GYDR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fern</td>
<td>Polystichum munitum</td>
<td>sword fern</td>
<td>POMU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fern</td>
<td>Pteridium aquilinum</td>
<td>bracken fern</td>
<td>PTAQ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>graminoid</td>
<td>Carex deweyana</td>
<td>dewey's sedge</td>
<td>CADE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>graminoid</td>
<td>Carex macloviana</td>
<td>falkland island sedge</td>
<td>CAMA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>graminoid</td>
<td>Equisetum arvense</td>
<td>common horsetail</td>
<td>EQAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>graminoid</td>
<td>Equisetum hyemale</td>
<td>scouring-rush</td>
<td>EQHY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth Form</td>
<td>Scientific Name</td>
<td>Common Name</td>
<td>Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mosses</td>
<td>Hylocomium splendens</td>
<td>step moss</td>
<td>HYSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mosses</td>
<td>Lycopodium annotinum</td>
<td>stiff clubmoss</td>
<td>LYAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Amelanchier alnifolia</td>
<td>saskatoon</td>
<td>AMAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Cornus stolonifera</td>
<td>red osier dogwood</td>
<td>COST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Gaultheria shallon</td>
<td>salal</td>
<td>GASH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Holodiscus discolor</td>
<td>oceanspray</td>
<td>HODI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Mahonia nervosa</td>
<td>dull oregon grape</td>
<td>MANE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Menziesia ferruginea</td>
<td>fool's huckleberry</td>
<td>MEFE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Oplopanax horridus</td>
<td>devil's club</td>
<td>OPHO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Pachistima myrsinites</td>
<td>pachistima</td>
<td>PAMY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Rubes divaricatum</td>
<td>wild gooseberry</td>
<td>RIDI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Ribes lacustre</td>
<td>black gooseberry</td>
<td>RILA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Ribes sanguineum</td>
<td>red flowering current</td>
<td>RISA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Rosa gymnocarpa</td>
<td>baldhip rose</td>
<td>ROGY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth Form</td>
<td>Scientific Name</td>
<td>Common Name</td>
<td>Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Rubus leucodermis</td>
<td>black raspberry</td>
<td>RULE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Rubus parviflorus</td>
<td>thimbleberry</td>
<td>RUPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Rubus pedatus</td>
<td>5-leaved bramble</td>
<td>RUPE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Rubus spectabilis</td>
<td>salmonberry</td>
<td>RUSP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Rubus ursinus</td>
<td>trailing blackberry</td>
<td>RUUR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Sambucus racemosa</td>
<td>elderberry (red)</td>
<td>SARA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Salix sitchensis</td>
<td>sitka willow</td>
<td>SASI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Sorbus sitchensis</td>
<td>mt. ash</td>
<td>SOSI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Symphoricarpos albus</td>
<td>snowberry</td>
<td>SYAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Urtica dioca</td>
<td>stinging nettle</td>
<td>URDI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Vaccinium ovalifolium</td>
<td>oval-leaved blueberry</td>
<td>VAOV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Vaccinium membranaceum</td>
<td>black huckleberry</td>
<td>VAME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>shrub</td>
<td>Vaccinium parvifolium</td>
<td>red huckleberry</td>
<td>VAPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildflower</td>
<td>Achillea millefolium</td>
<td>yarrow</td>
<td>ACMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth Form</td>
<td>Scientific Name</td>
<td>Common Name</td>
<td>Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildflower</td>
<td>Anaphalis margaritacea</td>
<td>pearly everlasting</td>
<td>ANMA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildflower</td>
<td>Aquilegia formosa</td>
<td>red columbine</td>
<td>AQFO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildflower</td>
<td>Aruncus dioicus</td>
<td>goat's beard</td>
<td>ARDI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildflower</td>
<td>Asarum caudatum</td>
<td>wild ginger</td>
<td>ASCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildflower</td>
<td>Castilleja miniata</td>
<td>paintbrush (common red)</td>
<td>CAMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildflower</td>
<td>Chimaphila umbellata</td>
<td>prince's pine</td>
<td>CHUM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildflower</td>
<td>Cicuta douglassi</td>
<td>douglas' water-hemlock</td>
<td>CIDO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildflower</td>
<td>Clintonia uniflora</td>
<td>queen's cup beadlily</td>
<td>CLUN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildflower</td>
<td>Claytonia sibirica</td>
<td>siberian miner's-lettuce</td>
<td>CLSI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildflower</td>
<td>Cornus canadensis</td>
<td>bunchberry</td>
<td>COCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildflower</td>
<td>Dicentra formosa</td>
<td>pacific bleeding heart</td>
<td>DIFO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildflower</td>
<td>Epilobium angustifolium</td>
<td>fireweed</td>
<td>EPAN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth Form</td>
<td>Scientific Name</td>
<td>Common Name</td>
<td>Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildflower</td>
<td>Epilobium latifolium</td>
<td>river beauty</td>
<td>EPLA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildflower</td>
<td>Galium triflorum</td>
<td>sweet-scented bedstraw</td>
<td>GATR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildflower</td>
<td>Goodyera oblongifolia</td>
<td>rattlesnake plantain</td>
<td>GOOB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildflower</td>
<td>Heracleum lanatum</td>
<td>cow parsnip</td>
<td>HELA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildflower</td>
<td>Hieracium albiflorum</td>
<td>white-flowered hawkweed</td>
<td>HIAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildflower</td>
<td>Lactuca muralis</td>
<td>wall lettuce</td>
<td>LAMU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildflower</td>
<td>Linnaea borealis</td>
<td>twinflower</td>
<td>LIBO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildflower</td>
<td>Lonicera ciliosa</td>
<td>orange honeysuckle</td>
<td>LOCI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildflower</td>
<td>Penstemon serrulatus</td>
<td>coast penstemon</td>
<td>PESE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildflower</td>
<td>Scirpus misocarpus</td>
<td>small-flowered ballrush</td>
<td>SCMI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildflower</td>
<td>Smilacina racemosa</td>
<td>false salomon's seal</td>
<td>SMRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Growth Form</td>
<td>Scientific Name</td>
<td>Common Name</td>
<td>Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildflower</td>
<td>Smilacina stellata</td>
<td>star-flowered false solomon's seal</td>
<td>SMST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildflower</td>
<td>Spirea douglasii ssp. douglasii</td>
<td>spirea / hardback</td>
<td>SPDO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildflower</td>
<td>Streptopus amplexifolius</td>
<td>clasping twisted stalk</td>
<td>STAM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildflower</td>
<td>Streptopus roseus</td>
<td>rosy twisted stalk</td>
<td>STRO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildflower</td>
<td>Tellima grandiflora</td>
<td>fringecup</td>
<td>TEGR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildflower</td>
<td>Thalictrum occidentale</td>
<td>meadowrue</td>
<td>THOC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildflower</td>
<td>Tolmiea menziesii</td>
<td>piggy-back plant</td>
<td>TOME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildflower</td>
<td>Trillium ovatum</td>
<td>western trillium</td>
<td>TROV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildflower</td>
<td>Valeriana sitchensis</td>
<td>sitka valerian</td>
<td>VASI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildflower</td>
<td>Viburnum edule</td>
<td>moosebery</td>
<td>VIED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wildflower</td>
<td>Veratrum viride</td>
<td>indian hellebore</td>
<td>VEVI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>